



## GCSE (9-1)

**Examiners' report** 

# ANCIENT HISTORY

#### **J198** For first teaching in 2013

J198/02 Summer 2023 series

## Contents

Introduction	3	
Paper 2 series overview4		
Section A overview	5	
Question 1 (a)	5	
Question 1 (b)	5	
Question 1 (c)	5	
Question 2	6	
Question 3	6	
Question 4	7	
Question 5*	9	
Section B overview1	0	
Question 6 (a)1	0	
Question 6 (b)1	0	
Question 6 (c)1	0	
Question 71	0	
Question 81	1	
Question 91	1	
Question 10*1	2	
Section C overview1	6	
Question 11 (a)1	6	
Question 11 (b)1	6	
Question 11 (c)1	6	
Question 121	7	
Question 131	7	
Question 141	7	
Question 15*1	8	
Section D overview2	1	
Question 16 (a)2	1	
Question 16 (b)2	1	
Question 16 (c)2	1	
Question 172	1	
Question 182	2	
Question 192	2	
Question 20*2	3	

## Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

#### Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

## Paper 2 series overview

The compulsory unit Foundations of Rome focuses on the kings of Rome and the early Roman Republic. The three depth studies explore Hannibal and the Second Punic War, Cleopatra and the downfall of the Ptolemaic dynasty and the early history of Roman Britain.

Candidates are assessed on their ability to explain and evaluate key developments in the two distinct periods they have studied.

The Foundations of Rome is a longer period study and candidates are asked to explore and consider the significance of events and individuals on this early period of Rome described by Livy.

The depth studies, in contrast, ask candidates to use their study of prescribed sources to draw out, explain and evaluate the key events, individuals, societies and issues in this shorter period.

Candidates who performed well on the longer period study could recall and select relevant key developments to make connections, identify contrasts and analyse the trends prompted by the exam questions. Successful candidates could also analyse the unseen passage using their own knowledge to make supported judgements.

Successful candidates on the depth study could draw out key ideas from the ancient sources and analyse them within the context they were created to show their understanding of the debates and issues raised by the questions.

It was encouraging to see many more candidates using the guidance provided in examiners' reports in their responses, especially when answering the source-based questions and the depth study questions.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:
<ul> <li>used specific evidence to support their judgements, for example, specific events and names to add depth to their responses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>used vague assertions or made unsubstantiated statements. For example, generic comments which could be associated</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>signposted contrasts and comparisons to make thematic links clear</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>with multiple civilisations throughout history</li> <li>described each individual or event without specific details</li> </ul>
focused on the demand of the question, rather than on the general topic	<ul> <li>offered a general description without clear reference to the question</li> </ul>
were able to draw out inferences and themes from the passage	<ul> <li>copied large sections of the passage and did not draw themes or inferences from them</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>chose a specific issue from the passage for Questions 3 and 4, explored its meaning and then used specific knowledge to develop it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>wrote answers which lacked specific examples</li> <li>made simple comments about a source's bias</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>were able to analyse the strengths and weaknesses of the ancient sources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>gave answers that were narrative or were unsupported assertions</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>made valid judgements, supported with specific evidence</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>wrote essays that lacked structure and organisation.</li> </ul>
• wrote an essay looking at different factors, and supported it with knowledge	
<ul> <li>could construct a convincing argument using prescribed sources in context.</li> </ul>	

## Section A overview

As in previous years, candidates who did well generally focused on the demands of the question and selected relevant evidence from their own knowledge or the passage to substantiate the judgements they made. These candidates were able to link various events together to explore trends and exceptions that had historical significance.

Candidates who did less well generally wrote narratives or wrote about the general topic area of the question. These candidates were not able to develop the substantiated judgements or statements found in the more successful responses. Others did not use specific knowledge or specific aspects from the passage to support the assertions made in their responses.

Performance was encouraging in Questions 3 and 4. More successful candidates were able to use the details from the passage to explore key aspects of popularity, control and the varied approaches used by the kings of Rome.

Question 5 was answered more inconsistently. Many candidates did not mention the Republic in their responses and concentrated on Romulus and Numa. Those responses that considered the full period were much more successful in identifying the many themes that shaped Rome's foundation.

#### Question 1 (a)

**1** (a) Name the city Romulus' grandfather is said to have ruled.

This question was generally well answered although there were a few candidates who thought Romulus' grandfather was Aeneas and they answered Troy.

#### Question 1 (b)

(b) Identify two ways the Roman Republic gave more power to the plebeians.

[2]

[1]

This question was also generally well answered. A common misconception was that the right to appeal was introduced by the Republic, not reinstated after the Second Secession.

#### Question 1 (c)

(c) Name the event which started after the death of Verginia.

[1]

This question was also generally well answered. A few candidates confused the death of Virginia with Lucretia's death.

[6]

#### Question 2

**2** Outline the main features of the foundation of Rome by Romulus.

Candidates responded well to this question. Most responses outlined several key features and supported these with specific details. The most popular responses discussed asylum, the Sabine women, the Senate, and religious developments. Some candidates misinterpreted the question and wrote about the legend of Aeneas or discussed the birth of Romulus and Remus.

#### Question 3

**3** Using details from **Passage A** and your own knowledge, what can we learn about the unpopularity of Tarquinius Superbus?

[10]

This year saw many more candidates drawing several key issues, themes, or factors from the passage. For example, writing a paragraph about the treatment of the plebeians that included a quote or close paraphrase from the source and some specific examples to develop it further. Organising the answer in this way made it easier for candidates to add a few specific examples in support. This helped candidates write more efficient and shorter answers.

There were fewer instances where candidates rewrote the passage and added some general facts at the end.

The most popular response involved the passage's use of 'tyrannical' to explore Superbus' many abuses of power. There were, however, a wide variety of valid approaches.

The most successful responses chose several features from the passage and developed these with appropriate and well-chosen knowledge. Another feature of higher performance was the ability to contextualise the passage. By demonstrating that this source discussed events before Ardea, Lucretia's death and the Tarquin exile, the most successful candidates could explain how Rome was on the edge of revolution.

Less successful responses copied the passage out and did not draw any conclusions. Some were able to make simple assertions about Superbus' treatment of the poor. The least successful responses often misinterpreted the passage and suggested Superbus was unpopular because he was incompetent and had wasted his money on bad projects.

#### Question 4

4 Using details from **Passage A** and your own knowledge, explain how Rome's Kings kept control of their people. [15]

Almost all candidates found the passage accessible and were able to answer the question.

The most successful candidates drew out several themes from the passage. For example, largesse, warfare, living standards, employment, and tyranny were some of the most frequently used. Candidates also explored religious duties and legal control. Significantly, the most successful responses could use the passage in their answer as a springboard into a wider discussion.

Successful candidates then used well-selected and specific evidence to develop these themes; comparing and contrasting the relative success of the different kings and the approaches they used. Judgements were substantiated.

Less successful candidates understood that each king had a unique approach and were able to state this with some confidence. They did not, however, add any specific examples to substantiate their statements. Others did not use the passage or just used the passage which understandably limited their marks.

#### Exemplar 1

Rome's kings kept control is Rome by using employment,
Money, and religion to keep the people happy.
In the source, Superlus " employed' the commons to do
Manual labour, Although this was "usually completed by slaves",
they got paid minimal amounts. This kept the people kney
while not a tries of avar, reducing the likelinear of
a revolt. This is also displayed through Warra. Wina
established many jobs (such as blacksmith) to prevent idlement
in the people and remain activities. Due to his religiour
told initiatives, the the people had no military risks, so
had to be kept king. By establishing jobs, Nama
Accessed the security and happiness of the Roman
frogle.

lûlm bo Control WAS used not only the ater. Numa Λ0 barria was 10 Tel 1 Glean Considered Sacru attar lege me QCulh ю ш TWED MA (มณ-1 Hosfi ſĽŪ'n connertarily (17.4 Wr ોપવ øIJ ſ٨٥ ω Marc enaron New Well

Exemplar 1 is the first two paragraphs from a response that scored a mark from the bottom of Level 5. The response identifies the theme of employment from the passage. This is used to contrast Superbus and Numa's approach to the plebeians. The second paragraph then explores the significance of religion, and the candidate explains how effective it was under Numa and Ancus, before illustrating how religion contributed to Superbus losing control.

The third and final paragraph, which is not included here, focused on how money and war could be used to control the people. There was some repetition of ideas from the first paragraph but this paragraph also included the idea of how sharing plunder and riches would soften the people's anger.

[20]

#### Question 5\*

5\* 'War was the most significant factor in the foundation of Rome, 753–440 BC.' To what extent do you agree with this view?

(*P*) Spelling, punctuation and grammar and the use of specialist terminology [5]

Most candidates offered a good response to this question, although a significant majority did not mention the Republic and focused on the kings.

Candidates approached the essay in many ways. Some of the most successful responses compared warfare to other factors and issues and identified the links between them. The most common argument suggested warfare served as a catalyst for change in Ancient Rome. Many also made the point that the treatment of women was also an important factor comparing the stories of the Sabine women, Lucretia and Virginia. Other popular themes were religion, rights and responsibilities, patron-client relationship, employment and living standards, and land reform.

The most successful responses also made substantiated judgements and were able to construct a controlled argument. This did not have to be complex, but they were sustained and well supported by knowledge from across the full period. Successful responses also illustrated their points with specific examples rather than making sweeping statements about the whole period.

Less successful responses wrote narratives or constructed good arguments with limited knowledge to substantiate them. Others ran out of time.

#### Assessment for learning

Remind your candidates that the Foundations of Rome is a longer period study. It is important, therefore, to identify some key events across the different themes – military, religion, political power and social change. Creating revision cards or materials which can chain these key events together will help improve understanding and speed up recall.

## Section B overview

Candidates who studied Hannibal generally demonstrated a good understanding of Roman and Carthaginian leadership. Question 9 was, generally, approached with confidence and the key features of Cannae were explored. The vast majority wrote a narrative account, but some candidates did successfully explain Hannibal's strategies. Responses to Question 10 were very encouraging. Compared to previous years, many more candidates were using and analysing the prescribed sources to make substantiated and developed judgements about Roman leadership.

#### Question 6 (a)

6 (a) Name the consul who fought against Hannibal in the battle of Trasimene.

[1]

Most candidates answered this accurately.

#### Question 6 (b)

(b) Identify three characteristics which made Hannibal a successful general.

[3]

Candidates responded well to this question. The most common responses were single-word qualities which we can ascribe to Hannibal, for example, strategic, resilient and inspirational. Some responses gave specific examples such as the oath he gave to his father never to call Rome a friend.

#### Question 6 (c)

(c) Name the African city which fought against Rome in the Punic Wars.

[1]

Most candidates answered this accurately.

#### Question 7

7 What can we learn from Passage B about the impact of the Alps expedition upon Hannibal's army? [5]

Candidates responded well to this passage. A small number of responses merely copied out extracts from the passage.

The vast majority drew out excellent inferences about the impact of the Alps crossing on Hannibal's forces. Many high-performing responses were able to use 'boldly' to demonstrate the resilience of the Carthaginian force.

#### Question 8

8 Using details from **Passage B**, how accurate is Polybius' description of Hannibal's army after the crossing of the Alps? [5]

Candidates continue to use Polybius effectively. Most knew that he is believed to have visited the locations he is writing about in his history. They used this knowledge effectively to evaluate the accuracy of this passage. Successful responses were able to evaluate the significance of the column at Lacinium, the length of the crossing and the nature of the terrain.

Some centres are still teaching candidates to make sweeping statements that authors writing after an event are unreliable.

Some less successful responses confused Livy and Polybius. Others did not use the passage in their response and made generic statements.

#### Question 9

9 Explain the significance of Hannibal's tactics during the battle of Cannae in 216 BC. [10]

Most candidates answered this question with some confidence.

The vast majority of responses were narrative. Higher-performing responses were able to explain the significance of the different stages. For example, why Hannibal's preparations positioned the Roman force looking into the sun. Or why Hannibal's feigned surrender was so devastating.

The most successful responses were able to explore the significance of his tactics. Whether this was the impact of his victory on the Roman army and its people, the size of the force Hannibal defeated, or the story Livy attributes to Maharbal after the battle regarding Hannibal's inability to win the war.

Less successful responses did not contain specific evidence and a very small minority mixed Cannae with Trasimene.

#### Question 10\*

**10\*** 'Roman leadership was the most significant factor in Hannibal's defeat in the Second Punic War.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?

You must use and analyse the ancient sources you have studied as well as supporting your answer with your own knowledge. [20]

Candidates responded well to this question, and most were able to select appropriate examples from the prescribed sources to analyse.

Some responses divided the answer into two sides, others looked at different factors such as tactics, Roman mistakes and Carthaginian mistakes. Most responses covered the full war, but most understandably concentrated on Scipio and Fabius Maximus.

Many candidates were able to use general comments about Livy and Polybius' motivations to explain why Fabius and Scipio were praised in their histories. For example, Livy wanted to celebrate Roman glory so Scipio's victory demonstrated how a Roman general could succeed where Hannibal could not. A significant number of responses discussed the scapegoating of the 'new men' Varro and Flaminius by Polybius.

More successful responses offered more nuanced analyses to show the flaws with Roman historical sources. For example, Livy describes the disadvantages affecting the Roman army at Cannae to suggest Hannibal's greatest victories were luck rather than strategic invention. Likewise, Polybius blames the 'new men' to magnify the successes of his patron's family.

A small number of responses did not refer to the ancient sources or historians, therefore could not access the 10 marks available for AO3.

#### Exemplar 2

-	on the one hand, Roman leadership certainly did
_	have an impact on Hannibals even that defeat.
	This is shown by Fabius maximus, a dictator
_	of Rome. He had there inflicted the most
	significant damage on Hannibal during his
	campaign with the tabian stratedgy. This
	stratedgy involved the war of attrition, rabius
	simply followed hannibal everywhere. He
	only attacked raiding parties, never engaging
	in balle. He burned roman Fields to disaduantage
	Hannibal completely with supplies approximation

Polybius account of this would be accurate as he used eye-witness accounts of batues and was greek so not influenced by Roman bias, so his description of the strateday would be Muchle. Another way in which Roman leaders nip was a significant factor was super Africanus 'taetics in defeating Hannibal. He was able to claim Iberia from Mannipal, and forced nim buttle LOF Zamer) that he knews ne would defear nem in He rendered Hannibuls elephanis useless as he trained cavalry against them Only 1500 Roman soldiers died on the Battle of zana compard to 20,000 Cartnaginians. these numbers are agreed upon and mest accurat source by paybills.

On the other hand, eoman leadership was the vory recroace for actually contributing to their own At the siege of sagunburn, that downfauls Ascripto Romans captured struction of its walls and Hannibert at the battle of transiment TOFE-MUQ Roman consuls were over confident, sempronius was popular but a weak general Hannibal was able to guess his intentions and atla cheel the Romans from both sides copieally by fog, they were also blocked by Semproneus lack of minitery skill caused a \* and its was Hanniburg icer of confidence instead

another neary 1035 For the Romans. Polybus here used eyewitness accounts and additionally his grandfather forger was in the 2nd punic war so had generational phonedy. There is a possibility of exaggination however as Stones are passed down.

Additionally, at Trinicus, Romans suffered heavily due to failure of consuls. Have They under-estim ared Hannibals force and therefore puns did not request buckup and so hunnibar was only Fund by local Forces and easily was able to defrait them. Parthermore, at Arguably Hannibals failure to invade Rome offer his untery at cannoe was one of the most signific -ant reasons for his defeat. This gave the Romans time to assemble legions and for Scipio Africanus to Aque out Hannibal Hannibal also daimed that which was on that Romes side polybius uses speech in his accounts which is less accurate as he would not have know the actual words or thoughts of Hannibar Livy, another source, is had soman bias and his writing often ained to present A annibal in a bad light in order to glorify come. However ne diduse fabrus pictor as a source, who was auce at the time, so would have access to accurate debauls, powever it contributed a lor Overall, roman jed deisnip was not most significant

14

This is a good Level 4 response. The candidate has written a balanced and, largely, substantiated account comparing the strengths and weaknesses of Roman leadership.

There is a developed understanding considering the whole Second Punic War. The depth of knowledge is adequate for this level, and the few mistakes do not adversely affect the presented conclusions. Likewise, there is a good consistent understanding of the historical context of the prescribed sources, which uses analysis to determine the validity of the conclusions made.

## Section C overview

The Cleopatra depth study remains the most popular depth study in this paper.

Responses to Question 14 were very inconsistent. A significant number of candidates did not develop their responses with specific evidence.

More candidates compared to previous years were using the prescribed sources when answering the essay question. Some candidates wrote outstanding responses using, analysing and evaluating a wide variety of prescribed sources, both Roman and Egyptian. However, many did not mention any prescribed sources at all. A great deal of source analysis was limited to how long after the events the source had been created.

#### Question 11 (a)

**11 (a)** Outline **three** details of Mark Antony's suicide.

Most candidates answered this question well. Most responses focused on his slave's refusal to kill him and his eventual death in Cleopatra's arms.

#### Question 11 (b)

(b) What is Cleopatra supposed to have hidden in before her first meeting with Caesar? [1]

Most candidates answered this well and accurately.

#### Question 11 (c)

(c) What was the name of Octavian's sister, who married Mark Antony?

[1]

[3]

Most candidates answered this well and accurately.

#### Question 12

**12** What can we learn from **Passage C** about the expansion of Egyptian territory under Cleopatra?

[5]

Most candidates answered this with confidence and identified at least one valid inference.

The most common observation was that Cleopatra was gifted the expansion and she did not have to fight for it. Others focused on the economic significance and its impact on the Roman people.

The less successful responses copied the source out and did not make any valid inferences. Others used the passage to make inaccurate statements.

#### Question 13

13 Using details from Passage C, how accurate is Plutarch's portrayal of the expansion of Egyptian territories under Cleopatra? [5]

More successful responses used Plutarch's context to identify some key points from the passage. In particular his use of language – such as 'shameful' and 'gifts' to criticise the nature of the expansion of territories. They were able to draw out xenophobia and distrust of female power.

Less successful responses asserted that the source was accurate because there was a list of places or it was inaccurate because he lived after the events.

#### Question 14

**14** Explain the political and economic importance of Egypt for Rome.

[10]

Most candidates were able to answer this question with some confidence. The most common response focused on grain and trade routes.

More successful responses explained the significance of these factors and support with specific evidence. For example, the significance of Egypt when campaigning against Parthia.

Less successful responses identified different factors but they were not developed.

#### Question 15\*

15\* 'For Cleopatra, the Battle of Actium was more important than any other event.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?

You must use and analyse the ancient sources you have studied as well as supporting your answer with your own knowledge. [20]

Most candidates were able to offer a valid response to this question and they organised it into two sides.

A significant number of responses did not use the prescribed sources or source analysis to support or develop their ideas. Some less successful responses included a generic overview of the Roman historians they had studied. The most common examples of this were along the lines of 'we cannot trust any of the ancient historians because they were all Roman and they hated women', or 'they all worked for Augustus and made anti-Cleopatra propaganda'. Such conclusions were reductive and often invalidated the arguments they had just made.

Knowledge and understanding of the events at Actium were also a concern for some of the less successful candidates. Many of these candidates did not describe other events.

Most responses focused on Cleopatra's relationship with Antony and Caesar. For example, her successes were creating relationships with these men who killed her opponents for her or gave her honours and land. These responses claimed that her Actium was the most important because it led to her downfall. Very few responses explored Cleopatra's reign, her achievements and her longevity compared to other Ptolemaic rulers.

More successful responses often wrote a thematic essay and analysed a particular source or historian to demonstrate how much weight the argument had. For example, Cleopatra's success in Egypt could be seen in Egyptian coinage which had significant propaganda value when it depicted her as the sole 'divine' ruler.

The most successful responses understood the complex political situation caused by the Roman civil war which led to the events at Actium. These candidates were able to explain the significance of the conflict on Cleopatra's power. They were able to use the significance of the Donations of Alexandria for Cleopatra, and the limits placed on the Ptolemaic monarchs by Rome.

#### Exemplar 3

Cleopatra the Battle of Activn was Untain IN 0 Q NNOR 1 loon) 9812 serth Ind NOOT S  $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}$ pare  $\omega \omega$ an milli more trone ß 1 marke eres (L) Č You 62 ñ end <u>^^/</u> Why 22 5 207 ſ mi 瓜 ങ Nov.  $\omega \gamma$ wre Q ne 00 Reane Ana <u>m</u> NON るう nut 70 m  $\mathbf{m}$ M বি proprision ren pold 35-U nonice Alexandria. Vor Vegrata MAS Sterrin 5 of moon Ing her 솏 unno لمرا QX DQ 000 cours n am 20 and ð one C

Firl'Itate O MASE eunna ę, perl M aura No  $\boldsymbol{O}$ **r** ? **^**\ olvir the mitim mee GAN RO 5-An se 79 ss in 28 erian esonan low May Mago ł RE BOURC N **`**N

This is a good Level 4 response. The candidate compares the significance of the Battle of Actium with several other valid events. There is a developed understanding and a good selection of information. The judgements are not always fully developed, but they do demonstrate a line of reasoning.

Some source analysis is used to assess the validity of these conclusions. In particular, the analysis of Roman accounts of the battle of Actium shows an understanding of the issues faced when evaluating the historical context and purpose of the prescribed sources.

### Section D overview

Candidates answered Questions 17 and 18 with some confidence and there was a good understanding shown in Questions 19 and 20. However, in Question 19, many candidates wrote about the revolt of the Iceni, rather than its causes. Many candidates answering Question 20 used a wide range of prescribed sources to show the extent of co-operation. However, many candidates did not place them into context by linking the archaeological record to specific events or peoples.

#### Question 16 (a)

**16 (a)** Name the first governor of Roman Britain.

Most candidates were able to recall this accurately.

#### Question 16 (b)

(b) Give two reasons why Roman governors campaigned in Wales.

Most candidates were able to recall this accurately. Most focused on the need to crush resistance to their power.

#### Question 16 (c)

(c) Identify two features of Camulodunum.

More successful responses were written with confidence. Some less successful responses struggled with this question and thought that Camulodunum was a Roman governor.

#### Question 17

17 What can we learn from **Passage D** about Claudius' motives for the invasion of Britannia? [5]

Most responses were able to identify at least two features from the passage about Claudius' motives. Most focused on his need for a triumph and to better Julius Caesar.

The more successful responses were able to develop other factors such as taking advantage of turmoil in Britain.

[1]

[2]

[2]

#### Question 18

18 Using details from **Passage D**, how accurate do you think Suetonius' account of these events is? [5]

Most candidates answered this question with a degree of confidence.

Less successful responses still made sweeping statements about Suetonius' strengths and weaknesses, but most linked it to the content of the passage. For example, Suetonius was reliable because he was Roman and may have heard of Claudius' triumph.

More successful responses said, for example, Suetonius' account would be accurate because he had access to official documents which may include evidence about British tribes requesting help from Rome.

#### Question 19

**19** Explain the causes of Boudicca's revolt.

[10]

This question is a very good reminder to students that you must answer the question posed, and not on the general topic area.

Less successful responses wrote a narrative account which may have included some evidence about the causes of the revolt, for example the treatment of Boudicca and her daughters. However, the vast majority of their narrative focused on the events of the revolt.

More successful candidates organised their answer into several factors or issues and supported these with specific evidence. For example, Rome broke the terms of the will and plundered Iceni territory.

#### Question 20\*

**20\*** 'The ancient sources help us to understand how the Romans and the British co-operated.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?

You must use and analyse the ancient sources you have studied as well as supporting your answer with your own knowledge. [20]

The Roman Britain depth study saw candidates show the most confidence, again, when using prescribed sources to answer an essay question.

Unfortunately, many candidates did not have a secure understanding or show detailed knowledge to support their assertions.

Most responses were able to agree with the question by using archaeological evidence. Source analysis was varied, but most responses were able to use the archaeological record to give weight to their arguments. Again this analysis may have been underdeveloped, but the most successful candidates frequently were happy to use places like Fishbourne to show co-operation in Roman Britain. For example, its development from a granary supporting the Roman army to its development into a villa and palace.

More successful responses were able to balance this with reference to Tacitus and Suetonius. The very best responses recognised that while co-operation was a significant cause of the invasion of Britain, it ebbed and flowed across the country over time. Romanisation helped increase co-operation, but they also recognised that Tacitus may have over-emphasised its impact, as both cultures never fully integrated.

Many of the best responses evaluated Tacitus' work beyond his relationship with his father-in-law Agricola. These analyses were used to explain the strengths and weaknesses of the two sides of the argument.

## Supporting you

each Cambridge	Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.
	<b>Don't have access?</b> If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them</u> <u>this link</u> to help get you started.
Reviews of marking	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Access to Scripts	For the June 2023 series, Exams Officers will be able to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts' for all of our General Qualifications including Entry Level, GCSE and AS/A Level. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.
	Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our <u>website</u> .
Keep up-to-date	We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.
DCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.
	Please find details for all our courses for your subject on <b>Teach</b> <b>Cambridge</b> . You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.
Signed up for ExamBuilder?	<b>ExamBuilder</b> is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. <u>Find out more</u> .
	ExamBuilder is <b>free for all OCR centres</b> with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.
	If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.
Active Results	Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.
	Find out more.

#### Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- 🖸 ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- **y** twitter.com/ocrexams
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- Iinkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

#### We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.