

CAMBRIDGE TECHNICALS LEVEL 3 (2016)

Examiners' report

HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE

05830-05833, 05871

Unit 25 January 2024 series

Contents

Introduction	3
Unit 25 series overview	4
Question 1 (a)*	5
Question 1 (b) (i)	5
Question 1 (b) (ii)	6
Question 1 (c)	7
Question 1 (d)*	8
Question 2 (a)*	9
Question 2 (b)	10
Question 2 (c)	10
Question 2 (d)*	11
Question 2 (e)*	12
Question 2 (f)	13
Question 2 (g)*	13

Introduction

Our examiners' reports are produced to offer constructive feedback on candidates' performance in the examinations. They provide useful guidance for future candidates.

The reports will include a general commentary on candidates' performance, identify technical aspects examined in the questions and highlight good performance and where performance could be improved. The reports will also explain aspects which caused difficulty and why the difficulties arose, whether through a lack of knowledge, poor examination technique, or any other identifiable and explainable reason.

Where overall performance on a question/question part was considered good, with no particular areas to highlight, these questions have not been included in the report.

A full copy of the exam paper and the mark scheme can be downloaded from Teach Cambridge.

Would you prefer a Word version?

Did you know that you can save this PDF as a Word file using Acrobat Professional?

Simply click on File > Export to and select Microsoft Word

(If you have opened this PDF in your browser you will need to save it first. Simply right click anywhere on the page and select **Save as . . .** to save the PDF. Then open the PDF in Acrobat Professional.)

If you do not have access to Acrobat Professional there are a number of **free** applications available that will also convert PDF to Word (search for PDF to Word converter).

Unit 25 series overview

Once again, we saw that considerable secondary research had been carried out before the examination. All three research articles had been used with the two most common ones being:

Article A: 'Childhood fussy/picky eating behaviours: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies'

Article C: 'Dietary and nutritional approaches for prevention and management of type 2 diabetes.'

There was evidence within Question 2 (e) of candidates linking research and ideas to their chosen prerelease material, e.g. evidence of linking type 2 diabetes with obesity and linking different parenting styles to childhood fussy/picky eating behaviours.

Many candidates developed a plan for their extended responses. This enabled them to be more precise with the content chosen, but this planning also enabled them to develop responses in a more logical way.

There were very few no responses and generally the responses provided addressed the command words.

Candidates who did well on this paper generally:	Candidates who did less well on this paper generally:
 deconstructed the questions and addressed the requirements of the question developed responses in a logical and clear 	 did not de-construct the question and as a consequence of this often missed the requirements of the question
way and were able to provide depth to their responses	 developed responses with little structure and this resulted in a lack of depth and very disjointed responses
 wrote in a succinct way had a considerable knowledge of ethical issues 	 wrote in a long convoluted way and often missed the key points
 could evaluate in depth how they located their secondary sources 	 displayed limited knowledge of ethical issues did not differentiate between locating and
• could use their secondary research and were able to compare and contrast results and findings.	 selecting secondary sources were unable to make comparisons/contrasts of their results and findings.

Question 1 (a)*

1 Pressure ulcers or bedsores are injuries to the skin and underlying tissue that often affect people confined to bed or who sit in a chair or wheelchair for long periods of time.

A local health authority organised a review into the effectiveness of different dressings and ointments used to treat bed sores in nursing home patients.

The research team used secondary research methods to gain information for their review.

(a)* Explain the advantages of using secondary research methods for this review.

This was generally answered well. The main advantages that candidates provided were that using secondary research methods was quick and easy, less time consuming, that it had been peer reviewed and that it is free to access. The main errors seen were that some candidates veered off track and described how they gathered their secondary research, some started to provide the negatives of using secondary research methods and many just provided identifications of the advantages, failing to address the command word.

Question 1 (b) (i)

(b)

(i) Identify one area of health care that is being targeted by this research.

.....[1]

The most common response was treatments.

The most common error was in providing a named care setting, e.g. hospital and nursing home.

Question 1 (b) (ii)

(ii) The research team established an evidence-base for treatment by identifying an ointment that was extremely effective in reducing inflammation caused by bedsores.

Explain **two** other ways in which the findings of this review could be useful to the local health authority.

The main error seen in this question was in candidates misunderstanding the question and they did not recognise that it focused on the purposes of research; the stem of the question stated that they had 'established an evidence base' (specification reference: 1.2.).

The most common responses centred around increased knowledge and understanding, improve outcomes and measure impact. Where some candidates lost marks was in just providing the initial statement, e.g. to measure impact, and they did not explain how to measure impact.

Question 1 (c)

(c) The research team suggested that a randomised controlled trial be carried out on one of the treatments being reviewed.

Complete the table below by deciding whether each statement about using a randomised controlled trial in this scenario is True (T) or False (F).

Statement	True (T) or False (F)
Only women who were over 75 years old would be selected as participants.	
The participants would be randomly divided into two groups, an experimental group and a control group.	
Informal observations would be the best method to collect data during the trial.	

[3]

This was a high scoring question and many candidates were able to gain full marks.

The most common error linked to the final statement; 'informal observations would be the best method to collect data during the trial' where they stated that this was true.

Question 1 (d)*

- (d)* Discuss the ethical issues that could arise when carrying out this randomised controlled trial with regards to:
 - access to information
 - who the research may affect and how they may be affected.

[6]

Many candidates struggled with the two bullet points:

- access to information
- who the research may affect and how they could be affected.

A consequence of not addressing these bullet points often resulted in generalised responses which did not link to ethics. Some responses gave the pros and cons of randomised controlled trials.

That said, there were some very comprehensive responses that fully addressed the ethical issues that could arise. The most common responses were linked to confidentiality, the right to withdraw, causing no harm (cause harm – many looked at the possible side effects/allergic reactions) and transparency, and avoiding deception.

Another error was in not addressing the command word of 'discuss'. We saw many responses that merely identified the ethical issues. The command word of 'discuss' requires candidates to 'give an account that addresses a range of ideas and arguments'.

Question 2 (a)*

- 2 This question relates to the pre-release material and your secondary research.
- (a)* Evaluate how useful you found internet searches and libraries when locating sources for your secondary research.

[10]

There were some very comprehensive responses by those candidates who fully understood the requirements of the command word 'evaluate', but also who focused in on the phrase 'locating sources'.

The most common responses were:

Internet – fast, easy to access, usually no cost, some sources may be locked, time consuming and that the volume of results can be excessive and overwhelming.

Library – large number of journals / books, the use of abstract searches, cost effective, being out of date, payment required for some articles and difficult to find appropriate sources.

The main errors seen were that some candidates focused in on selecting sources, only addressing either the internet or libraries, and not providing balanced responses.

Assessment for learning

Differentiating between locating and selecting sources

Provide the candidates with a list of responses that relate to both locating and selecting, and for the candidates to place them under the correct category:

Locating sources

Selecting sources

Quick to access	Trustworthy – being peer reviewed
Time consuming	Relevant
Cost effective	Complementary
Firewalls preventing access	Appropriate

Question 2 (b)

(b) Identify two reasons why it is important to make accurate notes when using sources from your secondary research.

The most common responses were avoiding plagiarism and ensuring that the notes are understood and not misleading.

The errors seen were in rewriting the question, making sure that the notes were correct/accurate and citing that the notes conformed to validity and/or reliability.

Question 2 (c)

(c) When using sources to write research questions it is important to make these questions original to ensure that an interest is taken in your research and audience attention is retained.

Identify **one** other factor that should be taken into account when writing good research questions and explain why.

Factor
Explanation
[2

Relevant, answerable and manageable in scope were the most common responses.

For those candidates that got the factor correct, the explanation was often poorly developed, and in many cases the explanation provided linked to a different factor.

Question 2 (d)*

(d)* Analyse how you selected your secondary source material with regards to:

- appropriateness
- complementary information.

[8]

Due to candidates, in the main, only providing pros on how they selected their secondary sources, both with respect to appropriateness and complementary information, they were only able to achieve a maximum of four marks.

The command word 'analyse' requires candidates to 'separate information into components and identify their characteristics. Discuss the pros and cons of a topic or argument and make reasoned comment'.

The most common responses were:

Appropriateness – relevant to pre-release, applied to research question or hypothesis, the sources were in date and the author credentials, linking this to trustworthiness and or being peer reviewed.

Complementary information – same/similar results, same research methods, same sampling methods, having a different perspective/viewpoint from the pre-release material.

Question 2 (e)*

- (e)* In response to your chosen article, present your secondary research as a piece of formal writing that includes how you:
 - linked research and ideas
 - · compared and contrasted results and findings.

[10]

The main errors in this question was in how candidates presented their secondary research, and in particular in how they presented their results and findings. Many candidates presented results/findings from their two sources separately and made no attempt at comparing and contrasting. The skill needed to answer this question was in making direct comparisons; there were some well-structured responses that did just that. These candidates used key phrases:

In both articles.....

However in article B they had a very different result......

Similarly in article A.....

In contrast article B stated the opposite......

Using the above examples of phrases candidates were able to make pertinent comparisons to results, the generalisability of the findings and the validity of the findings.

Assessment for learning

Applying compare and contrast:

Compare: note the similarity or dissimilarity between

Contrast: the state of being strikingly different from something else

To practise this skill provide the candidate with two or three articles linked to the same subject.

Get them to identify comparisons/contrasts with respect to results, sampling methods, and research methods. Provide the candidates with the key phrases that are provided within the commentary for Question 2 (e).

Question 2 (f)

(f) Outline one way in which the validity of your secondary research material could be assessed.

[2]

The most common responses were peer reviewed and credible authors.

The most common error was in stating that the source was out of date; being out of date applies to reliability.

Question 2 (g)*

(g)* In response to your chosen article and your secondary research, discuss reasons why you may wish to conduct further research.

[6]

Many candidates were able to provide suggestions linked to their research that could be researched further, e.g. what causes fussy eating. We saw responses linked to increasing the generalisability of the results.

The main error seen was in candidates providing implications for the NHS and the Government.

Supporting you

Teach Cambridge	Make sure you visit our secure website <u>Teach Cambridge</u> to find the full range of resources and support for the subjects you teach. This includes secure materials such as set assignments and exemplars, online and on-demand training.
	Don't have access? If your school or college teaches any OCR qualifications, please contact your exams officer. You can <u>forward them</u> this link to help get you started.
Reviews of marking	If any of your students' results are not as expected, you may wish to consider one of our post-results services. For full information about the options available visit the <u>OCR website</u> .
Keep up-to-date	We send a monthly bulletin to tell you about important updates. You can also sign up for your subject specific updates. If you haven't already, sign up here.
OCR Professional Development	Attend one of our popular CPD courses to hear directly from a senior assessor or drop in to a Q&A session. Most of our courses are delivered live via an online platform, so you can attend from any location.
	Please find details for all our courses for your subject on Teach Cambridge . You'll also find links to our online courses on NEA marking and support.
Signed up for ExamBuilder?	ExamBuilder is the question builder platform for a range of our GCSE, A Level, Cambridge Nationals and Cambridge Technicals qualifications. <u>Find out more</u> .
	ExamBuilder is free for all OCR centres with an Interchange account and gives you unlimited users per centre. We need an <u>Interchange</u> username to validate the identity of your centre's first user account for ExamBuilder.
	If you do not have an Interchange account please contact your centre administrator (usually the Exams Officer) to request a username, or nominate an existing Interchange user in your department.

Need to get in touch?

If you ever have any questions about OCR qualifications or services (including administration, logistics and teaching) please feel free to get in touch with our customer support centre.

Call us on 01223 553998

Alternatively, you can email us on support@ocr.org.uk

For more information visit

- ocr.org.uk/qualifications/resource-finder
- 🖸 ocr.org.uk
- facebook.com/ocrexams
- ★ twitter.com/ocrexams
 ★
- instagram.com/ocrexaminations
- Iinkedin.com/company/ocr
- youtube.com/ocrexams

We really value your feedback

Click to send us an autogenerated email about this resource. Add comments if you want to. Let us know how we can improve this resource or what else you need. Your email address will not be used or shared for any marketing purposes.





Please note – web links are correct at date of publication but other websites may change over time. If you have any problems with a link you may want to navigate to that organisation's website for a direct search.



OCR is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored. © OCR 2024 Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee. Registered in England. Registered office The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA. Registered company number 3484466. OCR is an exempt charity.

OCR operates academic and vocational qualifications regulated by Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA as listed in their qualifications registers including A Levels, GCSEs, Cambridge Technicals and Cambridge Nationals.

OCR provides resources to help you deliver our qualifications. These resources do not represent any particular teaching method we expect you to use. We update our resources regularly and aim to make sure content is accurate but please check the OCR website so that you have the most up to date version. OCR cannot be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these resources.

Though we make every effort to check our resources, there may be contradictions between published support and the specification, so it is important that you always use information in the latest specification. We indicate any specification changes within the document itself, change the version number and provide a summary of the changes. If you do notice a discrepancy between the specification and a resource, please <u>contact us</u>.

You can copy and distribute this resource in your centre, in line with any specific restrictions detailed in the resource. Resources intended for teacher use should not be shared with students. Resources should not be published on social media platforms or other websites.

OCR acknowledges the use of the following content: N/A

Whether you already offer OCR qualifications, are new to OCR or are thinking about switching, you can request more information using our Expression of Interest form.

Please get in touch if you want to discuss the accessibility of resources we offer to support you in delivering our qualifications.