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## Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| $\leqslant$ | Incorrect point - comprehension questions only |
| HA | Harmful addition: additional information or alternative incorrect version |
| $\square$ | More serious error in translation |
| $\cdots$ | Inconsequential error in translation |
| REP | Repeated or consequential error |
| $\wedge$ | Correct point - comprehension questions only (except for isolated knowledge of vocabulary in Qu 20) |
| へ | Omission mark |
| Highlight or SEEN | Work seen and considered which does not harm the response |
| BP | Blank page (only to be used when there is no writing on the page - see p12) |
| 0 | Translation question |
| 1 | Translation question |
| 2 | Translation question |
| 3 | Translation question |
| 4 | Translation question |
| 5 | Translation question |

NB: Please use the on-screen mark confirmation tool (found in Tools $\rightarrow$ Options).

## Subject Specific Marking Instructions

Ignore misspelling of proper nouns in comprehension questions (including failure to reproduce correctly in the nominative case).

| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance <br> $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |  | Insist on the singular. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  | Steal all the prizes (1) Kill Arion (1) | 2 | auferre <br> Accept 'carry off', 'take away'. <br> Do not accept 'take'. <br> omnia <br> Insist on 'all'. <br> necare <br> Accept 'to murder'. |
| 6 | (a) | That he was in danger (1) | 1 | Ignore magno. |
| 6 | (b) | He seized his lute (1) and began to sing (1). | 2 | rapta <br> Accept 'He grabbed'/'He snatched'/'He took up'. <br> Accept 'Seizing' etc. <br> Do not accept 'He took'. <br> cithara <br> Accept 'cithara'. <br> Award $1 / 2$ for the wrong order: 'He began to sing and seized his lute'. |
| 7 |  | He was afraid (1) that he might be killed (1). | 2 | timens <br> Accept 'He feared'l'He was scared'/'He was fearful'/'He was fearing'. <br> Accept 'Fearing'/'Afraid'/'For fear'. <br> necaretur <br> Accept 'the sailors might kill him'. <br> Do not accept 'he might die'. |
| 8 | (a) | He was overcome by such great joy (1). | 1 | Accept 'It made him very happy' vel sim. tanto |



| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | (a) | Imperfect (1) | 1 | Do not accept 'Past'. <br> Additional incorrect detail is HA (e.g. 'imperfect <br> subjunctive'). |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | (h) |  | Indirect command (with ut) | 1 | Do not accept reference to ut alone. |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | (a) |  | pueri (1) in silvas (1) festinaverunt (1). | 3 | Word order is flexible. <br> Accept any translation using correct Latin even if <br> vocabulary is outside of Restricted Vocabulary. <br> 'into the woods' <br> Accept in silvam. |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | (b) |  | amicos (1) invenire (1) possumus (1). | 3 | Word order is flexible. <br> Accept any translation using correct Latin even if <br> vocabulary is outside of Restricted Vocabulary List (e.g. <br> amicas). |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | (c) |  | cur (1) muros (1) aedificabatis (1), servi (1)? | 4 | Word order is flexible. <br> Accept any translation using correct Latin even if <br> vocabulary is outside of Restricted Vocabulary. |


| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  | They wanted (1) to rule Sicily (1). | 2 | regere. <br> Accept 'to control'/'to rule over'. |




| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | (b) | They brought help to (1) the rest of the Carthaginians <br> $(1)$. | Accept 'They helped the rest of the Carthaginians'. <br> auxilium tulerunt <br> Accept 'They gave help'. <br> ceteris <br> Accept 'the other'. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ |  | The whole fleet (1) was destroyed by a storm (1). | 2 | Accept 'A storm destroyed the whole fleet'. <br> tota classis <br> Accept 'the entire fleet'/'all of the fleet'. <br> tempestate <br> Accept 'by a tempest'. <br> deleta est <br> Accept pluperfect tense. |

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for GCSE Latin

The classification below should be seen only as a general guide, the intention of which is to maintain standards year on year. Lead Markers should consider each instance on its own merits in the context of the passage and the section.

The mark scheme awards marks for the proportion of sense communicated. If a candidate has communicated the 'gist' of a sentence (e.g. they know who has done what to whom) they will score 5, 4 or 3 marks. If they have not understood the basic sense of the sentence, they will score a maximum of 2.

A completely correct translation with no omissions or errors will always score 5. The key judgment for a candidate who has demonstrated understanding of the overall meaning of the sentence is whether they should score 5,4 or 3 . This will depend on the gravity of their
errors/omissions and may depend on the number of words in the sentence to be translated or the difficulty of the Latin and is usually decided at standardisation after a judgment has been formed about the performance of candidates.

A word containing more than one error should be treated as a maximum of one serious error. Repeated and consequential vocabulary errors should not be penalised.
e.g. tum, gaudentes quod Scipio libenter se acceperat, ad naves suas reverterunt.
'then, rejoicing because Scipio had received them willingly, they turned back to their ships'
(i) 'rejoicing because Scipio had received them willingly, they turned back to their ships' - the omission of tum is an inconsequential error, so 5 marks out of 5 .
(ii) 'then, rejoicing because Scipio had received them willingly, they turned back to their ship' - the number error on 'ship' (it's plural in the Latin) is an inconsequential error, so 5 marks.
(iii) 'then, rejoicing because Scipio was receiving them willingly, they turned back to their ship' - despite the tense error on acceperat and the number of naves, the candidate has clearly got the point, so a mark of 4 is appropriate.
(iv) 'then, unhappy because Scipio had received them, they turned back to their ships' - the mistranslation of gaudentes is a more serious error here, as it gets the wrong point (they were happy, not unhappy!) and the omission of libenter could be considered a serious omission. The overall sense is more or less correct (Scipio had received them and they went back to their ships) so a mark of 3 would be appropriate.
(v) 'Scipio was unhappy because they had not welcomed him and they turned back to their ship' - there are a number of errors here (no tum, Scipio taken as agreeing with gaudentes, wrong meaning of gaudentes, omission of libenter, number of naves) but the key judgment point is that the general meaning has not been communicated, so a maximum of 2 would be appropriate. It has enough correct sense to score more than 1.

The sort of errors that we would generally expect to be considered 'inconsequential' would be:

- number errors (singular/plural - naves taken as singular above)
- minor tense errors (e.g. one past tense taken as another - acceperat taken as imperfect above)
- minor conjunctions/adverbs incorrectly translated or omitted (tum above)
- failure to reproduce proper nouns in the nominative case
- incorrect third person subject pronouns (e.g. 'he' instead of 'she')
- adjectives or adverbs incorrectly translated as superlative
- omission of pronoun agent in active to passive transposition
- a minor vocabulary error which does not prevent communication of the meaning of the Latin (e.g. eodem tempore $=$ 'at that time')

The sort of errors that we would generally expect to be considered 'more serious' would be:

- major vocabulary errors which give the wrong sense (e.g. gaudentes taken as 'unhappy' above).
- errors of case
- the omission of a word which affects the meaning of a section
- errors of tense (apart from minor tense errors - see above), e.g. a future tense taken as a past tense
- incorrect constructions - for example:
> missing a purpose clause (e.g. ad forum ivit ut cibum emeret = 'he went to the form and bought food')
$>$ missing a gerundive (e.g. ad forum ivit ad cibum emendum = 'buying food he went to the forum')
> incorrect handling of ablative absolute
- errors of voice (e.g. milites necati sunt = 'The soldiers killed')
- errors of person (except 'he'l'she')

The categorisation of 'inconsequential' and 'more serious' errors might vary within a paper, depending on the length of the sentence for translation (e.g. the omission of a word in a five word sentence might be judged more serious than a similar omission in a twelve word sentence) and might vary between papers. The final decisions on what constitute 'inconsequential' and 'more serious' errors will be made and communicated to assessors via the standardisation process (after full consideration of candidates' responses) and these decisions will be captured in the final mark scheme for examiners and centres.

| 5 | Perfectly accurate with no errors or omissions, or one inconsequential error |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Essentially correct but two inconsequential errors or one more serious |
| 3 | Overall meaning clear, but more serious errors or omissions |
| 2 | Part correct; but with overall sense lacking/unclear |
| 1 | No continuous sense; isolated knowledge of vocabulary only |


| 0 | No response worthy of credit |
| :--- | :--- |


| Question |  | Answer | Mark | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Content | Examples of inconsequential and more serious errors |
| 19 | (i) | proximo anno senatores Pulchrum in Siciliam miserunt. <br> (In) the next year the senators sent Pulcher into Sicily. | 5 | proximo anno: accept '(In) the following year. <br> in Siciliam: accept 'to Sicily'. | Inconsequential <br> proximo = 'nearest' <br> senatores = 'the senate'/'the senatores' <br> in = 'in' <br> More serious <br> proximo anno = 'around a year later'/'every year' |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Content | Examples of inconsequential and more serious errors |
| (ii) | statim Pulcher portum Drepani oppugnare constituit. <br> At once Pulcher decided to attack the port of Drepanum. |  | statim: accept 'immediately. portum: accept 'harbour'. Drepani: accept 'in/at Drepanum'. | Inconsequential <br> Misplacement of statim <br> More serious <br> Omission of statim <br> portum = 'gate' or 'door' <br> portum Drepani: Drepanum harbour <br> oppugnare = 'to fight' <br> constituit = 'planned' (REP from 10f) |
| (iii) | ibi enim omnes naves Poenorum positae erant. <br> For all the ships of the Carthaginians had been placed there. | 5 | positae erant: accept 'had been put/positioned/stationed'. | Inconsequential <br> $i b i=$ 'here' <br> Omission or mistranslation of enim omnes naves Poenorum = 'all the Carthaginians/Carthaginian's ships' (missing or incorrect use of apostrophe) positae erant = 'were placed'. <br> More serious <br> Omission or mistranslation of ibi (unless translated as 'here' - see above) omnes naves Poenorum = 'all the Carthaginian ships' |
| (iv) | illo tempore imperatores Romani ante proelium omina quaerere solebant. | 5 | illo tempore: accept 'in that time'. <br> imperatores: accept 'leaders'/'commanders'. quaerere: accept 'search for'/'ask for'/'seek'. | Inconsequential <br> illo tempore = 'at this time'/'that time'/'at the time' <br> proelium = 'battles' <br> omina = 'an omen' |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Content | Examples of inconsequential and more serious errors |
|  | At that time Roman generals were accustomed to look for omens before battle. |  |  | More serious <br> illo tempore = 'this time' <br> imperatores = 'emperors' <br> Romani $=$ 'of the Romans' |
| (v) | itaque Pulcher auspicem rogavit quid ipse facere deberet. 'in nave sunt pulli sacri,' inquit auspex. <br> And so Pulcher asked the soothsayer what he himself should do. 'In the ship are sacred chickens,' said the soothsayer. | 5 | itaque: accept 'Therefore'//So'. deberet: accept 'ought to'/'must'. | Inconsequential itaque <br> ipse <br> facere $=$ 'to make' <br> More serious <br> pulli translated as singular <br> sunt translated as singular <br> Treat other references to the chickens translated as singular in $19 \mathrm{vi}-\mathrm{ix}$ as C . <br> Incorrect tense of sunt |
| (vi) | 'nunc da eis cibum! si pulli omnem cibum consument, Romani victoriam mox habebunt.' <br> 'Now give (some) food to them! If the chickens eat all the food, the Romans will soon have victory.' | 5 |  | Inconsequential <br> nunc <br> mox <br> victoriam ... habebunt $=$ will be victorious (REP <br> from 16a) <br> More serious <br> Incorrect tense of habebunt <br> si ... consument translated as 'If all the <br> chickens are eaten as food' $=2$ more serious errors |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Guidance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Content | Examples of inconsequential and more serious errors |
| (vii) | ubi tamen pulli cibum reliquerunt, auspex omine perterritus Pulchro nuntiavit <br> However, when the chickens left the food behind, the soothsayer, terrified by the omen, announced to Pulcher, | 5 | reliquerunt: accept 'left'/left ... alone'/'abandoned'. omine perterritus: accept 'terrified of the omen'. nuntiavit: accept 'reported'. | Inconsequential <br> tamen <br> perterritus = 'frightened'/'scared' <br> More serious <br> reliquerunt = 'relinquished' <br> nuntiavit = 'told' |
| (viii) | 'noli Drepano appropinquare!' 'tu es stultior his pullis,' exclamavit Pulcher. <br> 'Do not go near Drepanum!' 'You are more stupid than these chickens,' exclaimed Pulcher. | 5 | appropinquare: accept 'approach'. exclamavit: accept 'shouted out'/'cried'. | Inconsequential <br> exclamavit = 'shouted' <br> his = 'those' <br> More serious <br> appropinquare = 'go to' <br> Omission of his <br> stultior ... pullis: failure to link the comparative <br> adjective and ablative of comparison |
| (ix) | 'si cibum consumere nolunt, aquam bibere possunt!' haec locutus pullos in mare iecit. <br> 'If they refuse to eat the food, they can drink water!' Having spoken these words, he threw the chickens into the sea. | 5 | consumere: accept 'to consume'. nolunt: accept 'don't want'. haec: accept 'this'. locutus: accept 'having said'. haec locutus: accept 'with this said'/'this said'l'saying this'. in mare: accept 'in the sea'. | Inconsequential <br> mare = 'ocean' <br> More serious <br> haec locutus = 'in this place' |


| Question |  | Answer | Mark |  | Guidance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | ita Pulcher, navibus suis deletis <br> propter iram deorum, magnam <br> cladem Romanis adtulit. | 5 | Content <br> Examples of inconsequential and more <br> serious errors |
| (x) | In this way Pulcher, after his ships <br> had been destroyed on account of <br> the anger of the gods, brought <br> great disaster to the Romans. <br> navibus...deletis: accept other <br> correct translations of the <br> ablative absolute. <br> propter: accept 'because of'. <br> magnam: accept 'big'/'large'. <br> adtulit: accept 'caused'. | Inconsequential <br> ita (including 'And so') <br> iram deorum = 'the gods/god's anger' (missing <br> or incorrect use of apostrophe) |  |  |  |
| More serious <br> propter = 'by' <br> iram deorum $=$ 'the angry gods' (gods <br> considered as consequential) <br> magnam = 'huge' |  |  |  |  |  |
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