

GCE

Psychology

H167/01: Research methods

AS Level

Mark Scheme for June 2023

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2023

Mark Scheme MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

PREPARATION FOR MARKING

RM ASSESSOR

- 1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on-screen marking: *RM Assessor3 assessor Online Training*; *OCR Essential Guide to Marking*.
- 2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal http://www.rm.com/support/ca
- 3. Log-in to RM Assessor and mark the **required number** of practice responses ("scripts") and the **number of required** standardisation responses.

Check with instructions: YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

MARKING

- 1. Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
- 2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
- 3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the RM Assessor3 50% and 100% (traditional 40% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
- 4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone or the RM Assessor3 messaging system, or by email.

5. Crossed Out Responses

Where a candidate has crossed out a response and provided a clear alternative then the crossed out response is not marked. Where no alternative response has been provided, examiners may give candidates the benefit of the doubt and mark the crossed out response where legible.

Rubric Error Responses – Optional Questions

Where candidates have a choice of question across a whole paper or a whole section and have provided more answers than required, then all responses are marked and the highest mark allowable within the rubric is given. Enter a mark for each question answered into RM assessor, which will select the

highest mark from those awarded. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate has penalised themselves by attempting more questions than necessary in the time allowed.)

Multiple Choice Question Responses

When a multiple-choice question has only a single, correct response and a candidate provides two responses (even if one of these responses is correct), then no mark should be awarded (as it is not possible to determine which was the first response selected by the candidate).

When a question requires candidates to select more than one option/multiple options, then local marking arrangements need to ensure consistency of approach.

Contradictory Responses

When a candidate provides contradictory responses, then no mark should be awarded, even if one of the answers is correct.

Short Answer Questions (requiring only a list by way of a response, usually worth only **one mark per response**)

Where candidates are required to provide a set number of short answer responses then only the set number of responses should be marked. The response space should be marked from left to right on each line and then line by line until the required number of responses have been considered. The remaining responses should not then be marked. Examiners will have to apply judgement as to whether a 'second response' on a line is a development of the 'first response', rather than a separate, discrete response. (The underlying assumption is that the candidate is attempting to hedge their bets and therefore getting undue benefit rather than engaging with the question and giving the most relevant/correct responses.)

Short Answer Questions (requiring a more developed response, worth two or more marks)

If the candidates are required to provide a description of, say, three items or factors and four items or factors are provided, then mark on a similar basis – that is downwards (as it is unlikely in this situation that a candidate will provide more than one response in each section of the response space.)

Longer Answer Questions (requiring a developed response)

Where candidates have provided two (or more) responses to a medium or high tariff question which only required a single (developed) response and not crossed out the first response, then only the first response should be marked. Examiners will need to apply professional judgement as to whether the second (or a subsequent) response is a 'new start' or simply a poorly expressed continuation of the first response.

- 6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there, then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.
- 7. Award No Response (NR) if:
 - there is nothing written in the answer space

Award Zero '0' if:

anything is written in the answer space and is not worthy of credit (this includes text and symbols).

Team Leaders must confirm the correct use of the NR button with their markers before live marking commences and should check this when reviewing scripts.

- 8. The RM Assessor3 **comments box** is used by your team leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**
 - If you have any questions or comments for your team leader, use the phone, the RM Assessor messaging system, or e-mail.
- 9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.
- 10. For answers marked by levels of response:
 - a. To determine the level start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
 - b. To determine the mark within the level, consider the following

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

Annotation	Meaning			
BP	Blank page			
?	Meaning unclear			
×	Incorrect			
<u> </u>	Correct			
^	Missing information			
D	Relevant information			
CONT	Context			
NAQ	Not answering question			
REP	Repeats			
SEEN	Seen (to show content on page has been noted)			
BOD	Benefit of doubt given			
IRRL	Irrelevant			
EVAL	Evaluation			
L1	L1 Basic			
L2	L2 Limited			
L3	L3 Reasonable			
L4	L4 Good			

12. Subject Specific Marking Instructions

Section A: Multiple choice

Ques	Answer			
1	С			
2	С			
3	Α			
3	В			
5	В			
6	D			
7	Α			
8	С			
9	С			
10	Α			
11	В			
12	D			
13	Α			
14	В			
15	В			

Section B: Research design and response

Write a null hy	Vrite a null hypothesis for this study. [3]							
Question	Ans	swer	Marks	Guidance				
16	For example (accept variations in operational decisions of IV and DV) There will not be a significant difference in prosocial behaviour (doing someone a good deed) after listening to music with prosocial lyrics (containing the word 'love') compared to music without prosocial lyrics. Any difference found will be due to chance.		Max 3	-Context = music, prosocial, love, kindness, helpful etc -Can be written in future or present tenseUse of the word 'significant' is not necessary for full marks -Award zero for citing an alternative				
	,	nesis with both IV and DV onalised	3	hypothesis -Award zero if reference to 'relationship'				
		reference to both variables, but triable operationalised	2	or 'correlation' -For full marks both the IV and DV must				
	Simply stating 'there will not be a difference'	OR a null hypothesis with reference to just one variable	1	be operationalised - Both levels of the IV must be included				
	The candidate has not provide	ed any creditworthy information	0					

Explain how you would conduct a study using the laboratory experimental method to investigate if people become more helpful after listening to music with prosocial lyrics. Justify your decisions as part of your explanation. You must refer to:

- the experimental design you would use
- how you would operationalise the dependent variable (DV) in a way that would produce quantitative data
- one ethical consideration you would take into account

You should use your own experience of practical activities to inform your response. [12]

Que	estio	n	Answer	Marks	Guidance
				Max 12	-Context = music, prosocial,
17					love, kindness, helpful etc

Level of response	Details of required features (RFs) included	Justification of decisions made	Reference to own practical work
Good 10-12 marks	-All 3 required features addressed -Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature in context -Good evidence of application of required features in context	-Appropriate justification of all decisions and some is contextualised -Well developed line of reasoning that is clear and logically structured	-Explicit reference to own practical work and clear links between own work and the planned research for each required feature. e.g. specific mention of aim or procedural features
Reasonable 7-9 marks	-All 3 required features addressed -Reasonably accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature	-Some appropriate justification of decision related to all three required features (7 marks if only two required features justified)	-For top band (good) 10 marks if just one RF linked, 11 marks if two and 12 if all three
	-At least two applications of required features in context	-There was a line of reasoning evident with some structure	-If there is no explicit clear link between own practical work and <i>any</i> of the 3 required features caps the mark
	If two required features are addressed in detail an practical work	at 9 maximum.	
Limited 4-6 marks	-Two of the required features addressed	-Attempt to justify decision(s) but weak	
	-Limited application of required features OR all required features referred to but in a limited way	-Evidence of some structure, but weak	
		justified in context and explicit links made to own caward 4 marks	
Basic 1-3 marks	-One of the required features addressed -Weak application of required features	-None, or if present very weak	
	OR more than one of the required features referred to but in a very brief and/or basic way		

RF		Details of RF		
1	Experimental Design	- Good: Design is identified and conditions labelled with how the participants would be assigned to each condition. If RMD which condition it is first/is it counter-balanced.		
		- Reasonable: Design identified and conditions labelled and brief or somewhat muddled outline of how the participants would be assigned to each condition or demonstrates some understanding of the design.		
		- Limited: Design identified and conditions labelled.		
		- Basic: Design identified or described or confuses experimental designs (e.g., identified RMD and outlines IMD).		
2	Operationalising DV (quantitative data)	- Good: if a rating scale is suggested – clear numerical scale with ends of rating scale labelled. Semantic differential scales can be creditworthy and are considered reasonable (good if the numerical scale is given or an explanation of how the data will be made ordinal).		
		- Reasonable: rating scale given but lacks clarity (e.g., Ends of rating scale not labelled). Indicates a number of questions will be asked but does not explain how the final score for each participant will be calculated.		
		- Limited: the way the DV is operationalised could be considered to be quantitative but not explicit.		
		- Basic: vague indication of how DV would be measured e.g., Likert scale		
3	One Ethical	- Good: ethical consideration identified and clear details of how this will be addressed.		
	consideration - Reasonable: briefly addressed or lacks clarity.			
		- Limited: identification of one ethical consideration with some understanding of the consideration.		
		- Basic: identification of one ethical consideration		

Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance
18 (a)	a)	Poster displayed in the café a asking for volunteers for a stransic on behaviour. The poster those interested to reply to, where the stransic or stran	kample area in a large sixth form college udy investigating the influence of er will provide an email address for lich the researcher will then use to people who respond	Max 3	-Context = music, prosocial, love kindness, helpful etc
		Clear outl	ine in context	3	
		Clear outline but not in	OR attempted outline in context	2	
		context			
		Brief and/or unclear outlir	ne (whether in context or not)	1	
		No creditwo	orthy response	0	

	Outline one weakness of using self-selected sampling to obtain participants for this study [3]						
Q	uestion	Answer		Marks	Guidance		
18	(b)	Answers could include: less consample could be biased (only the taking part), low r	hose who like music applying /	Max 3	-Context = music, prosocial, love, kindness, helpful etc		
		Clear outline of strength in context		3			
		Clear outline of strength but not in context	OR attempted outline of strength in context	2			
		Brief and/or weak attempt to out or r	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1			
		The candidate has not provide	d any creditworthy information	0			

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
19	(if used) by participants; probler lack of insight and meaning relationships and meaning relationships are set of the control	s they did etc	Max 3+3	-Context = music, prosocial, love, kindness, helpful etc
	Clear outline of we	eakness in context	3	
	Clear outline of weakness but not in context	OR attempted outline of weakness in context	2	
Brief and/or weak attempt to outline weakness (whether in		1		
	context	or not)		
	The candidate has not provide	d any creditworthy information	0	

Sug	Suggest one open question you could use to obtain additional information in this study. [2]						
Question		on	Answer		Marks	Guidance	
20	20 (a)		Examples How did you feel when you were listening to the music? Would you say you were generally a kind person? What type of music do you like listening to? etc		Max 2	-Context = music, prosocial, love, kindness, helpful etc	
	Clear suggestion in context			2			
			Clear suggestion but not in	OR attempted suggestion in	1		
			context	context			
			The candidate has not provide	ed any creditworthy information	0		

Out	Outline one weakness of using this open question in this study. [3]							
Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance			
20	(b)	Answers here will be predicated on the candidate's response to the previous question (20a)		Max 3	-Context = music, prosocial, love, kindness, helpful etc			
		Possible answers could include: difficult to analyse/compa possible misinterpretation of data.			Do not credit that qualitative data cannot be compared – it can be compared just not as easily/quickly.			
	Clear outline in conte		e in context	3				
		Clear outline but not in context OR attempted outline in context 2		2	No credit for general evaluation of self-reports. Evaluation must be			
	Brief and/or weak outline (whether in context or not)		1	specific to open questions.				
		The candidate has not provide	d any creditworthy information	0				

Outli	Outline one strength of using open questions in any one of your own practical activities. [3]				
Que	estion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
21		Answer here relates to one of car	ndidates' own practical activities	Max 3	-Context here = the theme of the candidates own chosen practical
		Possible answers may include: of the reason	• • •		activity
		Clear outline of strength in context		3	
		Clear outline of strength but not in context	OR attempted outline of strength in context	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outl	ine strength (whether in context	1	
		or n	ot)		
		The candidate has not provided	d any creditworthy information	0	

Section C: Data analysis and interpretation

Wha	hat type of question has been used to produce the data displayed in the table above? Give re			Give reasons for your answer. [2]	
Question		Answer		Marks	Guidance
22	the number of responses in motorists may find annoying. Th		data is just frequency counts of each category of things that he data is participants responses with no opportunity to respond erent way.	Max 2	Context is not required for full marks
		Correct question type ide	ntified and clearly justified	2	
		Correct question type identified	OR correct question type identified and unclear attempt to justify why	1	
		The candidate has not provide	d any creditworthy information	0	

Outlin	ne two c	onclusions that can be made fro	m the data collected in this stu	ıdy. [4]	
Que	estion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
23		For example: Male and female annoying (males 'people drive frequently reported category, and close'), which suggests the wardriving and their experiences of females (51) than males (20) remotorists driving too fast, which safety conscience than male consequences of driving too.	ving too slow' was the most of for females 'people driving too ay men and women approach it are very different; Many more ported being annoyed by other h suggests females are more as and think more about the	Max 2+2	 -Context = driving, car(s), anger, frustration, annoying etc -Must be conclusions (interpretation of data), and not simply stating findings (if so, cap at 1 mark max, whether one or two findings stated)
		Up to 2 marks for e			
		Clear outline of cor	nclusion in context	2	
		Clear outline of conclusion but not in context	OR attempted outline of conclusion in context	1	
		The candidate has not provide	d any creditworthy information	0	

Draw a fully l	abelled bar chart displaying the data from the male participants v	who took pa	art in this study. [4]
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
24	Bar chart showing the number of males reporting different types of behaviour in other motorists that they find annoying 50 45 45 40 40 45 40 40 45 40 40	Max 4	-Context = driving, car(s), anger, frustration, annoying etc Full contextualisation would include driving/motorists and annoying If presented as a histogram cap at 2m If both males and females are included on the graph cap at 2m If a clearly contextualised title has been included, this could make up for any shortfall of labelling on an x and y axis
	1 mark is awarded for correctly presenting by value each bar representing all 6 categories of motorists' behaviour found annoying for males 1 mark is awarded for named categories on the x axis 1 mark is awarded for clear labelling of the y axis (must be contextualised to 'annoying behaviour') 1 mark is awarded for a clearly contextualised title All features included 3 features included 2 features included 1 feature included The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	4 3 2 1 0	

Calc	culate the	ulate the overall percentage of people reporting 'overtaking' as being most annoying. Show your workings. [3]				
Qı	uestion	Answer		Guidance		
25		14%	Max 3			
		Workings		18 + 24 is not a necessary working		
		18 (males) + 24 (females) = 42 in total		to show for full marks.		
		So 42/300 x 100 = 14				
		Correct answer with full workings shown	3			
		Correct answer with some workings shown	2			
		Correct answer shown with no workings	1			
		OR some correct workings shown but incorrect final answer				
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0			

Q	uestion	Answer		Answer		Guidance
26	(a)	Reasons: -test of difference (the study investigated the difference between what males and females found annoying in other motorists' behaviour) -nominal data collected (frequency count of different categories of annoying behaviour in motorists) -independent measures design (males v females)	Max 3	-Context = driving, car(s), anger annoying, frustration, males and females etc		
		Two or three appropriate reasons provided, at least one in context	3			
		Two appropriate reasons provided without context OR one appropriate reason in context	2			
		One appropriate reason provided without context	1			
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0			

Calculate the 'degrees of freedom' required to check for significance when using the Chi square test to analyse the data collected in						
	this study. [1]					
Q	uestion	Answer	Marks	Guidance		
26	(b)	df = 5 Workings (R-1) x (C-1) (2-1) x (6-1) = 5	Max 1			
		Correct answer (no need for workings)	1			
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0			

After it is calculated, how is the 'degrees of freedom' used when checking for significance? [2]					
Q	Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
26	(c)		A clear explanation The 'degrees of freedom' is mapped against levels of significance on a table of critical values to establish the critical value necessary to judge the significance of the study.	Max 2	For two marks candidates must refer to level of significance or probability AND finding the critical value. Reference to number of participants is not creditworthy.
			Basic explanation: e.g. It is used to find the critical value.	1	
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

			eaning of $p < 0.05$ if it appeared as part of the significance state at istical test for this study. [2]	ement whe	n presenting the findings from an
Q	Question		Answer		Guidance
26	(d)		The probability of the null hypothesis being true (or results being due to chance) is less than 5% (so in this study, there is a significant difference in what male and female motorists find annoying in other motorists)	Max 2	Context is not required for full marks. For 1 mark accept 'The null
			Correct answer clearly explained	2	hypothesis will be rejected' or 'The
			Basic response such as 'There is a significant difference'	1	Alternate hypothesis will be
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	accepted'.

	Answer Depends on candidates own practical activity Answers could include but are not limited to: sample size, method used, design used, data collected, reliability, usefulness of data collected 4 marks for an identification with a developed explanation (in context) that is applied meaningfully to the details/findings of the practical activity.		Marks	Guidance
27			Max 4	-Context here = the theme of the candidates own chosen practical activity If candidates write a generic
				evaluation point which could be included in a discussion session of a practical report (but this is not their own practical report) cap at 2 marks
	3 marks for an identification with the evaluation point in context.	h a basic/generic explanation of		If candidates elaborate on their evaluation with a relevant
	2 marks for an identification with a basic/generic explanation of the evaluation point.	Or 2 marks for identification of the evaluation point in context.		counterargument about the same issue this can be accepted as part of a developed explanation.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on

support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder

ocr.org.uk

Twitter/ocrexams

/ocrexams

in /company/ocr

/ocrexams



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA.

Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up-to-date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our <u>Expression of Interest form</u>.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.