

# GCSE

# **English (Northern Ireland)**

Unit A633/02: Information and Ideas (Higher Tier)

General Certificate of Secondary Education

# Mark Scheme for November 2016

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2016

#### MARK SCHEME:

# SECTION A READING

Question 1 HOW DOGS ARE TEACHING YOUNG OFFENDERS NEW TRICKS. Outline concisely what you learn about dog re-homing and its place in the Polmont Young Offenders' Institution.

## CRITERIA

Candidates should demonstrate that they can:

• Read and understand texts, selecting material appropriate to purpose, collating from different sources (AO2 i).

#### **INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS -1**

We are not marking writing in Section A unless the expression is so bad that it impedes communication. Identify each point made clearly

	Marks	Guidance
<ul> <li>Candidates may refer to some of the following points: <ol> <li>(Abandoned) dogs are trained for re-homing (at/in the institution)</li> <li>It provides treatment/ therapy (or similar) for the inmates.</li> <li>(Particular/ carefully chosen) dogs are placed with (particular) inmates</li> <li>Who volunteer for the scheme</li> <li>They are taught/learn to get on with each other/ they form a strong bond with each other/ they love each other/ trust each other/build trust/gain confidence in each other</li> <li>Special area/equipment is demarcated/allotted/dedicated to the training</li> <li>It lasts for eight weeks</li> <li>It happens twice a week</li> <li>It stared in 2011/ five years ago</li> <li>It came from a project in the USA</li> <li>It is the only one in the UK</li> <li>It contributes to the (ethos of) the learning environment/ shows that learning can be positive (or similar)/ brings a sense of purpose/ changes your perspective/ get back to normality</li> <li>The inmates learn new <u>skills (communication, data recording etc.)</u></li> <li>It develops new <u>qualities</u>: self esteem/ self confidence/ eases angst/ patience/ maturity/feeling good about themselves</li> <li>It is a very successful/ popular feature of the institution (but no more for the statistics)</li> <li>It is not a miracle cure/ it doesn't work for everyone</li> </ol></li></ul>	12	Use over the word or phrase that specifically relates to one of the given points. Use REP if the point is made twice. Use if the point is blurred/not fully expressed. Use NAQ for loss of focus on the task. Use MR to indicate any misunderstanding of text

	Notes on the Task	Mars	Guidance
1	General - Be prepared to acknowledge and reward responses which, although comparatively deficient in the number of points, nevertheless show clear understanding of the passage through a high order of skill in synthesis and structuring.	12	
	<b>Higher Band</b> (1+2) responses are likely to identify a range of key points and convey them concisely, using the candidate's own words as far as possible in order to convey a clear overview of the material. Responses will be consistently focused on the task and well organised with little or no excess material. There may be occasional blurring of points, particularly at the lower end of the range.		
	<b>Middle Band</b> (3+4) responses are likely to include a range of relevant points, most of which will be clearly identified. Focus on the task is clear but there may not be an attempt at concision, or there may be over-condensation leading to some blurring of points. There will be an attempt to organise although at the lower end of the range answers may include unnecessary detail and be over-reliant on the sequence of the original passage. There will be evidence that the passage and task have been understood, possibly by selective lifting.		
	<b>Lower Band</b> (5+6) responses are likely to identify clearly only a limited number of relevant points: understanding of the passage may not be secure although at the higher end of the range, there is likely to be evidence of a general understanding of the material. Responses may lack focus and organisation and are likely to be very short or of excessive length as a result of including unnecessary or irrelevant material and/or excessive lifting from the original passage.		

Question 1 GENERIC band d **Be prepared to	escriptors use the FULL rang	Ie**
		ed reward performance below that expected on this paper.
BAND	MARKS	DESCRIPTOR
1	12 11	<ul> <li>Complete understanding of text and task</li> <li>Complete overview</li> <li>Very clear focus, tightly organised and synthesised</li> <li>Almost entirely in own words</li> <li>Comprehensive range of points clearly identified</li> </ul>
2	10 9	<ul> <li>Very secure understanding of text and task</li> <li>Clear overview</li> <li>Clear focus; very little excess material; effective organisation</li> <li>Mostly in own words</li> <li>Very good range of points clearly identified</li> </ul>
3	8 7	<ul> <li>Secure understanding of text and task</li> <li>Overview of material</li> <li>Mostly clear focus; good organisation; perhaps not always concise</li> <li>Consistent attempt to use own words/some selective lifting</li> <li>Good range of points clearly identified</li> </ul>
4	6 5	<ul> <li>Text and task have been understood</li> <li>Partial overview</li> <li>Generally clear focus/perhaps some blurring</li> <li>Evidence of ability to express in own words but likely to be close to original wording/selective lifting</li> <li>A range of points clearly identified</li> </ul>
5	4 3	<ul> <li>Partial understanding of text and task</li> <li>May lack focus/organisation</li> <li>Own words are used, but areas of lifting</li> <li>General understanding of a limited range of points</li> </ul>
Below 5	2 1 0	<ul> <li>Some misunderstanding of text and task</li> <li>Lacks clear focus</li> <li>Points listed mechanically, with significant lifting</li> <li>Straightforward understanding of some of the simpler points</li> </ul>

# Question 2. HOW DOGS ARE TEACHING YOUNG OFFENDERS NEW TRICKS. How does the article persuade you that the young offenders and the dogs benefit from each other in this scheme?

# CRITERIA

Candidates should demonstrate that they can:

• Explain and evaluate how writers use presentational features to achieve effects and engage and influence the reader (AO2 iii).

## **INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS – 2**

**1** We are not marking writing in Section A unless the expression is so bad that it impeded communication.

2 Use the Band descriptors in conjunction with the standardisation scripts to arrive at your mark.

**3** Indicate the band and mark with a brief comment, taken from the band descriptors, if appropriate.

	Notes on the Task	Mars	Guidance
2	<ul> <li>General Candidates may offer some comment on how the picture and heading/subheading reinforce the central idea of the scheme. They may explore the smiling canine at the top to the development of the article.</li> <li>Candidates may consider the structure of the piece which moves from description to exposition and analysis back to description</li> <li>Candidates could explore the implied contrasts and comparisons between the effects on the participating dogs and young offenders.</li> <li>There are many examples of evocative and emotive language used to describe their interactions. This may suggest a contrast between the apparent light heartedness of the article and the deadly seriousness of the programme.</li> <li>Reward those who think it starts with a pathetic fallacy and exemplify same (!)</li> <li>Reward only those who use the term anthropomorphism and define/explain it clearly and support it with textual examples</li> <li>There is a lot to be rewarded in a close reading of the title</li> <li>One mark only for the stats unless you are very strongly persuaded to 2.</li> <li>Reward the structural point about the 'happy' ending of Kev and Diesel and see that it contrasts with the opening impressions.</li> <li>There is a lot to reward in a close analysis of the picture: we will discuss on Thursday.</li> <li>Reward 'anecdotal' only if it is clearly exemplified and understood And so on.</li> </ul>	14	in the body of the answer indicates clear relevant points. in the margin indicates use of supporting reference. indicates points not fully expressed. indicates strong thoughtful comment NE may be used to indicate lack of examples MR indicates misunderstanding.

Notes on the Task	Mars	Guidance
<ul> <li>Higher Band (I +2) responses are likely to focus their comments clearly on the ways in which the content of the passage encourages respect for the young offenders and the dogs and may offer some insightful comment on the more subtle manipulation of the reader's perceptions. They may make consistently analytical and more developed comments on the language used, supported by fully appropriate references. There will be good understanding of how the image and headings reinforce the text, and responses may also refer to the way the writer's opinion is implied through the use of direct quotations and personal testimony. There are stylistic contrasts to note in detail: description/endorsement/quotation in contrasting registers/and statistical analysis. There is a use of listing, repetition of key words, notes of caution and a positive conclusion.</li> <li>Middle Band (3+4) responses are likely to show some appreciation of the ways in which the passage focuses on the changes brought about in the lives of both parties. There may be some consideration of how the article seeks to engage the reader's emotions, by reference to "tail windmilling" "wriggles on her back" for example. There is likely to be some comment on the ways in which the heading/subheading and visual image contribute to this. There may be some attempt to explain language effects, such as the contrasts between the diction of the offenders and the authorities, which may not be always firmly linked to the writer's purpose.</li> <li>Lower Band (5+6) responses are likely to show only a rudimentary understanding of the task and will make general, mainly unsupported comments about the writer's use of language, possibly achieving little more than the naming of a device. There may be some misunderstanding of the text and responses at this level will probably consist mainly of paraphrase/summary of the content and description of the images.</li> </ul>		

		ed reward performance below that expected on this paper.
BAND	MARKS	DESCRIPTOR
1	14 13	<ul> <li>Excellent range of points showing perceptive appreciation of the ways in which information, language and structure convey the text's purpose</li> <li>Very effective use of apposite supporting references in a full, relevant and consistently analytical response</li> <li>Complete understanding of text and task</li> </ul>
2	12 11	<ul> <li>Wide range of points showing clear and thoughtful appreciation of the ways in which information, language and structure convey the text's purpose</li> <li>Judgments are supported convincingly by appropriate textual references</li> <li>Clear understanding of text and task</li> </ul>
3	10 9 8	<ul> <li>A good range of points showing a secure understanding of the ways in which information, language and structure contribute to the text's purpose</li> <li>Careful supporting references and some analytical comment</li> <li>Sound awareness of text and task</li> </ul>
4	7 6 5	<ul> <li>A range of points showing a sound understanding of the ways in which information, language and structure contribute to the text's purpose</li> <li>Appropriate supporting references and an attempt at an analytical approach</li> <li>Task has been addressed for the main part</li> </ul>
5	4 3 2	<ul> <li>Easier information points show some understanding of the text's purpose</li> <li>Comments tend to be descriptive rather than analytical, and references may be inert</li> <li>Some focus on the task</li> </ul>
Below 5	1 0	<ul> <li>Points likely to concentrate on simpler information and basic language features</li> <li>Assertions predominate, with minimal or no textual evidence in support</li> <li>A little evidence that the task has been understood</li> </ul>

## Question 3 Why young offenders should not be treated so harshly

CRITERIA Candidates should demonstrate that they can

• Develop and sustain interpretations of writer's ideas and perspectives (AO2ii)

# **INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS – 3**

**1** We are not marking writing in Section A unless the expression is so bad that it impeded communication.

2 Use the Band descriptors in conjunction with the standardisation scripts to arrive at your mark.

3 Indicate the band and mark with a brief comment, taken from the band descriptors, if appropriate.

	Notes on the Task	Mars	Guidance
3	Notes on the Task           General - Candidates are expected to show understanding of the writer's purpose and the means used to achieve this. Examiners should be prepared to credit different approaches by the candidates in responding to the task.           Candidates may explore the effects created by the graphic description of the writer's experiences as a teenager and the parallel experiences he describes in those he counsels. There is a clear juxtaposition between the extreme of the violence of what is described and the scientific objectivity applied to it.           The description and commentary is then developed into a polemic/argument/diatribe against government sentencing policy with The Royal Society's Report. The conclusion of the penultimate paragraph "it isn't" is perhaps the most obvious of the rhetorical devices the writer mobilises.           Candidates may explore the points made about the differences between adolescents and adults, the strong personal appeal that is developed as well as the evidence of strong personal engagement and the unblushing account of very violent actions.	Mars 14	in the body of the answer indicates clear relevant points. in the margin indicates use of supporting reference. indicates points not fully expressed. indicates strong thoughtful comment may be used to indicate lack of examples
	The tone develops from factual recounting through acutely analysed case studies to controlled outrage and anger.		MR indicates misunderstanding.
	<b>Higher Band</b> (I+2) responses should offer some quite detailed analysis of the descriptive and emotive language used. Some more subtle appreciation may be shown of the way the writer synthesizes the violence of the behaviour with calm description and scientific objectification leading to a repressed sense of political/institutional outrage.		
	<b>Middle Band</b> (3+4) responses are likely to show generally secure understanding of the writer's purpose and intention and may identify and try to comment on examples of powerfully effective language, but be less confident in explaining how effects are conveyed to the reader.		

A633/02

Notes on the Task	Mars	Guidance
Lower Band (5+6) responses are likely to show only a rudimentary understanding of the task with largely unsupported comment. There is likely to be some misunderstanding of the text. Responses at this level will consist almost entirely of an attempted summary of the content of the article with some attempt to spot literary devices. Reward those who think it starts with a pathetic fallacy and exemplify same (!) Reward only those who use the term anthropomorphism and define/explain it clearly and support it with textual examples There is a lot to be rewarded in a close reading of the title One mark only for the stats unless you are very strongly persuaded to 2. Reward the structural point about the 'happy' ending of Kev and Diesel and see that it contrasts with the opening impressions. There is a lot to reward in a close analysis of the picture: we will discuss on Thursday. Reward 'anecdotal' only if it is clearly exemplified and understood.		

BAND	MARKS	ed reward performance below that expected on this paper. DESCRIPTOR
1	14 13	<ul> <li>Excellent range of points showing perceptive appreciation of the ways in which information, language and structure convey the text's purpose</li> <li>Very effective use of apposite supporting references in a full, relevant and consistently analytical response</li> <li>Complete understanding of text and task</li> </ul>
2	12 11	<ul> <li>Wide range of points showing clear and thoughtful appreciation of the ways in which information, language and structure convey the text's purpose</li> <li>Judgments are supported convincingly by appropriate textual references</li> <li>Clear understanding of text and task</li> </ul>
3	10 9 8	<ul> <li>A good range of points showing a secure understanding of the ways in which information, language and structure contribute to the text's purpose</li> <li>Careful supporting references and some analytical comment</li> <li>Sound awareness of text and task</li> </ul>
4	7 6 5	<ul> <li>A range of points showing a sound understanding of the ways in which information, language and structure contribute to the text's purpose</li> <li>Appropriate supporting references and an attempt at an analytical approach</li> <li>Task has been addressed for the main part</li> </ul>
5	4 3 2	<ul> <li>Easier information points show some understanding of the text's purpose</li> <li>Comments tend to be descriptive rather than analytical, and references may be inert</li> <li>Some focus on the task</li> </ul>
Below 5	1 0	<ul> <li>Points likely to concentrate on simpler information and basic language features</li> <li>Assertions predominate, with minimal or no textual evidence in support</li> <li>A little evidence that the task has been understood</li> </ul>

A633/02

#### **SECTION B: WRITING** (Candidates answer EITHER 4 OR 5)

## CRITERIA

Candidates should demonstrate that they can:

- Write to communicate clearly, effectively and imaginatively, using and adapting forms and selecting vocabulary appropriate to task and purpose in ways that engage the reader (AO3 i)
- Organise information and ideas into structured and sequenced sentences, paragraphs and whole texts, using a variety of linguistic and structural features to support cohesion and overall coherence (AO3 ii)
- Use a range of sentence structures for clarity, purpose and effect, with accurate punctuation and spelling (AO3 iii).

## **INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS – 4 or 5**

- 1 Use for good ideas and for merits of expression to show how you have formed your judgement. Use a wavy line conditional the candidate's writing, or in the margin to show awkward or incorrect syntax/unclear expression. Use a caret to show omission.
- 2 You should write a brief summative comment drawn from the wording of the descriptors to show how you have arrived at your final marks.
- 3 For writing tasks, LENGTH is not in itself a criterion.

Short answers (50-100 words) may well be self-penalising in terms of the marking criteria (eg control and development of ideas; structure; maintaining the reader's interest), but may still demonstrate significant qualities. Very short answers (fewer than 50 words) should not normally be marked higher than Band 7.

- 4 Award TWO separate marks, one for AOs 3(i) + (ii), one for AO3 (iii), using the appropriate instructions and Band Descriptors. Be prepared to use the full range of marks in each sub-set.
- 5 Use the standardisation scripts as guides to your assessment.
- 6 The generic marking criteria for Writing appear after the Notes on the Task.

A633/02

Question	Notes on the Task	Marks	Guidance
4	Expect a wide range of responses to this personal writing prompt. Be prepared to reward those which show a clear awareness of the purpose of the question. There should be evidence of an attempt to use language with appropriate detail and sensitivity. There is no expectation that candidates selecting this question will continue the themes of the texts. Look for a well-structured and coherent response that adopts an appropriate tone.	40	
5	Candidates have been asked to produce a piece of persuasive/ argumentative writing. Expect a wide range of responses, and note that "freedom" can be whatever candidates interpret it as. There is no expectation that candidates selecting this question will continue the themes of the texts. Look to reward those responses that are well crafted and clearly focused on the task and the audience. There should be some intention to use language to create persuasive rhetorical effects.	40	

# **Generic Marking Criteria for Section B: Writing**

Band	Marks	Descriptors AO3i & AO3ii	Marks	Descriptors AO3iii
1	26 25 24	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>shows sophisticated control of the material and makes effective use of linguistic devices.</li> <li>demonstrates a sophisticated understanding of the task, addressing it with complete relevance and adapting form and style with flair to suit audience and purpose.</li> <li>uses precise vocabulary which is fully suited to the purpose of the writing, conveying subtlety of thought and shades of meaning, and where appropriate is imaginative and ambitious in scope.</li> <li>uses structure to produce deliberate effects, developing the writing coherently and skilfully from a confident opening which engages the reader to a very convincing and deliberate ending.</li> <li>is organised into coherent paragraphs which are clearly varied for effect and used confidently to enhance the ideas and meaning.</li> </ul>	14	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses a wide range of sentence structures to ensure clarity and to achieve specific effects relevant to the task.</li> <li>uses ambitious vocabulary with very few spelling errors.</li> <li>uses punctuation consciously and securely to shape meaning, with very few errors.</li> </ul>
2	23 22 21	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>shows full control of the material and makes some effective use of linguistic devices.</li> <li>demonstrates a confident understanding of the task, addressing it with consistent relevance and adapting form and style with assurance to suit audience and purpose.</li> <li>uses imaginative vocabulary which is appropriate to the purpose of the writing, conveying some subtlety of thought and shades of meaning, and where appropriate may show some ambition in scope</li> <li>uses structure consciously for effect, developing the writing coherently from an opening which engages the reader to a convincing and deliberate ending.</li> <li>is organised into paragraphs which have unity, are varied for effect and are used to control the content and achieve overall coherence.</li> </ul>	13 12	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses a range of sentence structures to ensure clarity and to achieve specific effects relevant to the task.</li> <li>uses more complex and irregular vocabulary, almost always securely spelled</li> <li>uses punctuation to shape meaning, mainly securely, with errors only in more complex, irregular structures.</li> </ul>

Band	Marks	Descriptors AO3i & AO3ii	Marks	Descriptors AO3iii
3	20 19 18	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>shows generally competent control of the material but may not always convey meaning clearly when using more ambitious linguistic devices and structures.</li> <li>demonstrates a secure understanding of the task, addressing it in a relevant way and adapting form and style with confidence to suit audience and purpose.</li> <li>uses varied vocabulary to create different effects which are mainly appropriate to the purpose of the writing, conveying thought and meaning clearly.</li> <li>uses structure deliberately and with direction - a focused and interesting opening, events and ideas developed clearly and in some detail, an appropriate ending.</li> <li>uses paragraphs of varying length and structure for effect, which effectively organise and link ideas and create an overall sense of coherence.</li> </ul>	11 10	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses generally well controlled sentence structures which are varied in length and type and show evidence of being used deliberately to create specific effects appropriate to the task.</li> <li>shows secure spelling of complex regular words and generally secure spelling of irregular or more complex vocabulary.</li> <li>uses punctuation to enhance or clarify meaning - is accurate both within and between sentences, but may make some errors in complex sentence structures.</li> </ul>
4	17 16 15	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>shows general control of the material; the response may be straightforward and controlled but linguistically unambitious or may lose some control in attempting something ambitious.</li> <li>demonstrates an understanding of the task, addressing it in a mainly relevant way with some evidence of adapting form and style to suit different audiences and purposes.</li> <li>uses some variety of vocabulary to create different effects and to suit the purpose of the writing, but which may be imprecise or fail to convey shades of meaning.</li> <li>uses structure with a sense of direction - a clear and focused opening, straightforward development of ideas, an attempt to achieve an appropriate ending.</li> <li>is organised into paragraphs which may be varied for effect and which are carefully linked together to make the sequence of events or development of ideas clear to the reader.</li> </ul>	9 8	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses sentence structures which show some variety. May tend to repeat sentence types, lose control of more ambitious structures, or make some syntactical errors.</li> <li>usually spells complex regular words securely; may make errors with irregular or more complex vocabulary.</li> <li>uses punctuation in an attempt to create some specific effects; is usually accurate for sentence separation and sometimes within sentences, but may make less secure use of speech marks, colons and semi colons.</li> </ul>

Band	Marks	Descriptors AO3i & AO3ii	Marks	Descriptors AO3iii
5	14 13 12	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>may not always show control of the material; the response may be simple and controlled but linguistically unambitious, or may attempt something ambitious but tend to lose control.</li> <li>demonstrates some understanding of the task, addressing it in a sometimes relevant way and with some attempt to adapt form and style to suit audience and purpose.</li> <li>uses vocabulary to create some limited effects, which may however be too simple to convey shades of meaning, not fully understood or not appropriate and may contain some idiomatic errors.</li> <li>uses structure with some sense of direction - a generally clear and focussed opening, some development of ideas, a limited attempt to achieve an appropriate ending.</li> <li>uses paragraphs which may occasionally be varied for effect and/or are linked together to make the sequence of events or development of ideas fairly clear to the reader.</li> </ul>	7 6	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses sentence structures which show a little variety; may tend repeat sentence types, lose control of more ambitious structures, and/or include syntactical errors.</li> <li>usually spells simple regular vocabulary securely but may make errors with complex regular vocabulary.</li> <li>uses punctuation which sometimes helps clarify meaning, usually accurately for sentence separation and sometimes successfully within sentences</li> </ul>

Band	Marks	Descriptors AO3i & AO3ii	Marks	Descriptors AO3iii
6	11 10 9	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>does not always show control of the material; the response may have a level of linguistic error that distracts the reader from the merits of the content.</li> <li>demonstrates a limited understanding of the task and addresses it with some relevance, making a limited attempt to adapt form and style to suit audience and purpose.</li> <li>uses vocabulary which is sometimes chosen for variety and interest but likely to be limited in range, sometimes inappropriate and may contain some idiomatic errors.</li> <li>structures writing with some sense of direction which may not be sustained; a fairly clear opening, some limited development of ideas, some sense of sequence for the events or the development of ideas but which may lack unity or have little or no evidence of links between them.</li> </ul>	54	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses repetitive sentence structures, which are mainly simple or compound, or lengthy with some sense of control.</li> <li>usually spells simple regular vocabulary accurately but may make a number of typical errors.</li> <li>sometimes uses punctuation accurately for sentence separation but has limited success with attempts to use it within sentences to clarify meaning.</li> </ul>

	tors AO3iii
<ul> <li>shows limited control of the material; the level of linguistic error may require the reader to re-read some sentences before the meaning is clear.</li> <li>demonstrates a very limited understanding of the task, addressing it with occasional focus and making limited attempts to adapt form and style to suit audience and purpose.</li> </ul>	and a candidate's writing: simple repetitive sentence structures ittle control of more complex ones and ent syntactical faults. s some simple regular vocabulary rately but makes random errors. some basic punctuation with some ess between sentences but, within ences, usually misuses or omits it.

Band	Marks	Descriptors AO3i & AO3ii	Marks	Descriptors AO3iii
8	5 4 3	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>offers occasional relevant and comprehensible content, but density of linguistic error may require the reader to re-read and re-organise the text before meaning is clear.</li> <li>demonstrates a little awareness of the task, addressing it with intermittent focus; form and style may occasionally be appropriate to audience and/or purpose, but this is unlikely to be deliberate.</li> <li>uses vocabulary which is very occasionally chosen for variety and/or interest but which is very limited in range and often inappropriate, with obvious idiomatic errors.</li> <li>shows occasional signs of organisation and a very limited - if any - sense of direction.</li> <li>uses paragraphs occasionally to signal very obvious changes in the direction of events or ideas, but which may need to be re-read or re-organised before the meaning is clear.</li> </ul>	1	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses recognisable sentence structures, with some accuracy in the use of more simple ones.</li> <li>uses erratic spelling which may be recognisable for most words but is accurate for only a limited number.</li> <li>uses punctuation which is occasionally successful but is inconsistent and likely to be inaccurate.</li> </ul>
Below band 8	2 1 0	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>is very short or communicates very little, with some sections making no sense at all; may gain some marks where there is occasional clarity.</li> <li>shows almost no awareness of task, audience or purpose.</li> <li>uses vocabulary which is seriously limited.</li> <li>shows almost no signs of organisation or sense of direction.</li> <li>uses paragraphs -if at all - in a haphazard way such that, in spite of re-reading and re-organising, very little sense emerges.</li> </ul>	0	<ul> <li>In this band a candidate's writing:</li> <li>uses spelling and punctuation so imprecisely that very little meaning is communicated.</li> </ul>

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge CB1 2EU

**OCR Customer Contact Centre** 

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627 Email: <u>general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk</u>

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553 PART OF THE CAMBRIDGE ASSESSMENT GROUP

