

GCE

Religious Studies

Unit **G575**: Developments in Christian Theology

Advanced Subsidiary GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2017

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2017

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning			
L1	Level one – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L2	Level two – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L3	Level three – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L4	Level four – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
L5	Level five – to be used at the end of each part of the response in the margin.			
2	Highlighting a section of the response that is irrelevant to the awarding of the mark.			
SEEN	Point has been seen and noted, e.g. where part of an answer is at the end of the script.			

Question	Indicative Content	Marks	Guidance
1a	Indicative Content Explain James Cone's teaching on the Jesus of history. Candidates may begin by considering the context in which Cone was developing his theology as a black man in the USA. His experience of racism and the dominance of the white churches led to him questioning traditional theology and, in particular 'white' Christology. Candidates might explain that 'white' theology means any theology which does not grow out of the experiences of the community and which tends to universalise theology into an abstract system. Candidates might consider why Cone is critical of the various 'quests' for the historical Jesus. They might point out that these quests tend to fit Jesus into a category (eschatological prophet, the moral teacher, the political rebel), whereas the Gospel presentations of Jesus can't be easily reduced. Cone's experience of blackness and the prejudices which this has incurred has helped him to see Jesus as a real historical figure who also experienced the oppressiveness of the Jewish religious authorities and power of the Romans. Cone provocatively depicts Jesus as the 'black messiah' because he deliberately subverts traditional ideas of who Jesus is. Some candidates might explain why in recent years Cone has focused on the lynching of black people in the USA and its close similarity to Jesus' death on the cross. Finally, candidates might explain that Cone does not see a sharp distinction between the Jesus of history and the Christ of faith, as the Jesus of history reveals God as the one who sides with the oppressed and turns despair into hope.	Marks 25	Guidance

1b	To what extent has Cone's theology completely misrepresented the person of Christ? Some candidates might argue that the historical Jesus did not limit his ministry to the poor and the oppressed but mixed with all kinds of people. This challenges Cone's very limited view that Jesus only ministered to the marginalised. Candidates might consider that naming Jesus the 'black messiah' is not only historically misleading but symbolically misrepresenting Jesus' message which was raceless and classless. They might give examples from the Gospels to illustrate this. On the other hand candidates might argue that it is wrong to say that Cone 'completely misrepresents' Christ. They might suggest that Cone's theology is deliberately provocative, not just in stirring up the consciences of 'white' theologians but also of black ministers and congregations.	10	
	Candidates might conclude that there have always been very different ways of presenting the person of Christ in art, sculpture, music and so on. Even the New Testament writers had quite different theologies of Christ's significance. If so, then Cone is continuing a long tradition of locating Jesus in the culture of the day.		
2a	Explain Augustine's teaching on the obedient soul's relationship to the body. Candidates may begin by explaining that Augustine's notion of the soul is essentially Platonic. He considers the soul to have several aspects two of which are its rational/deliberative function and the affective/obedient function. Candidates might describe the way the soul functions in relationship to the body before and after the Fall. Before the Fall the body and soul are in in perfect harmony. The body is ruled over by the deliberative soul and acts in total obedience to God's laws. Before the Fall Adam and Eve are also in harmony with each other	25	

3a	Explain why the Bible is important in the process of conscientisation.	25	
	Candidates might begin by explaining that conscientisation is a Marxist term referring to the way in which a person comes to understand their situation and how they can change it. Conscientisation is also the moment, in liberation theology, when the poor cease to consider themselves to be 'poor' but persons and subjects of their own destiny.		
	Candidates might explain that conscientisation is an educative process. They might refer to Paulo Freire's pedagogy with its focus on the learner rather than the teacher.		
	Candidates might then explain the purpose of the three mediations as a systematic means by which the poor learn in practical and spiritual terms to become their own subjects. The mediation which is central to this is the second – the hermeneutical mediation.		
	The hermeneutical mediation allows the poor to read and interpret the Bible themselves (as suggested in Freire's pedagogy) and in so doing develop their own sense of spiritual worth. Candidates might illustrate how this works in the hermeneutical circle and in the weekly reviews when a Bible passage is read, discussed and applied to life.		
	Candidates might refer to specific Bible texts (Exodus, Amos, Luke 4:18ff, Acts 4:32-35, Revelation 21) which are popular in liberation theology and explain how these texts aid in the conscientisation process.		
3b	'It is the Church which needs conscientisation not the poor.' Discuss.	10	
	Some candidates might argue that if the Church hierarchy and those responsible for its organisation were to be conscientised, then the plight of the poor might be very different. Some might refer to the way in which Oscar Romero and Camillo de Torres made the		

	switch from seeing the situation of the poor as an unchangeable		
	aspect of life to a situation of injustice.		
	1		
	Some candidates might refer to Pope Francis' efforts to shift		
	traditional Church attitudes and alter the way in which Christians		
	view the marginalised and oppressed.		
	On the other hand, candidates might argue as Marx did, that it is		
	the poor/proletariat who are revolutionary and it is they who have		
	most to gain through conscientisation.		
	Candidates might argue that conscientisation should apply in		
	different ways to the poor and the Church as a mind shift is		
	required from both.		
4a	Explain the relationship between structural and personal sin in	25	
44	liberation theology.	23	
	inderation theology.		
	Candidates might begin by explaining that sin is a theological word		
	which broadly describes the disunity between humans and God.		
	Sin occurs in different ways both personal and collective.		
	Candidates might refer to the traditional distinction between		
	collective sin or 'original sin' and sins committed in the usual		
	course of life. However, the distinctive contribution of liberation		
	theologians is to develop a collective notion of sin which is revealed		
	in a Marxist analysis of the deeper causes of injustice and		
	exploitation rather than just considering individual sin.		
	Liberation theologians (and the CELAM meetings at Puebla and		
	Medellin) refer to the 'structures of sin'. The phrase is reminiscent		
	of the 8 th century prophets' attack on Israel's leaders social and		
	religious life: superficially pious and moral but in deeply unjust and		
	exploitative.		
	·		
	Candidates might explain how the poor are trapped in a system		
	where the rich become richer and the poor are powerless to bring		
	about even simple changes. Structures of sin are systemic and		
	require wholesale societal changes from the top down.		
	Some candidates might refer to the way the story of the Rich		

	Young Man illustrates that although the man has not committed any personal sins (he has kept the 10 commandants) he unwittingly supports systemic sin – he is rich but feels no religious or moral obligation to overcome poverty.		
4b	'Poverty is sin.' Discuss.	10	
	Some candidates might argue that the statement is far too sweeping. There are many causes of poverty; some, such as		
	natural disasters, are unfortunate but cannot be classified as sinful. Some poverty is the result of misfortune but is not wilful.		
	On the other hand, some candidates might argue that as the world's wealth is sufficient to wipe out poverty then poverty is an indicator of structural and systemic sin.		
	Some candidates might refer to the Boffs' distinction between poverty as the result backwardness and dialectic poverty. It is dialectic poverty (caused by unfair means of production) which is the result of structural sin and cannot be blamed on negligence.		

APPENDIX 1 AS LEVELS OF RESPONSE

Level	Mark /25	A01	Mark /10	AO2
0	0	absent/no relevant material	0	absent/no argument
1	1–5	almost completely ignores the question	1–2	very little argument or justification of viewpoint
		little relevant material		little or no successful analysis
		some concepts inaccurate		views asserted with no justification
		shows little knowledge of technical terms		L1
		L1		
	1	Communication: often unclear or disorganised; can be difficult to understand; Sp		
2	6–10	a basic attempt to address the question	3–4	a basic attempt to sustain an argument and justify a viewpoint
		knowledge limited and partially accurate		some analysis, but not successful
		limited understanding		views asserted with little justification
		selection often inappropriate		L2
		might address the general topic rather than the question directly		
		limited use of technical terms		
		L2		
		Communication: some clarity and organisation; easy to follow in parts; spelling,	punctuation	and grammar may be inadequate
3	11–15	satisfactory attempt to address the question	5–6	the argument is sustained and justified
		some accurate knowledge		some successful analysis which may be implicit
		appropriate understanding		views asserted but not fully justified
		some successful selection of material		L3
		some accurate use of technical terms		
		L3		
		Communication: some clarity and organisation; easy to follow in parts; spelling,		
4	16–20	a good attempt to address the question	7–8	a good attempt to sustain an argument
		accurate knowledge		some effective use of evidence
		good understanding		some successful and clear analysis
		good selection of material		considers more than one view point
		technical terms mostly accurate		L4
		L4		
		Communication: generally clear and organised; can be understood as a whole;		
5	21–25	a very good/excellent attempt to address the question showing understanding	9–10	A very good/excellent attempt to sustain an argument
		and engagement with the material		comprehends the demands of the question
		very high level of ability to select and deploy relevant information		uses a range of evidence
		accurate use of technical terms		shows understanding and critical analysis of different
		L5		viewpoints
-		Communication, anguar is well constructed and argenized, and argenized.	nalling nus	L5
Communication: answer is well constructed and organised; easily understood; spelling, punctuation and grammar very good				

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



