



AS LEVEL

Examiners' report

HISTORY A

H105

For first teaching in 2015

Y138/01 Summer 2023 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper Y138/01 series overview	4
Section A overview	5
Question 1	5
Question 2	7
Section B overview	8
Question 3*	8
Question 4*	9
Copyright information	12

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper Y138/01 series overview

Y138 is one of four units in Paper 1 for the revised AS Level examination for GCE History. This unit tests an extended period of History of about fifty years through an enquiries or source-based option and an essay. The paper is divided into two sections.

In Section A candidates have to answer two compulsory source questions based on three written primary sources. The first question requires them to use one source to assess its usefulness and the second question all three sources to assess the validity of a view. In Section B candidates are required to answer one essay question from a choice of two. To do well on Section A, candidates need to be able to consider both provenance of the sources and apply contextual knowledge to them in order to reach a judgement about the sources in relation to the issue in the question. The judgement should be based on the evaluation of the reliability of the evidence given not on the topic or contextual knowledge.

To do well on Section B candidates need to address the issue in the question, using detailed supporting knowledge. In order to reach the higher levels candidates will need to assess the issues they discuss and reach a supported judgement at least in the conclusion.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:
 considered the provenance of the source(s) and used relevant contextual knowledge 	 did not consider both the provenance and use contextual knowledge to evaluate the sources
 linked the contextual knowledge to the source being discussed to show whether the view of the source was valid or not 	 wrote an unbalanced answer in their treatment of the sources, with very little consideration of one of the sources
• reached an overall judgement as to the extent to which the sources supported the view in the	 reached a judgement based on their knowledge rather than the sources
 question in answering the essay question candidates 	 showed a poor understanding of the major issues relevant to the essay
discussed at least two issues in depthgave supporting detail which was both	 were unable to support their answer with relevant material
accurate and relevant to the question set, not just the topic	 did not focus on the precise wording of the question
 reached a supported judgement about the issue in the question. 	 made unsupported comments about issues which were assertions.

Section A overview

Candidates had to answer two questions based on a set of three sources. The first question focused on the utility of one of the sources, the second asked how far the three sources supported a view.

Question 1

1 Use your knowledge of events of 1659–1660 to assess how useful Source A is as evidence of the political skills of General Monck. [10]

Many candidates struggled with the concept of political skills and instead wrote very general answers about the source, not focusing on the issue in the question. However, some did discuss his ability to manage and exploit divisions within the army, while others commented on Monck's skill in not revealing his true intentions as he moved south, recognising how events were developing. Most were able to comment on the provenance of the source, discussing that its author was a Whig Bishop who was likely therefore to be sympathetic to a Restoration. Contextual knowledge presented a greater challenge for many and there was much confusion about the chronology of the time, an understanding of which was vital for both this Question 1 and Question 2.

Exemplar 1

Source A	is useful in showing the
	of General Monete by shawing how
	he army to ensure their loyalty and
reduce the chances	of a revalt. According to the source, he
	is well and ill aggested towards charles
	then by keeping no mere brooks, and
there best affected,	then were recensory about the Lity".
	which Source A shows the palitical
shill of beneral	Monde is by shewcasing how the
voters no larger s	upported the averent partiament but rother
as "elections jes r	renders of parliament ran everywhere
against them" which	h implies the votes supported March are parliament.
This source	takes place after the second
	- stepped down, when there was choos
1852. St.	ik took hondan but begare be
restoration of charles	s 11. The source is written by a
	of the episcopal structure, and to
the source may	at be viegal as he may be
	part is Parlianent who wonted
the episcopal st	inclure abalished.

Exemplar 1 is an example of a response where there is just enough discussion of the source in relation to the question and supporting contextual knowledge and provenance, taking the response into Level 4.

Assessment for learning



Candidates do need a clear chronological understanding of events from the death of Oliver Cromwell through to the Restoration of Charles II in order to answer questions on this period

Question 2

 Using these three sources in their historical context, assess how far they support the view that there was limited support for the Restoration of Charles II in 1660. [20]

Candidates attempted to explain the sources in relation to the question, although some, having considered Source A in Question 1, largely ignored it when attempting this question and therefore limited the level they could achieve. A clear chronological understanding of the period was essential in order to access the sources, particularly when discussing Source A, and this was often missing. Many did not understand the results of the elections to the Convention Parliament and did not see that the results went against the Republicans and therefore this could be used to show that there was growing support for a Restoration. Many also didn't pick up on the final point in the source about the nation 'returning to its wits again'. However, many did understand that as a Bishop it was likely he would welcome a Restoration.

Source B caused the least problem for candidates; most were able to use this to show that there was considerable support for the Restoration, but that the author appeared to be sympathetic to Charles, comparing it to the 'slavery' that had been endured. Source C caused many difficulties, although they did note that it was written by a Republican who would have little sympathy with the Restoration, they were unable to see that the godly, as Ludlow considered himself, would now be in the wilderness and that was God's will. This could then be used to show that was certainly some opposition to the Restoration.

Misconception



Candidates did not understand Source B. Many argued that because Ludlow was a religious radical, he would have been pleased to see a Restoration.

Section B overview

Candidates are required to answer one essay from a choice of two.

Question 3*

3* Assess the reasons why James I's foreign policy caused opposition in Parliament.

[20]

This was the more popular question and most candidates were able to explain some reasons why there was opposition. However, a significant number of responses focused almost exclusively on the Spanish marriage and why that created problems. Some responses did discuss the ending of the war with Spain in 1604 and how, despite the advantages of peace, it caused disquiet with some Puritan MPs who thought it was England's role to continue to fight a Catholic nation. There was some discussion about the financial issues created by the policy because of the reluctance of parliament to fund James, but some then became distracted and wrote at length about the financial issues. There was some consideration about James and his attitude towards the Thirty Years War and his 'rex pacificus stance', whereas parliament wanted James to support the Protestant cause. However, the great majority of most answers focused on the Spanish marriage and the concerns about a Catholic match. There was also some discussion about Charles and Buckingham's trip to Madrid and its failure which united parliament and James. Some responses did develop this and look at later events and the issue of funding a war and the failure of the Mansfeld expedition and the attacks on Buckingham. Many responses did not discuss Charles' marriage to Henrietta Maria and the issues that raised.

Assessment for learning

Focus on developing supported judgements as to the importance of factors.

Question 4*

4* How serious was Puritan opposition during the reign of James I?

[20]

Those candidates who had a good knowledge of puritanism across the whole of James' reign were usually able to address the demands of the question, but the problem was that many did not consider the second half of his reign or drifted into a discussion of material that would have been better suited to Question 3. Most responses were able to discuss the Millenary Petition and subsequent Hampton Court Conference, but few went on to consider the limited number who were dismissed following the issuing of canons. There was also some discussion of opposition to Bancroft and the subsequent approval of the appointment of Abbot, who was more sympathetic to puritanism. A few did discuss the absence of puritan plots and used this to argue that the threat of puritanism was not serious and some compared that to the challenge of Catholicism, but others then drifted into an essay on the Catholic threat being more serious, which was not the focus of the question. It was surprising that many did not consider the departure of some of the puritan opposition to the New World, which made it less of a threat to James. There was some consideration of the threat or challenges presented by Puritan MPs, but this did lead to drift from the question and more focus on opposition to foreign policy and/or finance. Very few responses made the point that puritan opposition became a greater threat as the reign progressed, largely in response to developments at court, policies being pursued or the growing Arminian presence and apparent sympathy with the publication of the Book of Sports.

Exemplar 2

Arguably, Puritan apposition during the reign
of James 1 was serious as they challenged his
royed preroquitives of over preign paling and they
chilleged is the religions and juncted palicy. One
may in which the Puritors opposed Jones I during
his reign was with the marriage of charles &
as the spanish nothin to be catholic injection on 1613-1618
and the eventual of narriage of charles to Herrietta
norde, the French, latratic princers that was able to practice
cathelician with her servents and gutwe children,
vierened terries between Jares 1 and Partiament
which node it hader to claim subsidies - Piritures
elso spissed Tones' religious petity os when
Partiament was called in 1614, they were arging
about the relaxation of inter- catholic legislation I due to
adduit the forthatter of and the state of th
the sperigh Match) and reguled to grant any
subvidies and so we dismissed and became known
as the Added partiament. Jones also reviewed
Puritar apparties in the 1621 Pretectation parliament
which addined the rights and privileges of parliament, ingringing
an Javes' royal powers, to bey were also dismissed
gter saves upped the pretestation opent. However, it
could be argued that this was not a seriour
threat as any parties threat was usually dealthe with
quickly inthe the discoloring of parliament. Despite this, it
connot be argued that James I received Sering, ignificant opposition from Puritars
distant of the second s

10

other hard, it could also be the Parter opporties was not a that argued the very in James 1 as they serves during connective relationshing. In 1604 James 1 ve called congoence where he Hannten Cant ve listered litted 6 Laports rade 64 le and established a Partas cheven bood include stenedy In the resile to g and withinson parlianed in second , exter Session 1606 anti - Catholic leg istin the puritary Part i Jary very suprastive of and wese subsidy. Moreover, hon 2400,000 Somes U Parliament in 1624. lose in itte 6 wer Spain, he was granted England legent subsidy Parliment hod Ve ever quier her throughout Junes 1's reign he marcoly sirencial parties and recieved Support form compressions to puritarisin ochiely He bood thurch parcy. Havever, the apport. Jares | reverel vos entry ester he was chark er in Englar with catholies gettine يص ready seen ley their denard fer Puritar oppritin during the reign Theefere James 1 07 he would city gain their arti- (atholic in his pereign and demetic

Exemplar 2 shows an essay where the candidate produced a balanced response, arguing that it was and was not serious. The response considered a wide range of issues, some of which were more focused on religion than others. However, it did not reach Level 5 as the candidate did not reach a supported judgement as to whether the threat was serious.

11

Copyright information

Section A, OCR is aware that third party material appeared in this exam but it has not been possible to identify and acknowledge the source.

Supporting you

Teach Cambridge	Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.
	Don't have access? If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them</u> <u>this link</u> to help get you started.
Reviews of marking	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Access to Scripts	For the June 2023 series, Exams Officers will be able to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts' for all of our General Qualifications including Entry Level, GCSE and AS/A Level. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.
	Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our <u>website</u> .
Keep up-to-date	We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.
OCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.
	Please find details for all our courses for your subject on Teach Cambridge . You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.
Signed up for ExamBuilder?	ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. <u>Find out more</u> .
	ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.
	If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.
Active Results	Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.
	Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- 🖸 ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- ★ twitter.com/ocrexams
 ★
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- Iinkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.