Qualification Accredited



**AS LEVEL** 

Examiners' report

# **PSYCHOLOGY**

H167

For first teaching in 2015

H167/02 Summer 2023 series

#### Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 2 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Question 1 (a)	5
Question 1 (b) (i)	5
Question 1 (b) (ii)	6
Question 2 (a)	7
Question 2 (b)	8
Question 3 (a)	8
Question 3 (b)	9
Question 4	9
Question 5	10
Section B overview	11
Question 6 (a)	11
Question 6 (b)	11
Question 6 (c)	12
Question 6 (d)	12
Question 6 (e)*	13
Section C overview	15
Question 7 (a)	15
Question 7 (b)	15
Question 7 (c)	16
Question 7 (d)	16
Question 7 (e)	17
Question 7 (f)*	17
Copyright information	18

#### Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate responses is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

#### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

#### Paper 2 series overview

H167/02: Psychological themes through core studies is the second of two examined components for the OCR AS Psychology qualification. This component focuses on:

- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of five key themes
- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of a classical and a contemporary study located within each key theme (10 core studies)
- Knowledge, understanding and evaluation of areas and perspectives in psychology
- Methodological issues relating to the core studies
- Issues and debates in psychology
- Practical applications of psychology.

To do well on this paper, candidates needed to have a sound knowledge and understanding of all the core studies, areas, perspectives and debates. Evaluation skills needed to be good and candidates needed to be able to apply their psychological knowledge effectively to a novel situation.

### Candidates who did well on this paper generally:

#### showed extensive knowledge and understanding of the nine core studies cited in Section A and therefore responded to the questions competently and confidently

- had sound knowledge and understanding of the nature/nurture debate and gave in-depth and appropriate responses in Section B
- appreciated that a discussion as to the extent the biological and developmental area are similar involved a good analysis of similarities and differences for both areas and was supported by appropriate evidence from relevant core studies in Question 6(e)
- could clearly suggest why the article had high ecological validity in Question 7(b)
- knew, understood and could apply a principle/concept of the cognitive area in Question 7(c)
- showed a high standard of understanding of two ways teachers could encourage children to remember important information and were also able to apply their psychological knowledge within their suggestions effectively in Question 7(e)
- made explicit links to issues/debates in Question 7(f).

### Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:

- presented responses that are pre-learnt and rehearsed and not reading and responding to the specific questions appropriately
- confused the details between different core studies and gave muddled responses in Section A and Section B
- did not demonstrate adequate knowledge and understanding to respond effectively to questions relating to how core studies relate to the nature/nurture debate
- did not demonstrate adequate understating of controls used in studies for Question 2(a) and reliability of procedures in Question 5
- explaining how core studies are similar/different as opposed to discussing the extent to whether the biological and developmental areas are similar/different in Question 6(e)
- simply stating practical strengths and weaknesses of the suggestions they made in Question 7(e) (i.e. cost, availability) without reading that the evaluation needed to focus on issues and debates. They did not read the question carefully and therefore did not respond to the question asked.

#### Section A overview

Section A: Core studies. This section consists of the ten core studies individually, in their pairs or in terms of their key theme. Questions are short responses but range in mark allocation. This section is worth 25 marks.

Question 1	(a)

1	(a)	Participants in Grant et al.'s (1998) study into context-dependent memory completed two types of test.
		Identify which one of these types of test was completed first.
		[1]

Only a minority of candidates were able to provide the correct response. The most common incorrect response was the multiple-choice test/recognition test suggesting candidates have misunderstood that the focus of the question was which test was completed first or they did not know the order of the two tests. A few candidates stated features of the correct response (open questions, questionnaire) or stated a test from a different core study and therefore did not respond correctly to the question.

Question	1	(b)	) (	i)	)
-,	-	1	, ,		,

(b)	(i)	Outline why Loftus and Palmer conducted their (1974) experiments into eyewitness testimony.
		[3]

The candidates who scored well on this question provided an outline containing references to the effect of leading questions and the influence/distortion on memory, and then went on to support their response with supporting details from Loftus and Palmer. The majority of candidates scored 2 marks by referring to leading questions and memory without contextualising their response to Loftus and Palmer.

#### Question 1 (b) (ii)

(ii)	Describe how the independent variable (IV) was manipulated in Loftus and Palmer's (1974) <b>second experiment</b> into eyewitness testimony.
	[3]

The candidates who performed well on this question recognised it was focused on Loftus and Palmer's second experiment and then described the three verb conditions: smashed, hit and no leading question asked. The minority of candidates were referring to the first experiment in their response, so candidates needed to take care when reading questions. The minority of candidates discussed the 'broken glass' question as the focus of their response which gained 0 marks. Stating 'a control group' was not enough as it needed to be described.

#### Exemplar 1

In the second experimentar the interviewer either mentioned it they remember broken glass or not and by mentioning this it may have affected the puricipants memory sharing is you add mally andle it an manipulate smeame's memory [3]

Exemplar 1 highlights the confusion some candidates were having with the 'broken glass' element of the study, which is the dependent variable not the independent variable. This candidate has then attempted a conclusion for the experiment but this is also not a requirement of the question. This exemplar demonstrates how the minority of candidates missed that the focus of this question was the independent variables in Loftus and Palmer's second experiment.

[4]

#### Question 2 (a)

_	(/	
	1	1

(a) Outline two controls used by Milgram's (1963) study into obedience.

The majority of candidates performed well on this question. However, a few did not gain any marks because they had not demonstrated any understanding of the word control (e.g. same, all, every, always, standardised, each participant).

#### Exemplar 2

1 The participant received a small electric shock begore the experiment took place to convince them that the learner who would actually seel electric shocks being administered to them.

2 The participant matched as the learner' which the participant believed would give then which the participant believed would give then electric shocks, so that they wan did would less not doubt whether the participant experiment was real [4]

This exemplar illustrates a candidate who knows considerable detail about Milgram's study. This candidate gains no marks as they had not demonstrated any understanding of the word 'control' in their response.

#### Question 2 (b)

(b)	Bocchiaro et al. used a comparison group to predict the results of their (2012) study into disobedience and whistle-blowing.
	Describe the procedure used in this part of the study.
	[3]
Stronger and ii) w deprivati Candida	ority of candidates showed minimal understanding of this part of Bocchiaro et al.'s study. Tresponses were able to describe that participants were asked to predict i) what they would do that an average student at your university would do, and then linked this to the study on 'sensory on'. The candidates that described the comparison group did not provide sufficient context. It is often described the actual study rather than the comparison group. This question was ally about the procedure so those candidates describing results gained no marks.
Questi	on 3 (a)
3 (a)	Bandura et al. (1961) in their study on transmission of aggression used an independent measures design.
	Explain a strength of this design as used in this study.

The minority of candidates performed well on this question by explaining a strength of an independent measures design (less chance of order effects/demand characteristics) and contextualising the strength. Other candidates needed to go beyond merely explaining a strength and apply this to Bandura et al.'s study. Candidates who referred to phrases like 'aggression' or 'role model', demonstrated good contextual links to their strength.

Questi	on 3 (b)
(b)	Identify <b>two</b> features of the sample used in Chaney et al.'s (2004) Funhaler study.
	1

[2]

The majority of candidates responded to this question well. The minority of candidates are making reference to the sampling method rather than features of the sample.

#### Question 4

<u> </u>	
4	Describe how the type of data collected <b>and</b> the equipment used differed between Sperry's (1968) study on hemisphere deconnection and Casey et al.'s (2011) study on neural correlates of delay of gratification.
	Type of data collected
	The equipment used
	[4]

Both parts of this question required candidates to describe a difference and contextualise that difference. Candidates lost marks when describing the type of data collected by not providing sufficient context from one study. The minority of candidates lost marks when describing the equipment used by stating Casey used an MRI rather than an fMRI scan or making reference to Sperry using a computer. The minority of candidates provided similarities between the studies.

#### Question 5

5	Baron-Cohen et al. conducted a (1997) study into autism in adults.
	Outline how the procedure used in the Eyes task helped to ensure the reliability of the findings.

The majority of candidates provided a clear understanding of reliability and an accurate description of how the procedure used in the Eyes task helped make sure reliability in Baron Cohen et al.'s study. Candidates needed to develop their understanding of the term 'reliability.' They also needed to focus their response on the Eyes task procedure (3 seconds per photo) to be responding to the question.

#### Section B overview

Section B: Areas, perspectives and debates. Questions in this section focus on areas, perspectives and debates in psychology. Questions range in size and mark allocation. This section is worth 25 marks.

Qu	esti	on 6 (a)
6	(a)	Outline the defining principles of the nature/nurture debate.
		[2]
Car was	ndida	ority of candidates were able to provide a clear defining principle of both nature and nurture. tes gave a vague response when both principles were identified but not labelled clearly, so it clear which principle went with which side of the debate (i.e. one believes behaviour is genetic other believes behaviour is influenced by environmental factors).
Qu	esti	on 6 (b)
	(b)	Explain how Freud's (1909) study of Little Hans can support the nature side of the nature/nurture debate.
		[3]

The majority of candidates could link Freud's study to the nature debate (e.g. psychosexual stages, Oedipus complex, phallic stage, id). Candidates who scored well on this question went further and made a reference to a principle of the nature debate which is appropriate for Freud's study (e.g. innate, natural, instinct, maturation, born with). This question also required evidence from Freud's study to contextualise their response, but this was missing in many responses.

#### Question 6 (c)

(c)	Explain how Chaney et al.'s (2004) Funhaler study can support the nurture side of the nature/nurture debate.
	[3]

The majority of candidates responded to the question well. Candidates did well, stating a principle of the nurture debate (learning, environment, influence of external factors) and clearly linking this to Chaney et al.'s study (Funhaler, spinner, whistle). This question also required evidence from Chaney et al.'s study to contextualise their response (e.g. improved medical adherence/improved their health/asthma). Candidates were able to apply the principles of nurture better on this question than Question 6 (b).

#### Question 6 (d)

(d)	Describe <b>two</b> strengths of the biological area. Support your answer with evidence from appropriate core studies.
	1
	2
	[6]

The most common strengths candidates had identified were 'scientific research methodology and practical applications/understanding'. Candidates that choose these strengths were often able to provide sufficient evidence from Sperry or Casey et al. to support it. The majority of candidates were not gaining full marks as they were not fully elaborating their identified strength. A minority of candidates were using studies that were not clearly argued as belonging to the biological area (e.g. Baron Cohen, Freud).

#### Question 6 (e)\*

(e)\* Discuss to what extent the biological area is similar to the developmental area. Support your answer with evidence from appropriate core studies. [11]

The 'discuss' command word required candidates to argue how the areas are similar and how they were different, with at least comparisons to access the top band. One issue presented by the majority of candidates was providing an introductory paragraph containing the principles of the biological and developmental areas but this information was not required and did not gain any marks.

The most common similarities between the biological area and the developmental area are that they both gather quantitative data collection, both use experimental methods and they are both useful. The most common differences between the biological area and the developmental area are that the biological area supports nature whereas the developmental area supports the nurture debate and the biological area studies adults whereas the developmental area studies children. The command words 'Discuss to what extent' requires analysis so comparing principles from the areas gained 0 marks because the principles of each area are AO1. Comparisons could demonstrate analysis through methodology (use of experiments) or issues/debates (ecological validity). The minority of candidates were also using studies for the areas that were not clearly argued as belonging to the area (e.g. Freud for developmental, and Baron Cohen for biological).

#### **Assessment for learning**



Centres should focus on highlighting how to structure comparison questions. Highlight how to identify and elaborate on a similarity/difference between areas/perspectives and practise using evidence from core studies to support their comparison. Advise candidates not to compare the principles of different areas as this is not demonstrating analysis but to compare issues and debates to gain marks. Introductory paragraphs outlining the principles for the areas are not required as it will not provide the correct response to the question.

13

#### Exemplar 3

Examples of studies from the biological
area are sperry's study on hemisphere
disconnection and casey's study on
the delay of gratification. These were
both linued to the biological area
because they relate to the workings
of the brain which is a biological
function.
Examples of studies from the officeropy
alvelopmental area are Banaura's
Ituay on the transmission of agression
and Chaney's study of the funhater.
These studies are both linked to the
browner con developmental area
because these behaviours that these
Children applayed in those two Studies
auciopea over time.

Exemplar 3 illustrates a candidate giving a substantial introduction to their response. It sets out what two studies are linked to the biological area and then does the same for the developmental area. This 'introduction' is not addressing the question requirements, which is to identify how the areas are similar/different from each other and does not gain any marks.

#### Section C overview

Section C: Practical applications. Questions in this section require candidates to apply their knowledge and understanding of psychology to a novel source. Questions range in size and mark allocation. This section is worth 25 marks.

Quest	ion 7 (a)
7 (a)	With reference to the article, identify the research design used by Godden and Baddeley.
	[2]
conside design.	ates needed to state that the experimental design was a repeated measures design but there was rable confusion with the majority of candidates incorrectly identifying it as independent measures. The candidates that did identify the correct experimental design were often missing relevant from the article (e.g. the same divers learnt lists of words both on land and underwater).
Quest	ion 7 (b)
(b)	With reference to the article, suggest why Godden and Baddeley's research had high ecological validity.

Candidates needed to define ecological validity (a natural setting) in this question and the majority of candidates did this very well. The candidates that did define ecological validity correctly would sometimes omit providing evidence from the article that suggests the ecological validity is high (e.g. divers learnt lists of words both on land and underwater which are real life environment for them). The minority of candidates tried to use the sample alone (being divers) as context but this did not gain marks as a clear reference needed to be made to the setting in the article (on land and underwater).

Question / (C)	Question 7 (	C)	)
----------------	--------------	----	---

(c)	Explain how this article links to the cognitive area of psychology.
	[2]

The candidates who performed well on this question, provided an accurate principle/concept of the cognitive area, linking this explicitly to the article. Weaker responses were ones where candidates did not refer to any evidence from the article. The minority of candidates only linked Grant et al.'s study to the article in this question which does not gain marks.

#### Question 7 (d)

(d)	Outline how this article can be linked to Grant et al.'s (1998) study into context-dependent memory.
	[3]

The majority of candidates were able to identify that 'context dependent memory' was the link between Grant et al.'s study and the article and could show understanding of it. Candidates who did not perform well on this question, did not go on to provide evidence from Grant et al.'s study and evidence from the article. The minority of candidates gave incorrect conditions in Grant et al.'s study when they should only be using 'silent' and 'noisy'.

<u> </u>		7	/ _ \	
QI	uestion	/ (	$(\mathbf{e})$	١

(e)	encourage children to remember important information.
	[8]

A minority of candidates provided two clearly different suggestions as to how teachers could encourage children to remember important information which included very effective application of psychological knowledge throughout. The most effective responses were linked to the use of operant conditioning (reinforcement/punishment), context dependent memory (revise and recall in silence) and observational learning (SLT/use of role models/imitation). Some suggestions were not clearly explained in enough detail that would allow it to be implemented and included very weak/no application of psychological knowledge. Candidates who provided such responses did not score well.

#### Question 7 (f)\*

(f)*	Evaluate the suggestions you have made in <b>7(e)</b> with reference to issues and debates you have studied in psychology.		
	[8]		

Most candidates did not read the question carefully. Many candidates merely evaluated their previous suggestions with superficial/generic points and therefore did not respond correctly to the question. The question required them to evaluate the suggestions they had made in Question 7(e) in relation to psychological issues and debates. For example, if candidates suggested positive reinforcement (rewards) through giving stickers in Question 7(e) then they could refer to the fact this is deterministic and ethnocentric in Question 7(f). It may be deterministic as it is suggesting that the reward will make the children remember important information so the children have no choice, and it may also be ethnocentric as there could be cultural variations in the importance of rewards across Western and non-Western cultures.

### Copyright information

Section C: Permission to reproduce all copyright material has been applied for. In some cases, efforts to contact copyright-holders have been unsuccessful and OCR will be happy to rectify any omissions of acknowledgements in future papers if notified.

# Supporting you

#### Teach Cambridge

Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.

**Don't have access?** If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them this link</u> to help get you started.

# Reviews of marking

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <a href="OCR website">OCR website</a>.

# Access to Scripts

For the June 2023 series, Exams Officers will be able to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts' for all of our General Qualifications including Entry Level, GCSE and AS/A Level. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.

Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our <u>website</u>.

#### Keep up-to-date

We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

#### OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses for your subject on **Teach Cambridge**. You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.

### Signed up for ExamBuilder?

**ExamBuilder** is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

#### **Active Results**

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

Find out more.

#### Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk** 

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- **y** twitter.com/ocrexams
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- inkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

#### We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$ 

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.