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OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

## Annotations and abbreviations

| Annotation in scoris | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\checkmark$ and $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| FT | Follow through |
| ISW | Ignore subsequent working |
| M0, M1 | Method mark awarded 0,1 |
| A0, A1 | Accuracy mark awarded 0, 1 |
| B0, B1 | Independent mark awarded 0, 1 |
| SC | Special case |
| ^ | Omission sign |
| MR | Misread |
| Highlighting |  |
|  |  |
| Other abbreviations <br> in mark scheme | Meaning |
| E1 | Mark for explaining |
| U1 | Mark for correct units |
| G1 | Mark for a correct feature on a graph |
| M1 dep* | Method mark dependent on a previous mark, indicated by * |
| cao | Correct answer only |
| oe | Or equivalent |
| rot | Rounded or truncated |
| soi | Seen or implied |
| www | Without wrong working |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Subject-specific Marking Instructions

Annotations should be used whenever appropriate during your marking.
The $A, M$ and $B$ annotations must be used on your standardisation scripts for responses that are not awarded either 0 or full marks. It is vital that you annotate standardisation scripts fully to show how the marks have been awarded.

For subsequent marking you must make it clear how you have arrived at the mark you have awarded.
b An element of professional judgement is required in the marking of any written paper. Remember that the mark scheme is designed to assist in marking incorrect solutions. Correct solutions leading to correct answers are awarded full marks but work must not be judged on the answer alone, and answers that are given in the question, especially, must be validly obtained; key steps in the working must always be looked at and anything unfamiliar must be investigated thoroughly.

Correct but unfamiliar or unexpected methods are often signalled by a correct result following an apparently incorrect method. Such work must be carefully assessed. When a candidate adopts a method which does not correspond to the mark scheme, award marks according to the spirit of the basic scheme; if you are in any doubt whatsoever (especially if several marks or candidates are involved) you should contact your Team Leader.
c The following types of marks are available.

## M

A suitable method has been selected and applied in a manner which shows that the method is essentially understood. Method marks are not usually lost for numerical errors, algebraic slips or errors in units. However, it is not usually sufficient for a candidate just to indicate an intention of using some method or just to quote a formula; the formula or idea must be applied to the specific problem in hand, eg by substituting the relevant quantities into the formula. In some cases the nature of the errors allowed for the award of an $M$ mark may be specified.

A
Accuracy mark, awarded for a correct answer or intermediate step correctly obtained. Accuracy marks cannot be given unless the associated Method mark is earned (or implied). Therefore M0 A1 cannot ever be awarded.

B
Mark for a correct result or statement independent of Method marks.
E
A given result is to be established or a result has to be explained. This usually requires more working or explanation than the
establishment of an unknown result.
Unless otherwise indicated, marks once gained cannot subsequently be lost, eg wrong working following a correct form of answer is ignored. Sometimes this is reinforced in the mark scheme by the abbreviation isw. However, this would not apply to a case where a candidate passes through the correct answer as part of a wrong argument.

When a part of a question has two or more 'method' steps, the M marks are in principle independent unless the scheme specifically says otherwise; and similarly where there are several B marks allocated. (The notation 'dep *' is used to indicate that a particular mark is dependent on an earlier, asterisked, mark in the scheme.) Of course, in practice it may happen that when a candidate has once gone wrong in a part of a question, the work from there on is worthless so that no more marks can sensibly be given. On the other hand, when two or more steps are successfully run together by the candidate, the earlier marks are implied and full credit must be given.

The abbreviation ft implies that the A or B mark indicated is allowed for work correctly following on from previously incorrect results. Otherwise, A and B marks are given for correct work only - differences in notation are of course permitted. A (accuracy) marks are not given for answers obtained from incorrect working. When A or B marks are awarded for work at an intermediate stage of a solution, there may be various alternatives that are equally acceptable. In such cases, exactly what is acceptable will be detailed in the mark scheme rationale. If this is not the case please consult your Team Leader.

Sometimes the answer to one part of a question is used in a later part of the same question. In this case, A marks will often be 'follow through'. In such cases you must ensure that you refer back to the answer of the previous part question even if this is not shown within the image zone. You may find it easier to mark follow through questions candidate-by-candidate rather than question-by-question.

Wrong or missing units in an answer should not lead to the loss of a mark unless the scheme specifically indicates otherwise. Candidates are expected to give numerical answers to an appropriate degree of accuracy, with 3 significant figures often being the norm. Small variations in the degree of accuracy to which an answer is given (e.g. 2 or 4 significant figures where 3 is expected) should not normally be penalised, while answers which are grossly over- or under-specified should normally result in the loss of a mark. The situation regarding any particular cases where the accuracy of the answer may be a marking issue should be detailed in the mark scheme rationale. If in doubt, contact your Team Leader.

Rules for replaced work
If a candidate attempts a question more than once, and indicates which attempt he/she wishes to be marked, then examiners should do as the candidate requests.

If there are two or more attempts at a question which have not been crossed out, examiners should mark what appears to be
the last (complete) attempt and ignore the others.
NB Follow these maths-specific instructions rather than those in the assessor handbook.

For a genuine misreading (of numbers or symbols) which is such that the object and the difficulty of the question remain unaltered, mark according to the scheme but following through from the candidate's data. A penalty is then applied; 1 mark is generally appropriate, though this may differ for some units. This is achieved by withholding one A mark in the question.

Note that a miscopy of the candidate's own working is not a misread but an accuracy error.
Anything in the mark scheme which is in square brackets [...] is not required for the mark to be earned, but if present it must be correct.

| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance | AO | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (i)(A) | 12[\%] | B1 |  | 1 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(B) | "45700" or " 3 " seen as denominator or numerator respectively oe. $\frac{3}{45700}$ <br> oe isw for attempts to simplify | M1 <br> A1 | Accept 3000000 and 45700000000 Condone for the M1 mixed units i.e. in units of a million with say standard form in the same fraction. <br> (e.g. 0.000065(6 ... )0.0065(6 ... )\% i.e. need the \% unless clear from working e.g. a" $\times 100$ apparent) <br> Condone $0.007 \%$ / 0.00007 $\qquad$ OR $\qquad$ <br> If probabilities given in 1 in xxx form <br> M1 for $45700 \div 3$ seen <br> A1 for 1 in 15233 or 1 in 15 233. . rot (do not allow 3 in 45700 ) | 1 <br> 1 | E <br> E |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(C) | 17992.6 ... or 17993 or 18404 (from rounding to 3 million) seen $1 \text { in } 18000$ | B1 <br> B1 | Just the originating calculation not sufficient must have a result. <br> (Independent marks) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | E <br> E |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance | AO | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | " $\times 1.02^{10}$ " or $1.2189 \ldots .$. seen <br> (£) 1218.99(442) <br> (£)1219 | M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 | Condone if repetitive calculation at least first 3 correct steps (i.e. 1020 / 1040.4 / 1061.2 ... or 1020 / 1040 / 1060.8 or 1061) <br> CAO <br> 1200 automatically fails to gain credit (simple interest) | $1$ $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}$ <br> C <br> C |
|  |  | [3] |  |  |  |
| (iii)(A) | $\begin{aligned} &(980.5(0)) \times 100 \div 183.7 \mathrm{oe} \\ &= 533.75 \ldots \text { or } 533.75 \mathrm{rot} \\ & 534 \end{aligned}$ | M1 <br> A1 <br> A1 | Condone if 183.7 is rounded to 184 <br> If 184 used should be $532.608 \ldots$ <br> If 184 used should be 533 <br> FT on their $533.75 \ldots$ <br> SC1 for 5 seen (omission of $\times 100$ ) <br> Or <br> SC2 for convoluted or less than transparent methods involving ratio or similar based on using other years from the graph but resulting in a number which is clearly the answer which is an integer in the range $532-536$. | 1 <br> 1 <br> 3 | E <br> E <br> E |
|  |  | [3] |  |  |  |


| Question |  | Answer | Marks | Guidance | AO | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (iii)(B) | Point (2017, 534) indicated | B1 | FT from part (iii)(A) Use overlay, centre of cross oe between the two "tram lines". | 1 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (iv) | Premium Bonds <br> 1 valid positive/negative reason <br> e.g. + Original money/investment/stake not lost. <br> _ May not get any prizes, so no increase in the investment. <br> Savings account <br> 1 valid positive/negative reason <br> e.g. + Guaranteed return/increase. <br> - Cannot withdraw money, not a very good rate of interest. <br> Buying gold <br> 1 valid positive/negative reason <br> e.g. $+\quad$ Seems to increase in value overall. <br> - Possibility of dips in value | E1 <br> E1 <br> E1 | Reasons involve simplistic pay-off and risk/uncertainty. <br> See LIST after coordination. | 3 <br> 3 <br> 3 | E <br> E <br> E |
|  |  |  | [3] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Question} \& Answer \& Marks \& Guidance \& AO \& Level \\
\hline 2 \& (i) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Correct labelling of axes frequency / Star rating and graduated. \\
(cales can be in any reasonable graduation - probably 1 or 2 )
\end{tabular} \& B1
B1 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Any sensible scale acceptable (Although unit for unit most probable.) Minimum of both axes numerically labelled. If a form of bar chart or histogram used the labelling of bars rather than lines is allowed for this mark. \\
If both/either scales not numerically labelled the second B1 can be gained only by assuming a unit scale and marking against this. \\
Accept (with 3/7/0/7/3 frequency):Standard dot plot \\
Stick graph. \\
Individual points (not necessarily zero) \\
Bar chart (with gaps between bars) \\
Do not accept:- \\
Histogram (bar chart with bars touching) Line graph (this data not continuous)
\end{tabular} \& 1

1 \& E <br>
\hline \& \& \& [2] \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& (ii) \& Because the mean is 3 but all the ratings/results are either higher or lower than this. oe \& E1 \& Mean not representative as bimodal. Condone "because no one gets a 3 " as a BOD for implying some form of bimodal distribution. \& 3 \& E <br>
\hline \& \& \& [1] \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



| Question | Answer | Marks | Guidance | AO | Level |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (iv)(C) | Favours those with a very small number of mainly high ratings oe <br> or <br> Specific examples from table showing the above: R/T or W/S | E1 | No credit for simply "Different number <br> of stars" | 3 |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $[\mathbf{1 ]}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | (i)(A) | (US\$)95 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | B1 | Condone $95 \%$ and $£ 95$ |  |
|  | (i)(B) | 1985 to 1990 | $[\mathbf{1}]$ |  | E |
|  |  | $[\mathbf{B 1}$ |  | 3 | C |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3 \& (iii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Drawing with 4 panels across and 2 deep (as above) \\
At least three panels drawn correctly to scale. \\
Clearance of 30 cm of panels from roof edge (as per instructions).
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
B1 \\
B1 \\
B1
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Using overlay for panel size (usual \(\pm \frac{1}{2}\) a small division tolerance) \\
Dependent of the first B1 but allow iff no panels drawn i.e. just the permitted rectangle for them all 30 cm or more round
\end{tabular} \& 2
2
3 \& E
E
E \\
\hline \& \& \& [3] \& \& \& \\
\hline \& (iv)(A) \& (Total cost = ) \(£ 6000+(\mathfrak{f}) 3000((=(£) 9000)\)
\(2800 \times 0.1405(=393.4)\) or \(2800 \times 14.05=39340\)
(Annual savings \(=2800 \times 0.1405=)(£) 393.4(0)\)
Their "total cost" \(\div\) their"savings" \((=22.87 \ldots\).
23 years \& \begin{tabular}{l}
B1 \\
B1 \\
M1 \\
A1
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
isw \\
Note p calculation \((2800 \times 14.05)\) must have correct answer. \\
Follow through - but must be rounded up - to next year. \\
Special case for candidates who assume and state that Amy does not take up the special offer of insurance \\
Award first B1 (giving 16 years as the final answer)
\end{tabular} \& 2
2
2
2
2 \& E
C

C
C <br>
\hline \& \& \& [4] \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

|  | (iv)(B) | (Unit) cost of electricity will (probably) increase over 25 years oe (not paying insurance/maintenance) | E1 | Remember payback time $=$ total cost (£9000) $\div$ (cost of electricity $\times$ usage) | 2 | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{iv})(C)$ | $12 \times 44.71 \times 4(=2146.08)$ soi <br> (£)146.08 or (£)146 | M1 A1 | May be imbedded in an unnecessary \% interest calculation, in this case isw after the correct amount. <br> If zero SC 1 for correct "A calculated sum" - $£ 2000$ | 2 2 | E E |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
| 4 | (i)(A) | 3 (years) | B1 | Condone correct years 2000, 2001, 2006 | 1 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(B) | As a minimum "percentage oe deceases" oe isw (any reasonable comment e.g. "It has dropped from 39.8 to 6.8 ") <br> (Dips /decreases - goes up a little - drops a little - rises a little then slowly declines) | B1 | Condone numbers or percentages. Do not accept any reference to constancy without a time scale. <br> See LIST after coordination The general shape is: | 3 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |


|  | (i)(C) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \div 1.41 \\ & =(£) 1.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \end{aligned}$ | CAO (1.347 ... Gains no credit) | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(D) | $\frac{1}{10}$ of 7400 million $=740$ million <br> or $700 \div 7400=0.0945$ or $7400 \div 700=10.571 \ldots$ rot oe <br> "No" or "Yes" supported with reference to their above working. | B1 <br> E1 | (Allow via using percentages) <br> Must specifically reference to the result of their calculation Condone FT but must have working possibly erroneous. . | 2 3 | E |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
| 4 | (ii) | $2.56 \times 10^{14} \div 7.4 \times 10^{9}$ or better | M1 |  | 2 | C |
|  |  | = (US\$ ) 34 594. ... | A1 | Allow standard form | 2 | C |
|  |  | (US\$ )35 000 or (US\$ )34 600 or (US\$ )34 595 or (US\$ )34 590 | A1 | Allow standard form | 2 | A |
|  |  |  | [3] |  |  |  |
|  | (iii)(A) | $(14000 \div 28700)=0.4878 \ldots$ rot or 0.5 or 0.49 <br> Moderate prosperity | B1 <br> B1 | i.e. taking account of mental rounding from calculator. <br> FT on first part or if 0.5 clearly used (e.g. last number in the calculation) then "only basic needs met" | 1 3 | E |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  | (iii)(B) | 116000 (peso) | B1 |  | 2 | C |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |


| 5 | (i)(A) | Point (200, 0.6) plotted. | B1 | Condone if point identified by two intersecting lines from the axes. | 1 | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(B) | Explanation or line extended or point itself marked $0.008 \text { to } 0.012 \text { (\%) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | (Independent marks) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | C |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(C) | (35 to 45) (reading scale) <br> Reciprocal of their reading ( 0.022 to 0.028 ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | Ignore any attached units <br> FT reciprocal of their length Allow reciprocal as a fraction | $2$ $2$ | A A |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  | (i)(D) | 25 (cm) | B1 | 0.25 m is not acceptable from the question. | 2 | C |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (ii)(A) | 4.4 moe | B1 | Must have correct units. | 1 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (ii)(B) | 2 (years) | B1 | Condone 1979 and 1982 or 4.8 and 4.9 | 1 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (ii)(C) | 5.3 (m) | B1 |  | 2 | E |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |


| 5 | (iii) | Attempt to multiply at least two pairs of results | M1 | $\begin{array}{lrr} 0.06 \times 29.6 & 0.30 \times 5.7 & 0.31 \times 5.8 \\ 0.81 \times 2.1 & 2.85 \times 0.64 & \end{array}$ | 2 | A <br> A <br> A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stating a conclusion regarding the rule e.g. all similar size/value conclusion based on at least three correctly calculated values for c | A1 | 1.776 / 1.71 / 1.798 / 1.701 / 1.824 A conclusion may be implied by a correct mean. | 2 |  |
|  |  | Value of $c$ in the range $1.701<\mathrm{c}<$ to 1.824 soi | A1 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | [3] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| 6 | (i)(A) |  | B1 | Mark for intent - be moderately liberal A curve between 6 and 13 - no straight line segments (but may have at the ends) - but end points may have a curve. <br> The curve/line must cover the full range 0 to 18 hours. <br> If multi-attempts mark worst. (See LIST after coordination) | 3 | C |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |



|  |  |  | [3] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | (i)(C) | $41.666 \ldots$ rot or 42 (days) | B1 |  | 3 | C |
|  |  |  | [1] |  |  |  |
|  | (ii) | There are at least two planets One planet has an orbit time of 16 days The other had an orbit time of 26 days One planet is larger than the other planet oe <br> (These must be deductions drawn for the brightness graph) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 for first correct response <br> 1 for second correct response (ignore erroneous ones) <br> One planet is faster so its smaller i.e. a deduction not possible from the brightness graph only gains one. But as separate statement could gain $1+1$ | 2 3 | A A |
|  |  |  | [2] |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |
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