



# A LEVEL

Examiners' report



**H431** For first teaching in 2015

# H431/01 Summer 2019 series

Version 1

www.ocr.org.uk/business

# Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 1 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Section B overview	6
Question 16	6
Question 17	7
Question 18	8
Question 19 (a)	
Question 19 (b)	10
Question 20 (a)	
Question 20 (b)	13



#### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this pdf as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Save As Other . . . and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select *Save as...* to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for *pdf to word* converter).



#### We value your feedback We'd like to know your view on the resources we produce. By clicking on the icon above you will help us to ensure that our resources work for you.

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates. The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report. A full copy of the question paper can be downloaded from OCR.

## Paper 1 series overview

This component focuses on the local business environment, in this case a small private limited company offering professional simulated racing experiences. The concept was accepted by the majority of candidates and very few mixed up the idea of simulators versus go kart racing on a track.

Some responses were very hard to read, sometimes even impossible which means that they are not likely to score highly due to the argument being difficult to follow. This is something which centres need to address if a candidate is to reach his/her potential. On the other hand, there were many scripts were very well laid out with the arguments clearly set down in paragraphs and with a concise evaluative conclusion.

There was no evidence of time pressure in the examination and very few candidates 'ran out of time'.

This report has been written based on the feedback from the entire team of examiners and should be read in conjunction with the published mark scheme.

## Section A overview

The multiple-choice questions proved a very effective discriminator on this paper. Inevitably, some questions generated a very high level of correct responses whereas others did not. The quantitative questions were quite low scoring with Question 4 and 14 both having less than 30% correct answers. Equally low scoring was Question 10 which asked for the most appropriate academic training method for an account's supervisor, surprisingly, the majority of candidates opted for the 'Advanced Diploma in Marketing'.

Candidates do need to have a knowledge and understanding of all of the areas of the specification on every paper. However, there were plenty of candidates who demonstrated excellent knowledge of topics and scored more than 12 marks, with a significant number managing to score full marks on this section.

It should also be noted that there were a small number of candidates who missed out one or more answers in this section. Centres are reminded to inform candidates that there is no negative marking and so any answer is better than none. There were also other candidates who marked more than one box. When a multiple-choice question has only a single, correct response and a candidate provides two responses (even if one of these responses is correct), then no mark can be given, as it is not possible to determine which the first response was selected by the candidate.

A detailed rational of the multiple-choice answers is provided in the mark scheme.

## Section B overview

Most candidates were able to use the case material from the resource booklet in their answers, which is key to being able to access the higher skills of analysis and evaluation. Many, however, tended to focus on the same pieces of context in many of their answers, which although this is not wrong, it can give an answer which is nonselective in the use of context and can make it more difficult for them to access analysis and evaluation marks. There was a wealth of data available in the resource booklet and also from the two calculation questions where answers could have then been used to further analyse and evaluate.

It is worth noting that although many candidates were able to make very good use of the information provided in the resource booklet, there were also a good proportion of candidates who simply gave theoretical answers or only mentioned the owners name. Responses which only mentioned 'Ross' were not able to achieve the contextual application marks meaning that they were also unable to gain the marks for analysis and evaluation.

Candidates should make thorough use of the Resource Booklet in order to answer the questions in context. Good use of context will pull together two or three pieces of information from the case study. This is certainly an area which centres should focus on in order to allow their candidates to gain access to the higher marks.

#### Question 16

Use the information provided in the **Resource Booklet** to answer the following questions.

16 Go Race! is a small business.

Analyse one way being a small business might benefit Go Race!

.....[6]

Generally, a well answered question with the majority of candidates being able to analyse one benefit of being a small business. There were not too many generic answers and most candidates were able to access at least 4 marks. A clear chain of analysis was required in order to access the full marks on this question, but unfortunately often candidates were not able to develop their point. There was also some confusion that Go Race! was 'a small sole trader' despite being told in line 14 it is a company. Marks were not deducted for this but it pays to be clear about context.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following
<ul> <li>Provided detailed contextualised answers.</li> <li>Gave well developed analysis, for example they considered how Ross would be able to tailor the experience of the racing simulators and give the 'personal touch' in order to benefit from repeat customers; possibly pushing him towards his growth objective of £50,000.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provided no context – simply stating the owners name is not considered sufficient.</li> <li>Wrote about the disadvantages of being a small business.</li> <li>Failed to develop a chain of analysis.</li> </ul>

Because of this the proite made by garra Go Race ! can be used in order to help with the regular replacement of the sochware and other costs related to the bechnology within the busies. Furthermore as less money is the gong at in terms of staff costs, money/project can also be used to increase the bechnology with to beep up with technological changes. in order to & remain impetitue

This response demonstrates a clear, contextualised line of analysis which is developed and gained the candidate full marks.

#### Question 17

17 Refer to Table 1.

Analyse **two** reasons why it is important for Go Race! to offer customers a variety of different prices.

Overall, this was answered very well with the majority of candidates being able to demonstrate a sound knowledge of pricing policies which allowed them to score between 6 and 9 marks.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following
<ul> <li>Made explicit reference to Table 1.</li> <li>Understood the concept of capacity utilisation during quieter times with cheaper prices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Many candidates did not have a well developed chain of analysis and therefore did not achieve higher than 4 marks.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Some were able to link to PED or Income Elasticity.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Some focused on the benefits for customers and did not bring it back to why it was important for Go Race!</li> </ul>
• The majority of candidates focused on the fact that Ross had a diverse customer base and his need to continue to attract customers with different pricing policies.	<ul> <li>Made little to no reference to Go Race!</li> <li>Talked in general terms about 'what customers look for when considering price.</li> </ul>

Another reason it is important for GO Race! to offer Cristomers a variety of different prices is that it gives Cristomers the option to pay more if they enjoy Go Race! and it provides a range of options for people to choose from, whether they play alone or in a graup, plus it has different prices for children as parents are more likely to pay for a party there, as it will be cheaper for them, leadshap more a party there, as an increase in profit, as they are reaching act to different target graups, gaining more customers.

Exemplar 2 demonstrates a candidate who has not focused on the benefit to the business and instead has strayed into giving the benefits to the customer. Emphasis should be placed on the candidate reading the question carefully in order to make sure that they have a clear focus on what is required.

## Question 18

18\* Evaluate the risks which may have been encountered by Go Race! in its first year of trading. [15]

This was a reasonably well answered with candidates being able to formulate some good analysis on the risks which may have been encountered by Go Race! in its first year of trading. The majority of candidates demonstrated their knowledge of risk from areas such as not gaining enough customers in order to survive as it was a new business concept, or the risk of cash flow problems, especially as Ross had been turned down by the bank for a loan. Other risks may have come from a competitor entering the market, economic changes or key employees leaving the firm.

However, there were a large proportion of candidates who decided to make any factor mentioned in the case study and turn it in to a 'risk' such as 'lack of advertising' which was one that was seen many times over. Because the question required the candidate to evaluate more than one risk, they were able to pick up marks on subsequent awardable risks, but this generally meant that they tried to cover every conceivable risk with no attempt at evaluation. This is reflected in the low proportion of candidates who scored full marks on this question, with the majority being given 7 marks. In reality, two well analysed and evaluated risks were enough to gain all of the marks.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally did the following	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally did the following
<ul> <li>They really understood the specific risks faced within the first year of trading for a business – and not at other times.</li> </ul>	• There were numerous references to long term issues (e.g. risk of franchising etc) which is NAQ as the question asks for the 'first year'.
• Many were able to use the information from the case study in relation to Ross' inability to raise finance from the bank due to the insufficient data available on which to base a lending decision.	<ul> <li>They did not indicate what the risk actually was likely to be or focused on areas that were not actual 'risks' to the business.</li> <li>Made no attempt at evaluation.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Others tended to go down the route of the staffing issues as two members of staff were on zero-hour contracts and the knock-on effects if the business was to close at certain times.</li> </ul>	
• Thought about 'the extent to which' in order to move into evaluation. These candidates focused on the extent to which those identified risks would actually impact on the business.	
<ul> <li>Other candidates focused on which risk may actually be the most significant to the business in the first year.</li> </ul>	

#### Question 19 (a)

- 19 "The simulators themselves depreciate in value quite quickly." (Line 54)
  - (a) Assume that a simulator bought at the start of 2018 cost £6000 new and that it depreciates by £1250 a year.

Calculate the net book value of the simulator at the start of 2020.

It was really pleasing to see that over 90% of candidates were able to gain full marks for this question. Candidates should be reminded of the importance of showing their workings as marks can still be given even if the answer is incorrect. These questions are also not meant to be stand-alone and should be used in subsequent questions, in this case Question 19b in order to underpin understanding and develop analysis and evaluation.

	AfL	The advantage of showing workings is that an incorrect answer can still score close to full marks. If the rest of the workings are correct (and clearly seen) the answer could still achieve one mark.
$\smile$		,

## Question 19 (b)

(b)\* Evaluate how the method of depreciation used by Go Race! may affect its financial position.

[15]

This question was not generally well answered and is therefore an area where centres need to put greater focus into their teaching of this topic. This is emphasised in the fact that the majority of candidates scored only 3 marks and a high proportion scoring zero. Many candidates missed the point as to what the question actually wanted, which was how the method used would affect the financial position of Go Race!

Some of those candidates who understood the concept of depreciation and the forms it could take were then unable to gain higher marks due to their inability to relate to the financial position of Go Race! or they were vague in their answer. There was also a lot of confusion with ARR and Payback, while other candidates confused depreciation with 'replacing technology'.

There are two types of depreciation methods, one is the stratight - line method and the other is the declining method, this can be used to precifict the businesses value in the piture, as well as, discorer what they need to do to keep them. & GO Race! profitable.

The mithod of deprediction may the affect Go race's financial position as It will cust Ross quite a bit, to frequently update 'graphic cards' and 'update software', which cauld also all heigh the amount of money the revenue that Go race! generate, causing them to use money, therefore their financial position will be in trauble as they caud be in debt, which they will find had to pay aut of

In adathon, the method of deprediction may affect Go Race's' financial position, as it will method that they have to keep walpating the business, in order for GO Race! to continue to meet the needs of their customers, and if they fails to do this, then the customers are less where to purchase from them, as they are no inger satisfied, resulting in Go Race! losing profit, and having less money to afford new equipment, for them to meet the needs of their employees, causing the demand to fail for Go Race! so service

6 Race 170 countrising the straight - une depediation nethod, taking as a fixed level of q the machinery each year Thus allowing for the residual value to decrease by the same anaure each year. This may be particularly beneficial to GORAGE LTD especially when casidering pinancial position astre depreciation anount can be determined quickly, as well as this the havener the straight the method has its drawbooks particularly 4 some a the shmulators are denised it may cause quicter depreciano-

The two responses above show one candidate who has been unable to demonstrate any understanding of depreciation compared to a candidate who has clearly been able to show knowledge of the subject with excellent contextualised analysis. As can been seen in the Exemplar 4, the candidate immediately recognises the method being used in the case study and starts to think about the implications to the business. The candidate response for Exemplar 3 was given NAQ, while the Exemplar 4 response received full marks for this question.

It is important for centres to stress to candidates that they may be examined on any area of the specification.

## Question 20 (a)

20 (a) Ross has calculated that the average spend per customer per visit is £17. He forecasts that, based on the data in Fig. 2, customer numbers will grow by 10% per month from May 2019.

Calculate the forecast total revenue earned by Go Race! in July 2019.

Over 70% of candidates were able to gain marks for this question. Although it was noticeable that many candidates were unable to calculate a percentage increase and many did not read the question and started from May 2018 instead of 2019. Candidates should be reminded of the importance of showing their workings as marks can still be given even if the answer is incorrect.

seen) up to 2 marks could still be given.
---

## Question 20 (b)

(b)\* Evaluate whether Ross should try to achieve his growth objective by franchising the business in the future. (Lines 64—68) [15]

On the whole this was very well answered with the majority of candidates having an understanding of franchising and the benefits and drawbacks associated with it. However, there did seem to be the assumption that this strategy of growth, should it be taken, would be 'quick and easy' for Ross. No consideration was often given as to how Ross might get to the point of being able to offer the 'turnkey' service and the fact that he would have to carry out extensive research in order to find the right location and then raise (at least some of) the funds to get it established even if the franchisee had to repay him on the day the franchise actually began/contracts were exchanged.

<ul> <li>the of co pla</li> <li>Th sh</li> </ul>	ept closely to the use of franchising to meet e growth objective. ecognised the implications to Ross in terms finding the right franchisee - opportunity st of any time Ross may need to spend on anning etc. hought about the consequences to Go Race! hought the franchisee not fit in to the ethos	<ul> <li>Thought that franchising simply meant 'Ross opening another outlet'.</li> <li>Gave very little consideration to the amount of work Ross would need to undertake in order to find the right location/franchisee/funds etc.</li> <li>Did not produce developed chains of analysis.</li> <li>Made no attempt at any evaluation.</li> </ul>
of co pla • Th sh	finding the right franchisee - opportunity est of any time Ross may need to spend on anning etc. hought about the consequences to Go Race! hould the franchisee not fit in to the ethos	<ul><li>work Ross would need to undertake in order to find the right location/franchisee/funds etc.</li><li>Did not produce developed chains of analysis.</li></ul>
sh	ould the franchisee not fit in to the ethos	
เทล	at Ross has created.	Produced very generic answers.
thi	onsidered the amount of money and where is may come from in order to off a 'turnkey' prvice.	
	eveloped strong chains of analysis on the pove.	
wc the hir op	valuated or made a judgement on whether it buld be better for Ross to try and grow at e existing location or open another branch mself putting a manager in place to oversee berations rather than risk the reputation of e brand.	

## Supporting you

For further details of this qualification please visit the subject webpage.

## **Review of results**

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our review of results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u>. If university places are at stake you may wish to consider priority service 2 reviews of marking which have an earlier deadline to ensure your reviews are processed in time for university applications.

# activeresults

*Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. Available for GCSE, A Level and Cambridge Nationals.* 

It allows you to:

- review and run analysis reports on exam performance
- analyse results at question and/or topic level\*
- compare your centre with OCR national averages
- identify trends across the centre
- facilitate effective planning and delivery of courses
- identify areas of the curriculum where students excel or struggle
- help pinpoint strengths and weaknesses of students and teaching departments.

\*To find out which reports are available for a specific subject, please visit <u>ocr.org.uk/administration/</u> <u>support-and-tools/active-results/</u>

Find out more at ocr.org.uk/activeresults

# **CPD** Training

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear exam feedback directly from a senior assessor or drop in to an online Q&A session.

Please find details for all our courses on the relevant subject page on our website.

www.ocr.org.uk

#### OCR Resources: the small print

OCR's resources are provided to support the delivery of OCR qualifications, but in no way constitute an endorsed teaching method that is required by OCR. Whilst every effort is made to ensure the accuracy of the content, OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions within these resources. We update our resources on a regular basis, so please check the OCR website to ensure you have the most up to date version.

This resource may be freely copied and distributed, as long as the OCR logo and this small print remain intact and OCR is acknowledged as the originator of this work.

Our documents are updated over time. Whilst every effort is made to check all documents, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, therefore please use the information on the latest specification at all times. Where changes are made to specifications these will be indicated within the document, there will be a new version number indicated, and a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource please contact us at: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR, or are considering switching from your current provider/awarding organisation, you can request more information by completing the Expression of Interest form which can be found here: www.ocr.org.uk/expression-of-interest

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support delivery of our qualifications: resources.feedback@ocr.org.uk

#### Looking for a resource?

There is now a quick and easy search tool to help find **free** resources for your qualification:

www.ocr.org.uk/i-want-to/find-resources/

#### www.ocr.org.uk

#### OCR Customer Support Centre

#### **General qualifications**

Telephone 01223 553998 Facsimile 01223 552627

Email general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

OCR is part of Cambridge Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge. For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored.

© **OCR 2019** Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.



