

Level 3 Certificate

Quantitative reasoning (MEI)

Unit H866/02 Critical Maths

OCR Level 3 Certificate

Mark Schemes for June 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2016

Annotations and abbreviations

Annotation in scoris	Meaning
✓ _{and} ×	
BOD	Benefit of doubt
FT	Follow through
ISW	Ignore subsequent working
M0 M1	Method mark awarded 0, 1
A0 A1	Accuracy mark awarded 0, 1
B0 B1	Independent mark awarded 0, 1
SC	Special case
~	Omission sign
MR	Misread
	Highlight

Other abbreviations in mark scheme	Meaning
E1	Mark for explaining
U1	Mark for correct units
G1	Mark for a correct feature on a graph
M1 dep*	Method mark dependent on a previous mark, indicated by *
сао	Correct answer only
oe	Or equivalent
rot	Rounded or truncated
soi	Seen or implied
www	Without wrong working

Subject-specific Marking Instructions

a Annotations should be used whenever appropriate during your marking.

The A, M and B annotations must be used on your standardisation scripts for responses that are not awarded either 0 or full marks. It is vital that you annotate standardisation scripts fully to show how the marks have been awarded.

For subsequent marking you must make it clear how you have arrived at the mark you have awarded.

b An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper. Remember that the mark scheme is designed to assist in marking incorrect solutions. Correct *solutions* leading to correct answers are awarded full marks but work must not be judged on the answer alone, and answers that are given in the question, especially, must be validly obtained; key steps in the working must always be looked at and anything unfamiliar must be investigated thoroughly.

Correct but unfamiliar or unexpected methods are often signalled by a correct result following an *apparently* incorrect method. Such work must be carefully assessed. When a candidate adopts a method which does not correspond to the mark scheme, award marks according to the spirit of the basic scheme; if you are in any doubt whatsoever (especially if several marks or candidates are involved) you should contact your Team Leader.

c The following types of marks are available.

Μ

A suitable method has been selected and *applied* in a manner which shows that the method is essentially understood. Method marks are not usually lost for numerical errors, algebraic slips or errors in units. However, it is not usually sufficient for a candidate just to indicate an intention of using some method or just to quote a formula; the formula or idea must be applied to the specific problem in hand, eg by substituting the relevant quantities into the formula. In some cases the nature of the errors allowed for the award of an M mark may be specified.

Α

Accuracy mark, awarded for a correct answer or intermediate step correctly obtained. Accuracy marks cannot be given unless the associated Method mark is earned (or implied). Therefore M0 A1 cannot ever be awarded.

В

Mark for a correct result or statement independent of Method marks.

H866/02

Ε

A given result is to be established or a result has to be explained. This usually requires more working or explanation than the establishment of an unknown result.

Unless otherwise indicated, marks once gained cannot subsequently be lost, eg wrong working following a correct form of answer is ignored. Sometimes this is reinforced in the mark scheme by the abbreviation isw. However, this would not apply to a case where a candidate passes through the correct answer as part of a wrong argument.

- d When a part of a question has two or more 'method' steps, the M marks are in principle independent unless the scheme specifically says otherwise; and similarly where there are several B marks allocated. (The notation 'dep *' is used to indicate that a particular mark is dependent on an earlier, asterisked, mark in the scheme.) Of course, in practice it may happen that when a candidate has once gone wrong in a part of a question, the work from there on is worthless so that no more marks can sensibly be given. On the other hand, when two or more steps are successfully run together by the candidate, the earlier marks are implied and full credit must be given.
- e The abbreviation ft implies that the A or B mark indicated is allowed for work correctly following on from previously incorrect results. Otherwise, A and B marks are given for correct work only — differences in notation are of course permitted. A (accuracy) marks are not given for answers obtained from incorrect working. When A or B marks are awarded for work at an intermediate stage of a solution, there may be various alternatives that are equally acceptable. In such cases, exactly what is acceptable will be detailed in the mark scheme rationale. If this is not the case please consult your Team Leader.

Sometimes the answer to one part of a question is used in a later part of the same question. In this case, A marks will often be 'follow through'. In such cases you must ensure that you refer back to the answer of the previous part question even if this is not shown within the image zone. You may find it easier to mark follow through questions candidate-by-candidate rather than question-by-question.

- f Wrong or missing units in an answer should not lead to the loss of a mark unless the scheme specifically indicates otherwise. Candidates are expected to give numerical answers to an appropriate degree of accuracy, with 3 significant figures often being the norm. Small variations in the degree of accuracy to which an answer is given (e.g. 2 or 4 significant figures where 3 is expected) should not normally be penalised, while answers which are grossly over- or under-specified should normally result in the loss of a mark. The situation regarding any particular cases where the accuracy of the answer may be a marking issue should be detailed in the mark scheme rationale. If in doubt, contact your Team Leader.
- g Rules for replaced work

If a candidate attempts a question more than once, and indicates which attempt he/she wishes to be marked, then examiners should do as the candidate requests.

If there are two or more attempts at a question which have not been crossed out, examiners should mark what appears to be the last (complete) attempt and ignore the others.

NB Follow these maths-specific instructions rather than those in the assessor handbook.

h For a *genuine* misreading (of numbers or symbols) which is such that the object and the difficulty of the question remain unaltered, mark according to the scheme but following through from the candidate's data. A penalty is then applied; 1 mark is generally appropriate, though this may differ for some components. This is achieved by withholding one A mark in the question.

Note that a miscopy of the candidate's own working is not a misread but an accuracy error.

i Anything in the mark scheme which is in square brackets [...] is not required for the mark to be earned, but if present it must be correct.

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance	
1	(i)	20	B1		
			[1]		
	(ii)	Three percentages which add to 100 and round to 54, 37 and 10	B2		Eg 53.5, 36.5, 10
			[2]	B1 for three unrounded % which add to 100 and two of them round to correct values	Eg 54.4, 36.6, 9
				Or B1 for three unrounded % which round to correct values but do not add to 100	Eg 54.1, 36.9, 10.2
	(iii)	54	M1	Numerator	Alternative method
		$\overline{54+37}$ de	M1	Denominator (accept 90)	"54+5" with explanation: splitting the 10% don't knows equally M1 (5+5) 54+5 M1 A1
		0.59[34] cao AG	A1 [3]	Or changing fraction to percentage	SC B1 for "54+5" without explanation
	(iv)	$60.80e \frac{56}{56+36}, 0.608$	B1	Percentage fraction or decimal	Alternative method B1 Fither "56+4" or "36+4" oe
		61 cao	B1		B1 60 nfww
		100 – ans [39]	B 1√		B1 40 nfww
			[3]		
2	(i)	Each shaded region is a circle [same size] minus the centre	E1	Condone lack of conclusion that	Alternative method
		region [common/overlapping]	[1]	they are the same as this is given in the question.	"Vertical" line of symmetry identified (may be drawn on diagram) with correct comment
	(ii)	Centre region smaller	B1	Or shaded region bigger	
		Each shaded region is more than half a circle oe	E1 [2]	OR The centre region is less than half a circle oe	OR other proportions if clear

H866/02

Question		on	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
3	(i)		Correct reason	M1		Correct reasons include
			Different correct reason Fig 3.2 is better	M1 A1	Dep on either M1	• Second graph allows numbers to be read off
				[3]	1	• Second graph has smaller scale (in 2's rather than 5's)
						• Second [2D] graph makes comparison easier
						• First graph makes it look as though minimum wage is lower than it is
						 3D charts [pyramids] can be confusing / distracting / misleading
	(ii)		Hourly increase £0.70 soi oe	M1	Increase may be inferred from	If at least one method mark
			Uses hours per week in range 30 to 50 oe	M1	calculation of new wage and old	gained and estimate in correct
			Uses weeks per year in range 40 to 52 oe	M1	wage	can be assumed.
			Annual increase in range £800 to £2000	A1	Must be a wage [not %] increase	If 0/4 SC B1 for 10.7[69]%
				[4]		increase
	(iii)		Reads off from 50% on vertical axis	M1	May be seen as lines on graph	Correct answer implies correct
			Answer in range $\pounds 11.50$ - $\pounds 12$	A1		method
				[2]		Allow M1A0 for median from 45%
	(iv)		Method for estimate	M1	May be seen as continuation of	From 6.5 not 7
			Answer in range 2[%] to 10[%]	A1 [2]	line on graph or reading off wage for 10% Accept "less than 10%" oe	Answer in range implies correct method

Question		ion	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
3	(v)	A	No change	B1		Correct reasons include
			All those who earned more due to the national living wage were below the median	E1		Median not affected by outliers / extreme values
						• Same numbers [earning wages] below and above the median
						• Wages near the median / middle have not changed
						• Only those below 50% were affected
		B	Increase	B1		Correct reasons include
			The total wage went up	E1 [4]		• Mean is affected by outliers / extreme values
						 All wages are used when calculating [adding up and dividing] the mean
						Relevant calculations

H866/02

Question		ion	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
4	(i)		£45, £38, £25, £19 soi Method A	M1	Prices ordered highest to lowest, implied by at least one correct	
			$45 + \frac{1}{2}(38) + 25 + \frac{1}{2}(19)$ oe	M1	answer	
			£98.5 <u>0</u> <u>Method B</u>	Al		
			$45 + \frac{1}{2}(19) + 38 + \frac{1}{2}(25)$ oe	M1		
			£105	A1		
				[5]		
	(ii)		In each case, the higher priced item is full price and the lower	E1	OE	
			priced one is half price	[1]		
	(iii)		Four prices with the second and third highest equal	B 1		E.g. Four equal prices
				[1]		
	(iv)		Possible method explained fully	E2	E2 OR E1 for possible method which is not clearly explained or	E.g. As for Method A but the fifth item is paid for in full.
		Method applied to 5 prices to get final price			incomplete, can be implied by calculation	E.g. As for Method B but the middle item is paid for in full.
			Method applied to 5 prices to get final price	B1		Method need not be based on Method A or Method B
			[3]		SC B1 for BOGOF explained and used correctly	

Question		on	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
5	(i)		Other possible cause	B 1		Possible causes include the following.
						Trying harder
						• Better teaching
						• Regression to the mean
						Placebo effect
						• Learned from mistakes
						• 2 nd test was easier
				[1]		Not just "one year older"
	(ii)		Have more than 10 children oe	B 1	OR continue experiment for	Improvements include
				[1]	longer	• Male / female groups
						• Matching of groups by some criteria
	(iii)	(A)	2	B1		In each part, if more numbers circled than asked for, award zero
		(B)	4	B1		
		(<i>C</i>)	5,6	B1, B1		
				[4]		
	(iv)	(A)	Eliminates bias oe	E1	Eg Makes the groups comparable	
		(B)	Allows for effects of other factors oe	E1	Eg time Eg cause and effect	OR Allows a comparison to be
					Eg other variables are controlled	made between the two groups
		(<i>C</i>)	Eliminates effect of expecting to improve	E1	Sufficient detail to explain why	Must refer to both teachers and
				[3]		students either explicitly or by implication

Question Answer	Marks	Guidance	
6 (i) 95 Positive	B1	Appropriate tree diagram with all branches labelled correctly	
100 SNegative	B 1	Correct partial frequencies or probabilities on one pair of branches	Probabilities can be fractions, decimals or percentages
900 90 Positive Not ill	B 1	Correct partial frequencies or probabilities on all branches	For second B1 allow FT partial frequencies on second branch from
810 Negative			incorrect first branch
0.95 Positive			
0.1 0.05 Negative			
0.9 Not ill 0.1 Positive 0.9 Negative			
	[3]		
(ii) $\frac{95+90}{1000}$ oe $(0.1 \times 0.95) + (0.9 \times 0.1)$ oe	M1		Complete method for either partial frequencies or probabilities
18.5[%] cao	A1		
	[2]		SC B1 for 9.5% or 9% nfww
(iii) $\frac{95}{185}$ oe	M1	Eg 0.5135 $\frac{19}{27}$	Alternative method
Conversion to percentage 51.4cao AG	A 1	3/	Evaluates 51.4% of 18.5% M1[=9.5%] and draws correct conclusion A1
	A1 [2]		

	Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
	(iv)	Otherwise nearly half [49%] of those treated do not	E1	Or refer to the 10% of those who do	Needs to refer to relevant figures or %
		actually have the disease oe		not have illness who test positive	Not just "test is not accurate"
7	(i)	7 billion oe	B1	Accept 6 billion to 8 billion	7 000 000 000
			[1]		
	(ii)	Method 1			
		About 3 billion internet users	B1	Some indication that not all people use the internet	
		$50\ 000 \times 60 \times 60 \times 24 = 4320$ million searches per day	M2	Scaling up from searches per second to per hour or per day (could be 12 hours instead of 24)	M1 for scaling up to per minute
		Just over one search per person per day	M1	Comparison of number of searches with number of people	
		Reasonable estimate	A1 [5]	Or too high / low (not dep on B1)	Conclusion must follow clearly from <i>their</i> working
	(ii)	Method 2			
		About 3 billion internet users	B1	Some indication that not all people use the internet	
		Each user might do 5 searches a day on average	M 1	Estimate of number of searches per user in a given time	Searches per person per day between 1 and 10
		15 billion searches a day			
		15 billion ÷ 24 ÷ 60 ÷ 60 ≈ 174 000 per second	M2	Scaling down from searches per day to per second (could be 12 hours instead of 24)	M1 for scaling down to per hour
		50 000 is fairly close to this	A1 [5]	Or 50 000 is a bit low (not dep on B1)	Conclusion must follow clearly from <i>their</i> working

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553 PART OF THE CAMBRIDGE ASSESSMENT GROUP

