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## Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ | Valid style point (8-marker) / AO3 point (10-marker) |
| 2 | Unclear/dubious point |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt |
| [ | Consequential / repeated error |
| 3 | Incorrect translation or interpretation or factual error |
| $\square$ | Major error |
| $\cdots$ | Minor error / SPAG |
| HA | Harmful addition |
| $\checkmark$ | Correct / positive mark / additional evidence (10-marker) |
| + | Good content point (8-mark \& 10-mark question) / 2-mark point in 4-mark AO3 question |
| ? | Weaker content point / 1-mark point in 4-mark AO3 question |
| $\wedge$ | Omission mark |
| highlight | Harmless addition |
| BP | Blank Page - this annotation must be used on all blank pages within an answer booklet (structured or unstructured) and on each page of an additional object where there is no candidate response. |
| SEEN | Noted but no credit given |



| $\mathbf{2}$ | (a) | Because he was able to celebrate (1) the emperor's / <br> your birthday (1) | AO2 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> (b) | Pliny was investigating the expenses/expenditure (1) <br> the revenue / repayments (1) and the debtors / debt <br> among the people of the city (of Prusa) (1) | AO2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | (c) | The people of the city (of Prusa) must be mentioned. <br> Maximum of 2 marks if not referred to. |  |  |  |



|  | uestion | Answer | Mark | Guidance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4* |  | Assess against criteria in the 8-mark AO3 grid (see below). <br> Answers may include: <br> erat ... incitarent: very long sentence with numerous clauses to reflect the numerous difficulties facing them summa difficultas: superlative to emphasise the size of the problem facing Caesar <br> has causas summa difficultas: assonance of 'as / a' sounds is indicative of the effort and suffering of the Roman soldiers <br> militibus ... oppressis: asyndeton to suggest the piling up of problems for the Romans <br> ignotis locis ...notissimis locis: contrast / asyndeton to show the difficult situation of the Romans in comparison with the Britons <br> et gravi...et de navibus...et in fluctibus...et cum hostibus: polysyndeton to convey the number of difficulties piling up for the Romans <br> notissimis locis: superlative to emphasise the familiarity to the enemy; sibilance to suggest the fear of the Romans <br> ignotis ... impeditis .... oppressis: tricolon of dangers to emphasise their precarious situation impeditis manibus ... membris expeditis: direct contrast /use of similar words (impeditis / expeditis) to show the opposite situations of the Romans and the Britons magno et gravi: two similar adjectives to emphasise the heavy load weighing down the Romans <br> oppressis: powerful verb to show the plight of the Romans; perhaps both literal and figurative simul: adds to the tricolon before / after, showing how much the Romans had to do all at the same time | $\begin{gathered} \text { AO3 } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | For full credit a point must answer the question (i.e. plausible explanation of how the point makes the scene vivid and dramatic), must quote from the Latin and must give a clear indication of the meaning of the Latin. <br> Allow relevant content or style points. <br> Do not give full credit for undeveloped points referring to, e.g., "harsh consonants", "sibilance", "promotion", "asyndeton", "short phrases". <br> Give partial credit for a second point based on the same Latin quotation. |

desiliendum ... consistendum ... pugnandum: tricolon of gerundives to show all the things that the soldiers had to do at the same time cum illi: contrast with theut much easier situation of the barbarians aut...aut: repetition / balanced phrases ; both situations are easier for the Britons
notissimis locis: superlative shows that the places were very well known to the enemy, making their job easier conicerent: the barbarians were hurling their spears together; they were organized against the Romans omnino: the Romans were completely out of their depth alacritate ac studio: powerful nouns, but here used negatively as the Romans were not exhibiting these qualities; these two words are essentially the same repetition of the same idea for emphasis perterriti ... imperiti ... non eadem alacritate ac studio: tricolon of negative aspects of the situation of the Romans

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for the 8-mark extended response

This question focuses on candidates' ability to select relevant examples of content and literary style and to structure an answer around these examples to express relevant points. Therefore candidates will be assessed on the quality of the points made and the range and quality of the examples they have selected from the passage.

Examiners must use a best fit approach to the marking grid. Where there are both strengths and weaknesses in a particular response, examiners must carefully consider which level is the best fit for the performance overall.

| 8-mark grid for the extended response question AO3 = 8 marks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | Marks | Description |
| 4 | 7-8 | - very good engagement with the question <br> - expresses a range of relevant points, with good development, drawn on a range of well selected aspects of content and features of literary style, with a good range of appropriate quotation with well thought out discussion <br> The response is logically structured, with a well-developed, coherent line of reasoning. |
| 3 | 5-6 | - good engagement with the question <br> - expresses a range of sound points, with some development, drawn on a range of relevant aspects of content and features of literary style, with a range of appropriate quotation, with sound discussion <br> The response is well structured with a clear line of reasoning. |
| 2 | 3-4 | - some engagement with the question <br> - expresses some points drawn on a limited range of aspects of content and/or features of literary style, with some appropriate quotation and some discussion <br> The response presents a line of reasoning which is mostly relevant but may lack structure |
| 1 | 1-2 | - little engagement with the question <br> - expresses points which are of little relevance and are supported with little evidence from the set text <br> The information is communicated in an unstructured way. |

$$
0 \text { = No response or no response worthy of credit }
$$




- nature seems to be against them; a landslide has stopped them in their tracks
- they would have been frightened because they were now faced with a 1000-foot drop
(b)

Latin word: nequiquam (1)
English translation: in vain / fruitless (1)
(c)
snow (1) had to be dug out/carried away / clear away (1)

AO2 Allow a mark for the translation 'in vain' even if the Latin word is incorrect.

AO2
Guidance: 'they could not make their way through the snow' = 1 marks. Some reference to digging / clearing must be made

| 7 |  |  | Assess against criteria in the 5-mark AO2 grid (see below). <br> Suggested translation: <br> After three days they went down to the plain to where both the regions and the nature of the inhabitants were now gentler. By and large they reached Italy in this way five months after leaving New Carthage, according to some writers - the conquest of the Alps having taken fifteen days. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{AO2} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | The following examples are intended to exemplify what might constitute an inconsequential and more serious error. <br> Allow <br> Allow inde to be translated as then but also allow it to be translated with triduo meaning after three days <br> The journey from ... to = correct <br> Crossed for superatis <br> Inconsequential error: <br> Omission / mistranslation of inde <br> Omission / mistranslation of iam <br> Omission / mistranslation of et...et <br> Serious error: <br> All other omissions and errors <br> Maximum of 4 serious errors for 3 marks <br> More than $\mathbf{4}$ serious errors = $\mathbf{2}$ marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for the 5-mark set text translation

The mark scheme awards marks for the proportion of sense communicated. If a candidate has communicated the 'gist' of a sentence (e.g. they know who has done what to whom) they will score 5,4 or 3 marks. If they have not understood the basic sense of the sentence, they will score a maximum of 2 .

A completely correct translation with no omissions or errors will always score 5. The key judgment for a candidate who has demonstrated understanding of the overall meaning of the sentence is whether they should score 5,4 or 3 . This will depend on the gravity of their errors/omissions and may depend on the number of words in the sentence to be translated or the difficulty of the Latin and is usually decided at standardisation after a judgment has been formed about the performance of candidates. The final decisions on what constitute 'inconsequential' and 'more serious errors' will be made and communicated to assessors via the standardisation process (after full consideration of candidates' responses) and these decisions will be captured in the final mark scheme for examiners and centres.

A word containing more than one error should be treated as a maximum of one serious error. Repeated and consequential vocabulary errors should not be penalised.

| 5 | Perfectly accurate with no errors or omissions, or one inconsequential error. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Essentially correct but two inconsequential errors or one more serious error. |
| 3 | Overall meaning clear, but more serious errors or omissions. |
| 2 | Part correct but with overall sense lacking/unclear |
| 1 | No continuous sense; isolated knowledge of vocabulary only. |


| 8 |  |  | What makes the extracts you have studied of Pliny, Caesar and Livy interesting to read? <br> You should support your answer with a range of references from the Pliny, Caesar and Livy texts you have read, and you may include passages printed on the question paper. <br> Assess against criteria in the 10 -mark grid (see below). <br> Possible supporting evidence from the prescribed text (AO2) and arguments may include (AO3): <br> Pliny <br> - Involvement of the emperor <br> o these are the official letters of Pliny to the emperor Trajan. We get a fascinating insight into the relationship between a governor and the emperor, both famous and influential men <br> - Character of Pliny <br> o we see that he is eager to please the emperor with his reference to the emperor's birthday. It gives us an insight into the relationship between the two men. <br> - The life of a governor <br> o although mundane, and something some may find uninteresting, the description of the journey of Pliny gives us an interesting insight into travel in the Roman world and the difficulties and dangers faced by those travelling | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \mathrm{AO} 2=5 \\ \mathrm{AO}=5 \end{gathered}$ | In order to score in level 5 (9-10 marks) candidates must make reference to all three authors. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |




|  |  | itself. This is an iconic and memorable <br> scene |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guidance on applying the marking grids for the 10-mark extended response

Two Assessment Objectives are being assessed in this question - AO2 (Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of literature) and AO3 (Analyse, evaluate and respond to literature). The two Assessment Objectives are equally weighted. Examiners must use a best fit approach to the marking grid. Where there are both strengths and weaknesses in a particular response, particularly imbalanced responses in terms of the assessment objectives, examiners must carefully consider which level is the best fit for the performance overall. For example, you should not be able to achieve a mark of 8 made up of AO2 = 6 and AO3 $=2$.

Responses are credited for AO2 for the detail and accuracy of the knowledge of the set text they deploy and for their understanding of the set text(s). Responses are credited for AO3 for how well the response addresses the question, for candidates selecting relevant examples from the set texts they have studied and drawing and expressing conclusions based on the selected examples in relation to the question posed. Candidates will be assessed on the quality of the conclusions and points they argue and the range and quality of the examples they have selected.

For example, in relation to the question 'How successfully do Tacitus and Cicero turn the reader against Agrippina and Sassia?', details of Agrippina's pretence of grief and an understanding that this was in reality an effort to prevent help being brought to Claudius would be evidence of AO2 whilst concluding that this clearly demonstrates Agrippina's scheming nature and thus helps turn the reader against her would be evidence of AO3.

| 10-mark grid for the extended response question |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Level | Marks | Characteristics of performance |


|  |  | The response presents a line of reasoning but may lack structure. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $1-2$ | $\bullet$ very limited knowledge and understanding of the set text (AO2) <br> $\bullet$ a very limited response to the question with very limited reference to the set text (AO3) <br> The information is communicated in an unstructured way |

$0=$ No response or no response worthy of credit
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