

Functional Skills

Maths

Entry Level 1 Maths - **09862**

Entry Level 2 Maths - **09863**

Entry Level 3 Maths - **09864**

OCR Report to Centres 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This report on the examination provides information on the performance of candidates, which it is hoped will be useful to teachers in their preparation of candidates for future examinations. It is intended to be constructive and informative and to promote better understanding of the specification content, of the operation of the scheme of assessment and of the application of assessment criteria.

Reports should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and mark schemes for the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this report.
© OCR 2016

CONTENTS

Functional Skills

Entry Level 1 Maths - **09862**

Entry Level 2 Maths - **09863**

Entry Level 3 Maths - **09864**

OCR REPORT TO CENTRES

Content	Page
Functional Skills Maths Entry Level 1, 2 and 3 09862, 09863 and 09864	4
1. Overview	4
2. General Comments	4
3. Comments on Individual Units	4
4. Sector Update	5

Functional Skills Maths Entry Level 1, 2 and 3 09862, 09863 and 09864

1. Overview

At all levels, the quality of work seen by most centres' candidates is improving. Layout, explanations and justifications are clearly being encouraged by an increasing number of assessors which is very pleasing to see. There are still a number of centres that appear not to understand the concept of assessment and moderation, however, the quality of candidates' evidence is improving. Many centres show positive and constructive feedback to the candidates and clear evidence that work has been sampled by an internal moderator with useful feedback. This must only benefit the candidates. Good practice is seen where centres include comments from the candidates showing true ownership of the assessment. There are still some centres who appear not to realise that reports are written on every claim with guidance and support on applying the mark schemes and the quality of assessment and internal moderation.

2. General Comments

In most cases, candidates appear to be well prepared for the assessments and are entered at the correct level. Occasionally, it is clear this is not the case and initial or diagnostic assessment has not been used. On the whole, all administration paperwork is included is completed correctly. There are still cases where assessors sign as the internal moderator suggesting they have second marked their own assessments which is not acceptable.

Excellent practice is seen regularly with comprehensive feedback to candidates on their achievement and constructive guidance on areas needing development. In the best cases this includes annotation of responses with corrections and model answers. Evidence of internal moderation is becoming more common, especially where the moderators amend marks and feedback to the assessor on assessment practice. This is really good to see.

Most centres take advantage of the reports produced for every claim processed and appreciate the value of this communication from their external moderators. A few centres either do not realise these are produced, or the reports are not getting to the right people. Where centres, share these reports at regular standardisation meetings so issues can be addressed and good practice shared, the improvement of candidate achievement can be clearly seen.

3. Comments on Individual Units

Entry Level 1:

Most candidates appear to be well prepared for this assessment with many scoring full marks. In most cases the resource material is used effectively and the responses are clear and legible. It would help, in some cases, if assessors were to scribe answers as some candidate responses have been quite hard to read. Part 2 of the assessment is usually completed better than part A which contains the open questions, but this is understandable, this being the start of the functional skills journey. Generally, calculations are well laid out and units included where necessary. It is always pleasing to see assessor annotations where mistakes have been made which show the correct responses, or where very good answers have been written which receive positive comments.

Entry Level 2:

All tasks appear to be accessible to candidates with very few cases where assessor comments have been included stating the candidates did not understand the question. This again suggests most candidates are well prepared for the assessment and have received the required teaching

and learning. Feedback from assessors has in many cases been excellent with positive support and very good guidance where mistakes have been made or where unconventional methods have been used. There are still some centres not adhering to the supplied mark schemes: this often disadvantages the candidates. The most common mistakes seen are differentiating between questions that require working to be seen for full marks and those where the working can be assumed if a correct answer is written. The mark schemes are very clear and need to be followed precisely. Again, there are some centres that do not action the instruction and guidance offered in the reports which in some cases causes delays in processing and in extreme circumstances, work to be returned or candidates withdrawn. Happily, this is not common as most submissions are complete and accurate.

Entry Level 3:

Entry 3 submissions are by far the most prolific suggesting they are being used as intended, as a springboard up to Level 1. That said they do produce the most issues. At entry 3 the feedback and support from an assessor is most important as it is the last level where this sort of support is available. Many centres have excellent practice showing supportive and constructive feedback from both the assessors and the internal moderators. The increasingly common good practice of learners commenting on their own work shows how valuable feedback can be in developing learners' skills and confidence. Pleasingly, most assessors' marking accuracy is very good and the practice of an internal moderator second marking a sample is evident and in most cases effective. The most common errors are with the marking of date and time formats and monetary notation. The mark schemes are very clear where these are assessed and what is acceptable. As with the entry 2 assessments, there are still some issues with questions that require working to be seen for full marks and those where the working can be assumed if a correct answer is written.

4. Sector Update

Work continues behind the scenes on the development of new Functional Skills standards and criteria. The ETF have commissioned research projects to determine the shape and content of these new qualifications that should be ready for first teaching in 2018.

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
1 Hills Road
Cambridge
CB1 2EU

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Skills and Employment

Telephone: 02476 851509

Fax: 02476 421944

Email: vocational.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations
is a Company Limited by Guarantee
Registered in England
Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU
Registered Company Number: 3484466
OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
Head office
Telephone: 01223 552552
Facsimile: 01223 552553

© OCR 2016

