

A LEVEL

Examiners' report

RELIGIOUS STUDIES

H573 For first teaching in 20

H573/06 Summer 2023 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Paper 6 series overview	4
Question 1*	6
Question 2*	8
Question 3*	10
Question 4*	11

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. A selection of candidate answers is also provided. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the question paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from OCR.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as...** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Paper 6 series overview

The paper is one of three that candidates need to sit in order to gain the A Level. Candidates need to attempt three out of the four questions. All of the questions attract the same number of marks. There are two assessment objectives; AO1 attracts 40% of the marks and AO2 attracts 60%.

Overall, most of the candidates seemed genuinely well prepared for the paper and there were some excellent responses, some of which went well beyond the expectations for candidates at this level. Candidates used their knowledge and analysis/evaluation skills appropriately to respond to the questions on the paper. For example, the majority of candidates understood the key terms and concepts in the questions and could explain, analyse and evaluate them in relation to the topic and in relation to broader Buddhist concepts. Most candidates made good use of scholars' views and these came from a wide range of sources, both on and also beyond the specification. This showed evidence of wider reading on the part of the candidates and helped them demonstrate their knowledge and understanding.

Misconception

Some candidates were not entirely clear on what Zen Buddhism is and there seemed to be a focus on the ideas of killing (cats and in war), the use of koans and the disregard for scripture. While all of these are correct in their own context they do not necessarily give a clear indication of what Zen Buddhism is.

Zen is founded on the principle that language has a conventional use (to describe the world around us and communicate). However, words give a false impression that all phenomena are separate entities. In fact all phenomena only exist in relation to, and because of, all other phenomena. Therefore, language is unhelpful and is to be overcome. This can be done by showing the helpful nature of language through koans or through meditative practices that try to remove the discriminating thoughts that make up three of the five khandhas (Perception, mental formulations and consciousness), and returning the practitioner to their original mind (the enlightened mind or bare attention).

A small number only answered two questions, and no candidate did all four questions. Candidates do need to remember to read the question carefully and answer that specific question. Some candidates missed the idea of upaya in Question 3 and some missed the idea of duty in Question 2. Some did not number the questions correctly and while this does not normally cause a problem it is wise to make it very clear which question a response is directed to. This action will avoid any confusion and potential loss of marks.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:

- for Question 1 candidates did well when they showed a thorough and detailed understanding of Zen and the original teachings of the Buddha. They also demonstrated, through exemplification, their detailed understanding. Through this range and/or depth of examples they showed how Zen might be unique and/or a development of the Buddha's ideas. Their conclusions showed insight into the question
- for Question 2 candidates did well when they had a detailed understanding of the complexity of the debate surrounding Buddhist engagement with environmental issues and whether this is a duty. They can show this through a range/depth of examples. Concepts were clearly understood and used within the discussion to analyse whether Buddhists have a duty
- for Question 3 candidates did well when they showed a holistic understanding of upaya and the Buddha's teachings. They could demonstrate through exemplification their detailed understanding. They showed how everything might be upaya or that the Buddha's ideas are fixed/true. Their conclusions showed that they understood the nuances in the debate
- for Question 4 candidates did well when they demonstrated a holistic understanding of nirvana and how different Buddhist denominations may view it. They could demonstrate through exemplification their detailed understanding of different denominational views/teachings on nirvana, and suggest why it is the goal for some and maybe not others. The word 'all' is important here; those who fully addressed the idea that it is a goal for all performed better.

Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:

- for Question 1 candidates did less well when they were not sure what Zen is and had a limited understanding of the Buddha's teachings. They might have attempted a comparison, but this was often unsuccessful or inaccurate
- for Question 2 candidates did less well when they could only explain that some Buddhists are environmentally aware and some are not. They often had a little information that was relevant or correct and a limited number of examples
- for Question 3 candidates did less well when they showed a limited understanding of upaya and why it is in Buddhist teachings. Some just focused on the truth of the Buddha's teachings, rather than whether they were true or upaya. This did not fully address the question
- for Question 4 candidates did less well when they showed a limited understanding of nirvana and who might see it as a goal and who might not. They often presented a general discussion of nirvana as the goal for Buddhists and did not fully discuss the idea that it is the goal for all.

Question 1*

1* 'Siddhartha's original teachings can be clearly seen in Zen Buddhism.' Discuss.

[40]

The more successful candidates were not only able to discuss 'Zen' and *other* forms of Buddhism, but were also able to highlight the difference between the Soto and Rinzai Zen. This allowed them to use a wider range of Buddhist group specific beliefs/practices as a comparison to the 'original teachings of the Buddha'. The better responses considered whether sunyata could be equated to dependent origination or not, and whether the Buddha's original teachings contained anything that could be understood as Buddha Nature or not.

Candidates who did not have a detailed understanding of the Zen schools were not able to make the same level of distinctions between the similarities of the two schools and the various Buddhist beliefs and practices. There were a number of candidates who did not really understand Zen Buddhism. For example, some students conflated Zen and Pure Land or saw Pure Land as a school of Zen. A common argument was that there was a point of congruence in that Zen had its origins in the flower sermon given by the Buddha, but relatively few candidates were aware that the source of this is a later Mahayana document and is not necessarily historical - very few had that discussion.

Exemplar 1

Croudd
However, it can also be proved broat Siddlichthais
original teachings took be clarly seen in Zen
Buddhimm. This is because: in Rinzai Zen Budded
by Gsai) were are two daily Samer mericus,
which consist of querch-fine questions in between
a Roshi (master) and pupil. The Roshi Can curse or eve
hit me soudent it kney knyte on surer intellection
The master is someone who is already encightered
end helps to guide the student. The student submit
bobe allmosty of the teacher. But this is
he taugut for self-reliance. The Buddha did
he taieput noi self-reliace. The Buddha did
have teaches (Alora kalona na Idaua
Ranaputa) to teach him meditation, he didn't
reman bren wormen and sought his own
middle Way benueer asceptism ad hedraism
(the eighthold parn). The Buddha Worked
each individual to be 'a lampino oneself
and experience but allowing for bienseemes,
raber bron using a Rosvii Ime in Zen Buddhim.
The Buddha also promoted allings and
Showed non-vidience trays his knepreens
so que aggressive responses hom lue teauer
accurant be supported by Siddhama.
Threfore, Siddhorma's original teachings can not
be clearly seen in 2er Buddhim because
he taught focused on the self and zer focuses
a pepil-teacher relationship unich bie Buddher
didrit have.

7

Exemplar 1 gives a good example of this level of specialist subject knowledge. For example, they know the different divisions within Zen and talk about Rinzai, saying who it is founded by and explaining one of the monastic practices of that form of Zen. The candidate in this example makes very good use of this knowledge to make a clear and well-argued point. They compare the student in the Rinzai monastery submitting to the authority of their master to the way that Siddhartha did when he joined various sramana movements, and they develop this by showing that although there is a recognition of an authority there is still an expectation that one develops by one's own efforts rather than relying on others. This is an essential part of the Buddha's teaching and shows a good link between it and Zen.

Question 2*

2* 'Buddhists have a duty to be more environmentally aware.' Discuss.

[40]

This question had a range of responses. Lots of candidates made reference to the idea that although there is no specific teaching that the Buddha gave in relation to environmentalism, some of the central teachings of Buddhism (such as the five precepts with the idea of not to harm any living thing) can be used as a means of supporting the claim that nonetheless Buddhists have a duty to be environmentally aware.

Some candidates also used the argument that it brings about good karma to be environmentally aware. Some candidates referred to specific movements in Buddhism in order to show that being environmentally aware is a duty, for instance 'Engaged Buddhism'. Often, they linked this to the idea of a duty, even though that duty may be imposed by the order of interbeing rather than the Buddha himself. Where candidates used all of these points they performed very well. The better responses were generally evaluative, weighing the centrality of attaining nirvana, and the relevance of anicca and sunyata against the fact that interconnectedness means that the environment is central. The weaker responses were very vague and focused on 'being nice' or on social issues in general such as war. A very odd idea, which occurred more than once, was that the monk who self-immolated showed a lack of environmental awareness as he wasted fuel and did not consider that his action might cause pollution.

Exemplar 2

	Through the we of the Buddha, hedid not teach much about being
	environmentally awave as there was not a great environmental
	Change in those times. This is why it's origined to be Inductors to
	apply teachings from centrus ago, to the modern word. The Buddha
	did not experience this yet was aware g how his follower, monus
	would aggect the world. He told them to not release themselves
.	In or near running water as some people use it to wash and drink
	Showing the pollution of mater was considered. He also guided them
	to say how they should not have any himpe creature in practice;
	this means destroying habitats to build monartys etc. Therefore,
	the Buddha was environmentally aware and induced in to be the Buddhists Same . We have a duty to follow unat has been taught and be environmento aware .
	Yet they do have a duty to be aware, in the fure precepts they are
	ford not no harm others including animals, showing consideration
	for the environment. When allows a caused a mar with a lot of
	blood shed, he felt guilty and precised created rules ofter conversion
	to Buddhism' mat nothing should be harmed inducting animals that
	ave hunted for and the projetize of reganism' is in the many Buddhists
	beliefs while the Buddha did not teach environmental awareness
	on its own its clear the principles and precepts used can still be

Both parts of Exemplar 2 show utilisation of the central teachings. In the first extract the candidate has clearly made the point that there is no real teaching on environmentalism but that there is an understanding of a duty of care. The second extract shows how the candidate has made excellent use of the five precepts to demonstrate that when applied to a situation the precepts clear give instruction to be concerned about the environment.

Question 3*

3* Evaluate the claim that none of Siddhartha's teachings were true, they were only upaya (skilful means).
 [40]

Most of the candidates discussed differences between the different groups of Buddhism with a more intense focus on the difference between Theravada and Mahayana Buddhism in light of the concept of upaya. Some successful candidates indicated that this is not a concept that is accepted within the Theravada tradition with respect to the scripture as there is a common belief that 'Pali Cannon' is the closest/best representation of the Buddha's original teachings and thus tend to be true, and should (at some level) be accessible to all who wish to follow them. Whereas Mahayana (and other subsequent schools) use the lotus sutra as a means of allowing incorporation of 'new', and development of older, concepts to meet the needs of the society at the time. Although infrequent, some candidates made references to the idea of a hagiography of the Buddha's teachings and life with reference to the idea that they should be looked at as upaya. Some of the better responses identified at least the three marks of existence and the first two Noble Truths as demonstrably factually true but suggested that the way of dealing with this could be seen as upaya as the Buddha suggested his teachings be used as a raft. Stronger responses discussed the parable of the burning house and the analogy of a finger pointing at the moon.

Assessment for learning

The Buddha taught different teachings to different groups of people. His focus shifted to suit their understanding of the world. For example, if the Buddha's first sermon had been to a group of farmers or householders then it would have had to look very different from the deer park sermon. The deer park sermon is a very concise outline of the Buddha's understanding of the causes of suffering, made to a group of renunciants who would have known the context of the teachings and therefore understood it. This is an excellent example of upaya. It may not be entirely correct to suggest that the Pali Canon is a fixed set of teachings.

A good teaching activity to show this is to ask students to pick a small number of different sutras from the Pali Cannon (<u>www.accesstoinsight.org</u> is helpful here). At the start of each sutra is the context of the story, who the Buddha is talking to. If students look carefully they can see that each story is about overcoming craving to end suffering but the language and ideas are adapted to the audience. The Kalama Sutta and the Sigalovada (Sigala) Sutta are two good examples.

Question 4*

4* To what extent is nibbana/nirvana the goal for all Buddhists?

Most candidates tended to argue that at the core of Buddhism is the goal of reaching nibanna, even if the focus in the current lifetime is something else, e.g. gaining good karma for a better rebirth or hopes of being born in the Pure Land. These are means to an end, which is enlightenment. Some candidates attempted an argument that those seeking to just develop their wisdom and not attempting to achieving enlightenment will actually achieve nibbana.

Some candidates looked at the difference between an arhat and a bodhisattva. They used these concepts to show that an arhat might work towards their own enlightenment and that this is the overriding goal. Whereas a bodhisattva works towards the enlightenment of all others, so nibbana is not their goal, at least in the short term.

Some made use of their wider Buddhist knowledge and showed how Western Buddhists might differ in their outlook from Buddhists in Asia, and very good responses explained why this might be. For example, for some Buddhism was a culture into which they were born rather than something with which they actively engaged and that their interest in it might be purely in the reduction of suffering; whereas Western Secular Buddhism suggests that nirvana was not really a goal, as it rejected the supernatural elements of Buddhism, seeking instead to cultivate peace and mindfulness.

Exemplar 3

Nestern buddhists tend to see Buddhism more as
[a philosophy rather than a religion - For many, the
concept of Nirvana is preside in president and account
fitze doesn't resonante with their rational
world were and hence many western or
Secular Buddhists practice puddhism through their
morals rather from rituals. There are over 250,000
Buddhists in the UK, many of whom are
British onverts reither than East Asian and
South East Asian In immigrants. It can be
assumed that the majority of these buildhists don't
practise in a morestary, but rather practise
mindpulness and meditation. This shows that
Norvana is not the end goal for the
majority of western Buddhists and therefore
Wirugha isn't the end goal far all
Buddhisds.

Exemplar 3 is a good example of Buddhism knowledge. The candidate has used the idea of Western Buddhism not being focused on nibbana and they have explained why. However, their explanation is a little limited and so does not achieve the higher marks. But they make a very good point as part of a well-reasoned discussion.

Supporting you

Teach Cambridge	Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.
	Don't have access? If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them</u> <u>this link</u> to help get you started.
Reviews of marking	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Access to Scripts	For the June 2023 series, Exams Officers will be able to download copies of your candidates' completed papers or 'scripts' for all of our General Qualifications including Entry Level, GCSE and AS/A Level. Your centre can use these scripts to decide whether to request a review of marking and to support teaching and learning.
	Our free, on-demand service, Access to Scripts is available via our single sign-on service, My Cambridge. Step-by-step instructions are on our <u>website</u> .
Keep up-to-date	We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.
OCR Professional	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.
Development	Please find details for all our courses for your subject on Teach Cambridge . You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.
Signed up for ExamBuilder?	ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. <u>Find out more</u> .
	ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.
	If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.
Active Results	Review students' exam performance with our free online results analysis tool. It is available for all GCSEs, AS and A Levels and Cambridge Nationals.
	Find out more.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- 🖸 ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- ★ twitter.com/ocrexams
 ★
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- Iinkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2023 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource freely if you keep the OCR logo and this small print intact and you acknowledge OCR as the originator of the resource.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.