Qualification Accredited



GCSE

Examiners' report

ENGLISH LANGUAGE

J351

For first teaching in 2015

J351/01 Summer 2023 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 1 series overview	
Section A overview	5
Question 1 (a), (b) and (c)	6
Question 2	7
Question 3	9
Question 4	10
Section B overview	11
Question 5*	12
Question 6*	12

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 1 series overview

Centres have clearly prepared their candidates well for this examination. Centres have clearly taken into account the suggestions and advice offered in previous examination reports and most candidates understand what each question requires and how best to approach answering it.

There were far fewer scripts in this session in which candidates had left questions unanswered. Responses were generally more concise and fewer candidates made use of the extra page or additional answer booklets.

Some candidates who typed their work, however, were not restrained by the page count in the exam papers and wrote at excessive length, often repetitively, and sometimes at great detriment to their overall achievement.

It was pleasing to see how well most candidates presented typewritten work. Questions were almost always clearly labelled, and lines were usually double-spaced which allowed examiners to annotate the responses in detail.

Candidates clearly understood each of the reading texts and were able to relate to discussions about the importance of what people eat. Most were able to make thoughtful comparisons between the two texts and evaluate how they presented their ideas.

Some candidates, however, used very long quotations and it was not always clear which element of the quotation related to the comment that they were making. Others used ellipsis in the middle of a lengthy quotation, an approach which did not lend itself to close analysis of the question.

Examiners were impressed by the hard work that all candidates put into their response to the questions on this paper. The maturity of response to the reading section, and the effectiveness of much of the work in the writing section, all produced within the time constraints of an examination, were a credit to the candidates and to the centres who prepared them.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:
read the texts carefully before answering	misread the texts
used paragraphs to organise responses	did not organise responses clearly
chose precise quotations	did not select relevant evidence
used terminology precisely	used subject terminology inaccurately
started paragraphs with topic sentences	did not use the correct form of writing
wrote enough - but not too much.	did not address the audience directly.

Section A overview

Each question in Section A tests different reading assessment objectives. The exception is AO2 which is assessed in both Question 2 and Question 4. Different aspects of AO1 are tested in Question 1 and 2: Question 1 assesses the skills of selection and interpretation whereas Question 2 assesses the skill of synthesis.

The questions are based on two texts from different centuries. In this session the first text was from the 19th century and the second text was from the 21st century. Candidates are not required to draw on knowledge from outside the texts but some candidates do use contextual clues from within the texts in their evaluation of the texts for Question 4.

Text 1 was written by a famous 19th century chef who wrote a recipe book to help people on lower incomes to cook their food more economically. He also thought that improved cooking skills would have other benefits: it would improve people's emotional well-being and moral behaviour and would also increase people's appreciation of what he called 'the culinary science'.

Text 2 was written by Gillian McKeith in the 21st century, who claimed to have medical expertise which she used to improve the diets of her patients. Like Soyer, she wanted to make people 'happier' but she was more concerned than him about improving their physical health and helping them to lose weight. Unlike Soyer, she referred to her own personal struggles with eating 'the right food'.

The texts were accessible and linked by themes which could be understood by students. All candidates understood that the writers wanted to improve people's diets, and most were able to explain the different emphases of each writer. Some were critical of McKeith's emphasis on a 'slimmer' you and preferred Soyer's concern for the more general wellbeing of people with lower incomes.

There were some misunderstandings. Some candidates used incorrect pronouns to describe each writer; assessors are instructed not to penalise such confusion when awarding marks. Many candidates confused Soyer's desire to improve people's 'morals' with a desire to improve their 'morale'; as this was so prevalent, it was decided that such confusion should not affect candidates' marks.

There was clear evidence that candidates had followed advice given in previous examiners' reports. They had read both texts and looked at all the questions before they began to answer. They were, therefore, able to draw on ideas from later reading questions and from the writing tasks to help them understand the key ideas addressed by the two texts.

5

© OCR 2023

Question 1 (a), (b) and (c)

Look at lines 1-6

Question 1 is about Text 1, Shilling Cookery for the People by Alexis Soyer.

(a)	Identify the word that describes the people Alexis Soyer visited.
	[1]
(b)	Give two ways in which philanthropic individuals have tried to help the poorest people.
	1
	2
	[2]
(c)	Explain the help Alexis Soyer thinks that the poorest people still need.
	743

1a. Almost all candidates identified the word 'poorest' as the word that describes the people that Soyer visited. Some, however, had not read the text carefully enough and quoted other words instead. Candidates would be well-advised not to write the whole phrase but just the word itself, and not to explain that they are about to quote the word.

1b. Almost all candidates were able to select 'improve their morals' and 'render their dwellings more comfortable' as the correct answers. Some, however, used incomplete quotations which suggested a lack of real understanding, e.g. some wrote 'render their dwellings' rather than the full quotation. A correct response here sets the scene for a better response to Question 4.

1c. This question is the differentiator as it requires interpretation. Although the correct answer is that Soyer wants to help the poorest people prepare their food more economically or more effectively, many thought that he simply wanted to provide them with more food. Many candidates gave several possible answers, but examiners are told to accept only the first answer even if the correct one is given later.

Both texts are by writers who want to help people.

Question 2

Question 2 is about **Text 1**, Shilling Cookery for the People by Alexis Soyer and **Text 2**, You Are What You Eat by Gillian McKeith.

\$400,000 HE HE SECTION OF SECTION OF SECTION OF SECTION OF SECTION SECTION OF
What other similarities do these texts share?
Draw on evidence from both texts to support your answer.
[6]

Almost all centres have clearly taken previous guidance into account as almost all candidates have organised their response to this task effectively. The best responses have three paragraphs, each of which identify one clear similarity between the two texts in a sentence at the start of the paragraph and then provide one relevant quotation from each text which exemplifies the similarity.

Some candidates, however, do not start with a clear statement of the similarity they have identified. Quite often candidates start a paragraph with a statement that identifies two possible links and provide evidence from one text that supports the first similarity and evidence from the other text that supports the other but do not establish clearly what the link between the texts is.

Other candidates misunderstand the task. The task is designed to support candidates by helping them to think about the connections between what the texts describe before they go on to explore the differences in their response to Question 4. Some candidates, however, make connections between how the writers use language which is not what this question requires.

Candidates often referred to writer's comments about the importance of food and the powerful effect it has on those who eat it. There were also perceptive comparisons of the way both writers presented experts' lack of appreciation and understanding of the power of food. Comparisons were also made about the way that trips each writer had made had had an impact on their understanding.

Candidates correctly identified that both texts were written by people with expert knowledge and understanding about what people eat – one a famous chef, the other a doctor. Both experts have worked with the people they are trying to help and describe in detail the ways that an improved diet can help people.

Exemplar 1

emotional satisfaction ford can brigger.
emotional satisfaction ford can origus.
This can be seen in Text 2 as "thing
more disposes to the heart to amicable feeling"
Span well-prepared Good. Similarly in Text 2,
the writer Feels "home and in swint in"
when learning about different Foods Both texts also ony highlight the
Both texts dis ony highlight the
Compaction Committed for this
can be observed in Text I, where the writer
Mentions the importance of "culinary win science"
11 the Same way, the writer 12/4/2
emphasices the importance of a "esoturic"
mairodiet di parrobiatic det:
both lects also underwhethe
various physical venefits provided by got good food. This can be seen the Text I, where good food is shown to
got good tood. This can be sent
Just I, where good food is shown to
bring reoplemich & comfort" Similarly,
In leat 2, the writer says good food
Ining reople's with & confort" Similarly, In Text 2, the writer says good food will give you the best drance of being slim and happy and healthy.
and happy aind healthy.

This candidate's response shows how to organise a clear, concise response to Question 2. There is a clear statement of a similarity supported by relevant evidence drawn from each text.

Question 3

Question 3 is about Text 2, You Are What You Eat by Gillian McKeith.

3 Look at lines 12–25.

Explore how Gillian McKeith uses language and structure to persuade the reader to trust her advice.

Support your ideas by referring to the text, using relevant subject terminology.

[12]

This task asks candidates to focus on one section of the text and explore how the writer has used language and structure. The question clearly directs candidates to lines 12 to 25. Some candidates, however, referred to material from earlier in the passage which assessors were not able to reward, no matter how insightful. Centres should make sure that candidates are aware that this question will always focus on a section of the text rather than the whole text.

Candidates made use of an impressive range of subject terminology. Less successful candidates tended to use lengthier quotations with a general reference to a feature of language and structure but without a clear explanation of the effect. More successful candidates analysed features of language and structure in detail and gave more thorough explanations of the impact on the reader.

In the specified lines there were a wide range of linguistic and structural features to discuss. Candidates in this session were especially successful at identifying straightforward features of structure such as alliteration, repetition, and three-part lists. There was much less discussion of linguistic features such as metaphor which would enable candidates to access the higher levels in the mark scheme.

There is, for example, the metaphor of a 'journey' which is first mentioned on line 12 and the returned to on line 21 when McKeith refers to the 'first step on the road to a slimmer, healthier you'. She also uses the metaphor of a journey in the phrase 'you have taken the first step on the road back' and later compares bad diets to drugs and good diets to prescriptions.

Only a few candidates demonstrated the perceptive appreciation of language and structure required for the highest levels. Discussion of the language associated with medicine in the specified lines and the differing connotations of McKeith's references to the 'patients' at her 'clinic' and her use of the correct 'prescription' were useful in demonstrating a more sophisticated understanding of the text.

Pronouns are a significant feature of the text and were a popular choice for discussion. Many candidates were able to discuss how the writer used pronouns to create a relationship with the reader. Higher level responses were able to track how the use of pronouns shifted as the text developed with a clear shift of focus towards the patient using 'you' towards the end of the extract.

Tracking the development of the text in this way is a more useful approach than selecting more random features from different parts of the text. It is useful to start with the first of the specified lines and trace how the writer develops ideas as the extract progresses, looking for patterns of language such as the shift in the use of pronouns to 'you' on line 18.

However, generalised comments about how the topics of each paragraph change are less helpful. It shows some general awareness of how the extract develops but lacks precision. It is better to locate more precisely the words or phrases which mark these changes of focus in the text.

9

Question 4

Question 4 is about **Text 1**, Shilling Cookery for the People by Alexis Soyer and **Text 2**, You Are What You Eat by Gillian McKeith.

4 'Both texts are just about the benefits to individual people of changing what they eat.'

How far do you agree with this statement?

In your answer you should:

- discuss what we learn about people changing what they eat
- explain how far you agree that both texts are mainly about individual people changing what they eat
- compare the ways the texts present the benefits of people changing what they eat.

Support your response with quotations from Text 1 and Text 2.

[18]

Examiners were pleased to see how much progress candidates had made in their approaches to this task. Centres have clearly taken on board advice given in previous examiners' reports about how to organise responses to this task. Almost all candidates now attempt to address the statement and frame their response as an interwoven comparison.

The focus of the statement was about whether the benefits of changing what they eat was beneficial just to individuals or to whole groups of people. Most candidates were able to see that Text 1 did refer to the benefits that individuals would receive but was more concerned than Text 2 about the benefits to a whole group of people – 'the poorest people'.

More successful candidates considered the contexts of each text. They noted that Soyer was also concerned about the benefits to professional chefs like himself of a greater appreciation of their skills. In a similar way, McKeith was seeking benefits for herself by encouraging people to buy her book and follow her dietary recommendations.

There were very few successful introductions to candidates' responses to this task. It would be useful for centres to help candidates plan their responses carefully and frame their argument in a concise opening paragraph. It would be useful in the introduction, for example, to identify the extent to which the statement is applicable to each text.

The best responses began by exploring the ways in which the statement did apply to each text. They provided supporting evidence based both on what each text described and how they presented their ideas. Critical consideration of how the writers present their ideas is essential for achieving marks in the two highest levels of the mark scheme.

The best responses then considered ways in which the statement did not apply to each text by breaking the statement down to its component parts. Candidates considered whether each text was just about changing what people eat, just about the benefits, or just about individuals. The word 'just' was picked up and clearly examined.

Less successful candidates often paraphrased the text or included lengthy quotations. Future candidates may find it beneficial to practise selecting quotations more judiciously and use them in support of their response to the statement. Often, candidates were commenting on quotations without linking their comments back to the statement.

Section B overview

There were successful responses to both tasks but Question 5 was the more popular choice. Candidates had a more secure grasp of the how to write a talk than how to write a magazine article. Both tasks elicited responses that were enjoyable to read, and candidates of all abilities were able to make responses which reflected their level of attainment.

There are several criteria for a successful response: the response must be cohesive and organised into coherent and effective paragraphs; sentences should be controlled and effective with accurate punctuation used for clarity and effect; the vocabulary should be precise and convincing and spelling should be accurate.

Most responses have a clear overall structure with only a few coming to an abrupt or unsatisfactory ending. Candidates make good use of discourse markers in the text to support cohesion between sentences and paragraphs, however, many candidates do not use paragraphs which are coherent or effective.

The content and style of the response must also be adapted to suit the form, audience, and purpose of the task. Candidates were more successful in doing this in their response to Question 5 than in their response to Question 6. Centres may like to consider making this a focus in their preparation for future assessments.

Candidates' use of vocabulary is usually appropriate and often effective, and spelling is generally accurate. A wide range of sentence structures is used by many candidates, and some show an exceptional control of sentences used for effect. Punctuation within the sentence, however, is sometimes inconsistent, and candidates would benefit from further work on how to use commas.

11

Question 5*

5* Write a talk for parents advising them how to help their children eat healthier food.

You should:

- describe some difficulties children have with eating healthily
- · explain the benefits of eating healthier food
- describe how to convince children to eat healthier food.

[40]

It was pleasing to see that centres had taken note of advice in previous reports and used simple deictic features such as here/now/today to locate the talk clearly. More successful candidates also made clear what persona they had adopted as the speaker, for example, health worker, parent, or dietary expert, and often referred to imagined personal experience. Anecdotes can be helpful but should not be lengthy as they can distort the structure of a text which is not intended to be a narrative.

Most candidates were able to give clear, detailed advice, and were able to use quite precise vocabulary to explain their ideas. There was a clear sense of the purpose of the task and a clear sense of who the audience was and how to address them effectively. The most successful candidates made use of an impressive range of rhetorical features and persuasive language.

Question 6*

6* A magazine for young people publishes a regular column with the title 'That was when my journey began.'

Write an article for the magazine to describe a moment when you changed your ideas about life.

You should:

- explain what you used to think about life before that moment
- describe the moment that changed your ideas
- explain how your life has changed since that moment.

[40]

Although fewer candidates chose this task, some of the most impressive and enjoyable pieces were written in response to it. Candidates had a clear sense of the purpose of the task and were able to identify, and describe in often effective detail, the moment their journey began. There were a range of journeys described, including the discovery of an unexpected talent, a personal tragedy, or a realisation that it was time to start preparing for final exams.

Responses tended to take a narrative form, however, and very few candidates attempted to make use of the magazine article format or address the specified audience. Lively sub-editorial material such as a headline, a stand-first, and one or two sub-headings would easily address this deficit. Candidates could also more clearly identify their audience by making use of simple techniques like pronouns to establish an effective reader-writer relationship.

12

Exemplar 2

Why am I felling you all this?
It's not like I'm a Hollywood screenwriter
I'm a student just like you well
Well it's exactly that i you You could
be in the same spot as I was still
believing on the magic of the ants. Don't
get me wrong the arts of can be
magical but they're still made by people
People who went to school who I took
thebes and borred in class, who did
their homework People who thought the
'I could do that' and did . Still just people.
'I could do that' and did. Still just people. People like me &
People like you. Tota J Doe, student
Commence of Commence of the Co

Exemplar 2 describes the moment their journey to becoming a successful screenwriter began. In this concluding section, the candidate deliberately positions the audience of young people by using pronouns and by referring to concrete examples of their experience. The vocabulary is straightforward but appropriate for the audience with an effective use of pronouns, punctuation, and sentence structure.

Supporting you

Teach Cambridge

Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.

Don't have access? If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them this link</u> to help get you started.

Reviews of marking

If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the OCR website.

Access to Scripts

For the June 2023 series, Exams Officers will be able to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts' for all of our General Qualifications including Entry Level, GCSE and AS/A Level. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.

Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our <u>website</u>.

Keep up-to-date

We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.

OCR Professional Development

Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.

Please find details for all our courses for your subject on **Teach Cambridge**. You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.

Signed up for ExamBuilder?

ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. Find out more.

ExamBuilder is **free for all OCR centres** with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.

If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Active Results

Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.

Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on

01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on **support@ocr.org.uk**

For more information visit

- □ ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- **y** twitter.com/ocrexams
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- linkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please contact us.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

 $Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our \underline{\text{Expression of Interest form}}.$

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.